Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701 11/23/76

He Eleanor D. O'Hara Law Degartment NHC 30 Rockefeller Pluss Hew York, N.Y. 10020

Dear Ma. O'Hara,

You have not responded to my letter of 10/7 in response to yours of 10/4. Frior to this I wrote Frz. Schädeser 8/31 without response and 9/26, leading to your letter with the proxise not kapt:

"We are looking into this matter and will respond after we have the necessary facts."

It seems improbable that in more than aix weeks you have not been able to obtain "the necessary facts" after what I reported.

You also have not responded to my noting that you did not address what I did and instead addressed what I did not, a devise not uncommon among lawyers.

When I wrote you 10/7 in I did inform you that the damages were compounding.

To date you have done nothing but stall once you stopped ignoring my letters. It is a fact and in my view a serious fact that since my last letter there has been more serious damage made possible by the undemied (and in fact undemiable) NEC involvement. The new consequences can be quite embarrassing to NEC if others learn what know. However, NEC's record in this does not inspire trust or confidence or any desire to be of help to it.

Whether or not NBC's involvement is of its creation there is this involvement, it is not going to just go away, and the longer you delay the more serious the potential becomes, not only in hurt to me.

Because I am learning more all the time without effort I am without any doubt at all that you at NBC could have learned more than enough with slight effort. From your letter I was led to believe that you would make proper and adequate inquiry. Expecting this I have waited.

I write still again asking meaningful response from you, a direct and unevasive response together with the results of your "looking into this matter."

Sincerely,

cc: James H. Lesar, Esq.

Harold Weisbarg