

Dear Mitch,

10/30/94

I do not spend time conjecturing on the number of shots. I've published what I could about them and the evidence relating to them. And that JFK was hit from both front and back was underwritten because I feared it would ~~be~~ not be believed in WW II, the end of 1966. The Z film as most people now know shows motion both ways.

I've not given ~~any~~ thought to why most do not publish the documents. I've supposed that as with Lifton they destroy what is made up based on parts of them and that the others do not have them or believe that what they have to say is more important.

Thanks for the info on Anna Marie. I've not heard from her for a while. I knew she had a heavy sinus infection. Hope she recovers fully soon.

For those who can use it those things on CD ROM should be very worthwhile. But I'm not into any of that. Can't use a computer and I do not want to be flooded with messages from many nuts.

The names of those two characters means nothing to me but what you suspect about DonZ5 makes sense. Easiest way to learn if he works for RH is to call RH and ask for him. Perhaps if not RH it could be Doubleday. Anchor does not have a separate phone. It is not only that he takes Posner as a bible. He misrepresents what I wrote that he says he read. If you tangle with him ask him to explain Posner's handling of Hartogs and the part of his testimony Posner quotes both sides of. Decent people of both sexes will be offended that Hartogs got free sex from his patients and that in using him as a source Posner hid this. All he had to do when he was here was look at my Hartogs file. The news accounts are in it. I think this is simple, direct and addresses Posner's honesty, including of intent. I do not recall other things from those copies you sent me. What I wrote about Posner cribbing from David Lui the boy was clear and he misrepresented it. It is not in the Z film, ehanced or otherwise, and Rosemary Willis did not look at either that window of that building. I did not go into all of it. When she stopped ~~in~~ she looked toward the knoll or the car but not that building. ^{or up.} Moreover, there is what Posner suppressed, what the other Willises saw. DonZ's misrepresentation was not accidental. All at the same place in my book.

Best wishes,

Hardy

October 25, 1994

Harold Weisberg
2527 Old Rochester Road
Frederick, Maryland 21702

Dear Harold,

Thank you so much for your comments on my article. You have given me some good points to think about. I was aware that the Committee only looked for shots from the KNOX and TSEU. I should have emphasized this point more clearly. As you know better than I, it is hard to determine the exact # of shots that were fired. As near as I can figure out there was one in Kennedy's back, throat, two to the head, at least one for Connally and the Taylor Bullet. That makes six by my count. There may have been more, but I think it almost impossible that there were less than five. As you know, Thompson makes a good case that JFK was hit almost simultaneously by two head shots. I almost feel silly making these points to you. It's kind of like talking to Joe Dimaggio about the finer points of hitting; but I am returning and thanks to you and your books, I'm learning more and more.

I do have a question that you probably have the best insight to. Why is it that the vast majority of authors on the assassination seem to refuse to follow your lead and print many of the actual documents upon which they base their case? Even when they do, the writer often prints ONLY the part of the document he uses to make his point. It seems to me that there is so much more impact in printing a document in its entirety. (Post Mortem p.607) than in just citing a footnote. You see, if I'm going to continue writing on the assassination, I want to make sure that I don't become just another fact.

I will, of course forward any files that Anne-Marie sends me about the Aberdeen firing tests. She says it is 50 pages and related documents. As soon as she gets a chance to send them to me (she's been sick, inner ear infection I think), I'll copy them and send them out. There is also a software Company that has put the RSCA twenty volumes on CD-ROM. As soon as I have the cash, I'm going to order it. They are also doing the 26 volumes of the Warren Report. The best thing about CD technology is the ability to INSTANTLY access any part of the disk via a search utility. They promise a strong such utility. This may be a way of finally making the vast amount of assassination documents available to serious researchers.

To answer your question about David, and David, I was able to get some information from America Online Member Profiles. David is David Swanson of Illinois. No profession is given, but he is 41 and married.

David is more interesting. He is Dan Oiler of N.Y.C. He is a 43 year old PUBLISHER. I wonder if he is with Thomson Group. His profile doesn't say, but I would not be at all surprised. He seems to have Postnet authorized. Many Online troublemakers ditch out their stories. It allows them to attack with impunity. Anna-Marie tells me she has gotten as many as eighteen personal attacks made on her via E-mail in a single night. It may sound paranoid, but you have to wonder if could possibly be an ORGANIZED attack. Again I wouldn't be surprised. I myself have been singled out for attack on the AOL board by WC apologists, which is interesting since most of the posters are of the pro conspiracy variety even they are NOT attached. Of course I use facts and logic and avoid opinion as much as possible.

as always, I hope that this letter finds you well.

All My Best,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'C. L. L.' or similar, written in a cursive style.