

Mr. Farris Rookstool  
1801 N. Lamar St., #500  
Dallas, TX 75202

4/14/93

Dear Farris,

Having worked 80 years to earn the right I now exercise it, to say I've forgotten what I sent you 3/27/93. Or was it 3/6, which I now think.

Anyway, you were under no obligation to respond to my informing you that despite your earlier denials, I had letters Livingstone wrote in which he said you(pl) were helping him.

As you may have learned from Mary, he has been making threats and using nasty words in addition to charging a number of us with conspiring to commit illegal acts.

For possible self-defense I've been collecting what I can that might be of use.

I now have letters in which he states the amount of time he spent with you and that there is a reciprocal agreement under which he claims to have received what he regards as valuable information.

If I remember correctly one letter uses the word "deal" to describe what he says is an arrangement between him and the FBI.

Understand, I am not making any accusation. I have many FBI records indicating that anything like this is against policy. But then I also have some reflecting the taking of liberties with that policy. Including by your office.

If what he has told others is <sup>r</sup>correct, he is due to hand his manuscript in tomorrow. That is consistent with a number of earlier reports that it is scheduled for October publication. The usual spread is six months. Maybe October is a month of interesting developments? It surely may be if the book says what his letters do! Especially if the book says what he has told me it will!

He has also referred to <sup>having a for</sup> ~~have~~ contract/two books, not only High Trash 3.

The thrust of a number of his letters is that he is working with the FBI and police in a criminal investigation. While he does not use these words he does caution those working with him to do nothing to embarrass the Bureau.

Sincerely,



Harold Weisberg

4/15/93

Gary Mack  
4620 Brandingshire Pl.  
Fort Worth, TX 76133

Dear Gary,

While Harry Livingstone does not have a record that justifies taking his unsupported word for anything and, as you will see, in the enclosed letter to Rookstool ~~if~~ I do not say I believe him, I do have letters in which he does say what I state he said.

The caution "do not ~~Embarrass~~ the Bureau" is the common warning from FBIHQ in authorizing actions that are not strictly speaking normal practise or are normally prohibited. This is why I say what I do.

Because, if he says in his book, that he had a "deal" with the FBI, that surely will be embarrassing.

Whether it is true or false.

If as he has said and written often he did get help from the FBI, it will have records with which to deny it. Even if it is true. I have innumerable instances.

In one letter Harry says he spent two hours with Rookstool.

If true that is not really consistent with Rookstool's telling me Harry was a nuisance in whom he had no interest.

He also told me he had about five inches or five pounds of stuff from Harry.

I do not know what the truth is. I do know that the FBI has always had an interest in the critics and files on us not infrequently filed as "subversive."

So, I decided to write him and let him know.

The letter to which he did not respond is the one ~~which~~ <sup>enclosing what</sup> I asked your permission to give it to him. I did not attach a copy to the copy of my letter of 3/27 to him. I presume it also refers to working with the FBI.

The FBI has much false information on us, judging from its records on me and some others that I do have. Some of it any publisher would want sourced.

Whatever the truth about the cooperation Harry claims to have <sup>if</sup> be, if Harry says it in the book, ~~and~~ it is the kind of statement any publisher would like, it will embarrass the Bureau. And whatever the truth about Rookstool may be, it does seem to me to be unusual that he has spent so much time with critics. I believe he would not have without official approval/of it.

Because I do not want this to get ~~around~~ <sup>around</sup> you are the only one to whom I am sending a copy. If you have any reason to believe what Harry claims or to have doubts about what Rookstool is really doing, I'd like to know.

Best,

*Harold*