

ML

7/7/67

Dear Mr. "ance,

I know from your letters you have not read my books, which have the answers to most of the questions you ask.

The AP stories are a sanctioned whitewash, designedly dishonest, full of lies, misrepresentations, misquations, a really shameful thing. There is no chance of getting them to retract or correct. They knew what they were doing when they did it, hence will not admit any of it. The deadline my challenges. The same is true of the Times. These people have become the government's bedmate. It is not merely a difference of opinion.

I am really too busy to write, although I do try and answer reasonable letters. May I suggest that the proper course for you, as a citizen, is to get the books, read them and if necessary to pore them with such trash as AP's. Granted you will not have the 26 volumes with which to compare their quotes, you will still learn enough. To answer your questions briefly:

Oswald shot no one.

I do not know about any Oswald-Ruby connection.

It is I who first and still most overwhelmingly destroyed the single-bullet theory, which is essential to the Commission's findings.

It is possible that there was a shot or shots from the TSEB. It is not probably than any came from that window.

I think Oswald was a patsy

The men closest to the wounds of Governor Connally did not say the bullet had minimal loss of weight. He said it lost enough weight to disprove the official fiction.

All of this is in my readily-available writing. May I suggest that when I work seven days a week, never less than 18 hours a day, for you to ask me as you do, "what can a private citizen do?", is frivolous and an imposition on time I can ill waste. The first obligation is to inform yourself. If you expect me to take time to answer you, is it not incumbent upon you to know what I have gone to great pain and trouble to publish so citizens can know what I think the truth to be? One way a citizen helps is by making such books popular. Another answer to your question is: make yourself heard, including to your Congressman & Senators. And if you had read my books and understood them you would know that Garrison is following my work and mine alone. Thus you can see I agree with what he seeks.

Sincerely,

July 2, 1967

Dear Mr. Weissberg,

Please read the enclosed article. Have you read the AP report? You say your books contain new evidence. The AP report holds that no new evidence casts legitimate doubt on the Warren Report findings. Do you feel this is merely a difference of opinion? I would very much appreciate a brief answer to the following questions. Thank you very much for your help.

Sincerely,

Ronald Mance 1907 S. 49th
Court, Cicero 50, Ill

1. Did Oswald shoot officer Tippit?
2. Did Jack Ruby know Oswald? Was Ruby a part of the conspiracy?
3. Do you accept the "single bullet theory"? Is this theory essential to the findings of the Warren Report?
4. Do you feel the shots that came from the rear came from the Depository building?
5. Was Oswald a part of the conspiracy?

6. Do you disagree with the men who were closest to the wounds ^{of Governor Connolly} that the bullet had a minimal loss of weight?
7. Do you agree with Jim Harrison that the assassination was plotted in New Orleans?

P.S. Are your books available only by mail? What can a private citizen do to support another inquiry into the assassination?

6/19/67

Dear Mr. Mance,

The UPI story you enclosed in your letter is without meaning. They raised their own straw man and burned it. Whether or not there is anything like another gunman in the Nix film, a question not raised until after all the books now out had been out for a long time- more 22 months after I wrote my first- does not and cannot address the other evidence on this point, and that is overwhelming.

To answer your questions, all of which are really answered in my books:

There was at least a second gunman. Oswald shot at nobody. ~~Exc~~ So there were at least two unknowns

Undoubtedly there was one in the general area of the grassy knoll. From the Commission's own evidence

I say Oswald was framed in my books. I do not believe there was any shot from that sixth-floor window.

Everything in my books is new to the Report. WHITENASH II comes largely from what the Commission suppressed, hence is new. I have broken WHITENASH III into three parts, the first of which will soon be available. This also comes from the once-suppressed material hence also is new

Mr. Ronald Mance
1907 So. 49th Court
Cicero 50, Illinois

June 7, 1967

Dear Mr. Weissberg,

I hope you will take the time to read the enclosed article. Your comments would be greatly appreciated.

Please answer the following questions. I am very interested in your point of view. Thank you very much.

1. Do you feel a second gunman was involved in the assassination of President Kennedy?
2. If there was a second gunman, was he standing in the grassy knoll area?
3. Did the shots come from the sixth floor of the book depository? Do you think Oswald was framed or that he had a part in the plot?
4. Is there any new evidence since the Warren Report to support your views?