

Mr. Ronald L. Mentus
RLM Athletics
8 Silvermine Manor
Brookfield, CT 06804

2/24/98

Dear Mr. Mentus,

I have heard of and I do not credit the Wilson theory he says comes from his computering. Or, GIGO.

There was shooting from the front but not from the sewers. Poor Penn Jones made that up years ago. Not possible from the injuries if not for other reasons.

The Dallas doctors had a press conference as soon as they cleaned up. It was the first press conference of the LBJ administration and although the Commission avoided it I have the transcript. Those doctors, when asked, said three times that the wound in the front of the Neck was from the front.

In my Post Mortem I do go into the possibility if not the probability of a head wound from the front but from the evidence, not some GIGO concoction or any theory. There is no theorizing in any of the book I've published.

The Commission also did a little lying about both the wounds and the shooting. I expose some of that in my first of the "hitewash series and in Post Mortem and more recently in what Carroll & Graf published and sat on, NEVER AGAIN!

Aside from manholes, the sewer opening was to the right of the limo.

Thanks and best wishes,



Harold Weisberg



8 SILVERMINE MANOR • BROOKFIELD, CT 06804 • (203) 740-7986

February 20, 1998

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Recently I watched a series on the History Channel entitled, "The Men Who Killed Kennedy." You appeared in several segments during the six-part series produced by Nigel Turner.


In the final episode, a man (Tom Wilson, I think) proposed a scenario which I'd heard very little about. Using advanced computer technology/enhancement and photography, he concluded that the massive wounds to JFK's right side of the head could only have come from a lower shot from the front...the sewer located ahead of the motorcade to the right prior to the underpass.

I'm wondering if you had ever heard of that and what you might think of such a theory? My initial reaction is it seems nearly impossible, given the limited opening in the sewer. There wouldn't seem to be an "open" path to the target, given the car's approach and Connally in the front seat. The angles were not discussed so that left me wondering about its veracity.

If you're inclined and have the time, please use the enclosed SASE for your thoughts. The method of escape seems plausible, but the actual shooting from that point doesn't.

I've said it before, but if it were not for serious critics and researchers such as yourself, many of us citizens would have been snookered long ago. And we still don't have the truth!

Sincerely,


Ronald L. Mentus