

Livingstone Letters Excerpts

11/3/92: "I have found that many of the big name people in this research, so called, are the same as criminals."

11/18/92: "Bronson, to whom I was led by law enforcement...."

"Former law enforcement in Dallas now working closely with me...."

"It should occur to you that there was vast money involved in Kennedy's murder, and they would have the money to pay agents among us."

"I saw certain patterns in Dallas, and frankly, the law is interested in some of these matters."

"I ...work with the police."

On page 2, Locke Purnell is a law firm Livingstone told me was part of a vast Texas conspiracy to kill JFK. Mary Ferrell worked for it. He told me that makes here part of that imagined conspiracy.

"I am dealing right this minute with former FBI people in your area." (Dallas)

"... you have to consider the kinds of criminal conspiracies some of us are subjected to in the course of our research. Even so far as violations of the RICO statutes."

"I work closely with law enforcement. I am returning to Dallas shortly with a City Police Officer. They know very well what is going on. The State of Maryland has concurrent jurisdiction in the case." ("The case" is JFK assassination.)

"Through these contacts (Baltimore police), a British constable just provided me with an interview with Diana Bowron." (Bowron was a Dallas emergency room nurse.)

On page 3: "there is a criminal investigation going on with regard to certain of these materials, and it includes the Bronson film. (Of the JFK assassination.)

To quote one cop, 'He's going down, you can bet on it. He is going to jail'. "

"...there was an illegal conspiracy going on. One of the lawyers (on my publisher's side) is close to Harold W. They brought it up, not me."

11/19/92 Before writing me this letter Livingstone phoned me. He described his vast Texas conspiracy to kill the President. He said that among those involved in it were the Locke, Purnell law firm for which Mary Ferrell worked and H.L.Hunt. He has me involved in that conspiracy because he alleged I was of "help" to Hunt. I told him that was a fiction invented by the French CIA, SDECE, in a phony book, Farewell America. He insisted that is not a fake book. Thus he says of the alleged conspiracy in which he has others and me involved, "What makes you think that Hunt and the other rich men there were not involved? That is the way it works. Wealth controls this country, utterly..."

Pages 1-2: Of Mary Ferrell he says "Some say she is one of the richer women in the U.S. ...Eugene Locke was an ^{into} bassador to Vietnam, which should tell you something.... her connections go back ~~to~~ the conspiracy, ..." (His alleged quotation of me is

the opposite of what I have always believed and written.)

11/24/92: "I, on the other hand, have never denied being a bit crazy." He is and that ~~it~~ make him dangerous. In this selection of his letters there are two references to this date to his "craziness" being his "cover."

(I should explain that he has invented two conspiracies, the second, of so-called critics, to keep him from "breaking the case wide open." He has this part of the conspiracy to kill by protecting it from exposure. He has Mary Ferrell, Gary Mack, Gary Shaw and me the conspirators and in his most recent reference to it that I have he has me the ringleader in referring to "Harold and his gangsters.")

Page 7: "You just automatically assume that there is nothing conspiratorial about Mary Ferrell. Isn't it possible that people are used?"

"What I get back from you is the implication that somebody down there is going to hurt me for getting close to what you are trying to scare me off of."

Page 9: "Tell me, why did you automatically help Rothermel to protect (H.L) Hunt?"

(This is his fiction but he has me helping a JFK conspirator.)

"I need your help on the Texas conspiracy construct."

12/3/92: He articulates that there is a conspiracy. He means against him. He says to Gary Shaw "That you are an active participant" in this conspiracy of which I am the alleged leader. He underscored, "Playing by your rules (referred to above as "Hard Ball"), you might want to know that law enforcement is in ^{your} town and checking a few facts." (Shaw's town is Cleburne, near Dallas, and the reference to "law enforcement" here is to Baltimore police working for him, particularly Waybright.) The arrow in the margin is Livingstone's.

Pages 1 and 2: "And they are going to pay for it, possibly with jail. My publisher's lawyers have required me to go to the FBI, which I have done. Yes, it is an extortion, too." (As with so much that he says, where it is not false, as above where he says he did not "demand" to be heard, his publisher did not "require" him to go to the FBI. I know from that lawyer, who is my friend.) He then refers to his imagined conspiracy that he has me heading, "my enemies are engaged in criminal activities against me, and in this case." Then, " I see a criminal in bed with outright criminals."

12/8/92: There are vague references to his working with or being part of police operations, later more specific. "Rick" is Myrbyright, a Baltimore policeman who he says is "operational." He says he is working with the FBI and that "we will have the Bureau at our disposal for us to direct." At the bottom of the page he refers to "my phone man here," in Dallas. On page 2, his reference seems to be to the assassination that "comes from the wealthy bunch down here." His references are to Walter Annenberg, large publisher and to Pierre DuPont. As a young man I worked for both. This is nonsense, as is "decoding" me but indirectly it has me sympathetic to the assassination of the President and associated with those who would have been conspirators

His 12/9/92 fax is to his former associated, Robert Groden. In it he allages indictable crimes.

His 12/10/92 refers to "the harshest Federal responses" to what he alleges Groden is doing. He also alleges violation of federal laws.

12/13 phone call to me: he claimed he is getting help from the FBI.

12/13/92: "While there is much gibberish and nonsense (for example, I did not know and therefore could not have reported his movements, if I had any interest, which I didn't), but he is again specific in relating himself to the FBI and police. On page 2 after more that is not true he again refers to indicatble offenses by people of whom he later says I am their leader: "A lot of people in this are not on the up and up. There is a criminal conspiracy against the United States by some of the researchers, who are also engaged in a number of federal crimes with regard to copyright and so on. (He elsewhere cites RICO)."

He refers often to my "attacking" him. This actually refers to my explaining to him how and where he is factually wrong. That he cannot tolerate. And was so true! He then refers to "that gang of killers you are in with." This ~~is~~ refers to either or both of his imagined conspiracies, to kill and to keep him from solving the case.

2/6/93: He tells Shaw, supposedly my co-conspirator against him and in assorted crimes, "You are in danger of being sued for criminal conspiracy and criminal liable (sic) and slander." He went to Harvard and has a Baltimore law degree and consistently misspells libel. He here allleges indicatble offenses.

"I just returned from Dallas with three police officers from here." Aside from Waybright I understand he also has a policemen named Marco, I think Miranda. The third man is actually a Kmart security guard, I've been told. But again he associates himself with law enforcement and alleges indictable offenses. He refers to them as "my police officers." What is vague here, that researchers got large pay-offs in Dallas I was told he said includes me getting money H.L.Hunt allegedly ordered I be given, antirely false. He says this in his ^{once} refers to all those alleged "prominent Texans" who conspired to have JFK killed.

He has a law degree, he knows that private citizens cannot "prosecute," and having represented himself as with "law enforcement" (he says this literally later, "I am the law"), he says "I may very well prosecute Mary Ferrell...You are engaging in a Criminal conspiracy" (in caps on page 2) and he refers to the alleged "wrong" done him by "all of you," in which he later refers to me by name.

2/6/93: he says to my alleged associate who is not that at all in any way that "you are in dnager of being sued for criminal conspiracy and criminal liable(sic) and slander." He again associates himself with the Baltimore police: "I have just returned from Dallas with three police officers from here. We will be back there soon..." "I see this is a poor copy of which I got a clear one from someone else.

12/9/93: He tells Mary Ferrell that "you are engaged in a criminal conspiracy against me..." and "You had your ^{chance} with me and to cooperate in a criminal investigation con-

ducted by interested police and researchers. You underestimate the support I have..." He thus alleges that the police are investigating us for criminal acts. He then alleges again what is false, "The State of Maryland has concurrent jurisdiction." This appears to refer to the fact that the JFK autopsy was performed at Bethesda naval hospital, which is federal property and not Maryland "jurisdiction." ~~He~~ He here again associates himself with the police in alleged investigations of us for alleged criminal acts, indictable. He then says, "My police officers took extensive testimony during our ~~recent~~ last trips to Dallas...." Then, "you engage in character assassination, framing people, false witness and so on. Therefore you make yourself a ^{target} target of investigation..." While ^{none} none of this is true and is his ^{paranoia} paranoia ^{he is} imagining all these things, he makes these allegations in the context of an official criminal investigation, of indictable offenses.

Page 2: "None of you have the right to engage in a criminal (illegal) conspiracy to close me out...criminal slander put out by your bunch (that, if true, would "Baltimore police officers... work with me every single day for years....you have ^{been} committing crime after crime and are an accessory to murder, if not having had foreknowledge, as is now indicated by our sources." Later on page 2, "I can guarantee you that you will be plastered all over The New York Times if you fail to deal with what you, ^{Jack} Jack, Weisberg and Shaw have done to misdirect people and cover up the real witnesses and evidence in this case...." This is what he had told me by phone, that ^{there} there is his imagined conspiracy to keep him from breaking the case open by the ^{five} four of us, who want nothing to do with him and avoided all contact possible- and ^{we} are not in touch with each other about him or much of anything else. He also said that Ferrell and I are associated with the conspiracy to kill, she by having worked at her law firm and I by his imagined help to H.L.Hunt! ^{He} He refers again to what did not exist, that payoff to us (page 3), "Tell me where the trust fund was and its administrator that was paying for the Dallas cover-up in this ~~xxx~~ case? He also says of this, "What is clear with you and with Weisberg is that you are both trained in political warfare and that is what you are doing to us and this case."

2/10/93 to me: "I have retained ^{of} one of the largest law firms in Dallas and they will be taking action against the scum you call friends. ...The Police officers and myself are conducting a criminal investigation. You are interfering and committing a number of crimes. You are committing many torts against me and I will have you arrested and sued. ...The State of Maryland and the County have concurrent jurisdiction in the Kennedy case. ...You are interfering in a criminal investigation."

This refers to my not doing anything at all about anything at all until he made threats and all I did then was collect all the threats and related letters he wrote. But he here again charges me with indictable offenses and has himself acting for the police.

Page 2: "I will retaliate and we are at that pass. At this point you and the rest of your bunch are suspects in this case...(He refers to the JFK assassination as "this case"

in other letters as he did to me verbally, saying that Mrs. Ferrell ^{and I} (are parts of both of his invented conspiracies.) ...Page 2: "You are warned to make no further communications with anyone in Dallas about me either directly or through third parties, and to no one at all about me or any of the police officers working for me...." Again referring to us as part of the conspiracy to kill, "We know beyond a shadow of a doubt that the conspirators left a mechanism in place to pay people like you....You personally and all those involved will pay a terrible legal price. ..." (All the alleged threats and other interferences with the "police officers" is entirely without any basis at all but it again represents him as part of public authority and with regard to indictable offenses. It gets ever crazier when he speaks of a "they" first "that they never told you" and then making it clear that the assassins were in touch with me, what they never told me is "what they did to JFK the day they killed him."

3/2/95: He imp lies in the second paragraph again that he is with the police.

Although elsewhere he says the "Republican party was behind the assassination and that it was executed by the radical right of that party, here he blames it on President Johnson: "We know all about the Lyndon, the plot, and who paid for it, and the money that was used." Before this fantasy he involves ^{Mrs. Ferrell} ~~me~~ in the assassination along with LBJ in the previous sentence: "We know all about 1963 and your role in all of this. " By having me conspiring with her, in his latest formulation as the leader, he has me involved in the assassination.

Mrs. Ferrell had several serious and limiting accidents, has other health problems and is getting along in yours. Buck is her husband. He is very frail and has been in terminal illness for some time. His wife alone can care for him. ^{Livingstone} And he writes her this way. What he then says about me, with a different formulation else ^{where}, is simply false. Rothermel, who was H.L.Hunt's executive assistant until Hunt's sons fired him and others as thieves, never "picked up" and "tab" for me other than once treatment me to drink. In that same paragraph ^{Livingstone} he again charges me and the others with indictable offenses, "You are all in deep shit, Mary, because the State of Maryland has concurrent jurisdiction, and you are engaged in a criminal conspiracy." In the penultimate paragraph he says again, "... and the police who I work with." (The copier of the person who provided this was malfunctioning.)

On page 3 he says I was circulating a letter about him. Not then, not ever.

3/6/94: To Shaw, my alleged co-conspirator and fellow criminal: For someone who may face arrest you are certainly arrogant."

Page 2, middle: "You ^{all} are not only involved in a criminal conspiracy against this nation, but you are all basically criminals who engage in your own crimes...." This includes me.

Page 3: "You have made yourself a suspect in a criminal conspiracy against this nation, associating yourself with people who have committed numerous criminal acts." This also refers to me as the leader of his imagined "gang, " in a later letter.

Page 4: "...we documented that big money is there to pay people off, to buy their

'research time,' or to pay people like you and Ferrell."

Page 7: "You are looking at the authority of the State of Maryland when you see us, unofficially. One day soon it will be very official, and we'll see how you get around Federal Law enforcement. Maryland has concurrent jurisdiction in this case."

3/24/93: "...I'm fortunate to get some real help from law enforcement over the last year."

Page 2: He says of what he is doing, "This is an FBI operation, ..."

3/27: "...Harold was reporting regularly to the Hunt Oil Company who paid his trips to Dallas in the Sixties, ..." He thus has me working for those he said killed the President. I did not report to the Hunt Oil Company about anything and it did not pay my expenses on my trips to Dallas.

Page 2: This is where he states I am the leader of his imagined conspiracy against him by referring to "Harold and his gangsters."

In going over these I missed a letter in which he says, "I am the law."