Dear Dave.

It is now more than a month since we spoke. At that time you promised you would send me certain things. If you have, I have not received them. If you have not, how am I to regard this? Am I to take it as a sign of your integrity, or as its measure?

At that time I gave you a far of the available means of making your own check on Thornley's honesty, for you said you believed averything he said simply because you believe him to be an honest man. You have had more than enough time to do this, yet you are silent. If you have made such a check and it convinces you he is honest, do you not owe it to him to so declare to me? If you find the contrary true, after all you have done and said, do you not owe this to me? And what do you owe yourself and those others who are, in effect, limked to you because of their trust in you?

Things are being done that I would prefer to believe you would not be pert of. Lest Wednesday I got a phone call from a reporter on the Tampa afternoon paper. The had been carefully fed things by Thornley's lawyer, he said. Now this was well after I spoke to you. It turns out that he had been given a carefully corrupted account, and that he did not call me until five minutes past the paper s deadline. I therefore presume a defenation was printed. I also know that if he used what he said, you are responsible for it, for the original misrepresentation and the threat under which it was obtained were yours. If, once you knew the truth, which would have been obvious to begin with had it been what you sought, you did nothing to rectify what you had done, are you not then a conscious part of all this?

I took the time to takk to you and cerlier I wrote you hoping you would do what you have since admitted you have not done, make an independent investigation. You have failed to consider what you may be doing to yourself and thereby to those who trust you. When you act as you have and do what you have done merely on Thornley's word, wherein is your position different than that of those on the Warren Commission, those who wrote its Report?

Have you ever stopped to think that perhaps it is as I hold you - that I wanted you to be in a position not to hurt yourself?

There is another touchstone to Teornley's honesty. I find he and you through your association and, if I correctly recall, actual articulation, say Barbara Feid is "a practitioner of witchersft". Aside from whethereor not it is libelous, and I think it is, have you independent proof? Have you asked Kerry why he placed implicit trust in a women he knew was a "witch" and only years later decided she was bad, on the basis of no new associations with her. Have you asked him how he knews there was a vecdoo alter in her home? May do you not and then get in touch with me? I grant my information also comes from a partial source, but it is that he helped her erect this alter for a single purpose, a TV show! If that is all there is, what have you been doing and saying, what kind of "homest" man is Toornlay.

Withell, I want you to know have in no way indicated those things that are or most interest to me. Hurriedly, Harold Weisberg