## Civil Liberties Lawyer Blames Judge For His Ouster From Panel on Ethics had ever agreed to be on the

Civil liberties attorney Mon-District Court judges com-continued, where Burger re-U.S. Court of Appeals judge for having him removed from the speech. But signed from the panel and School professor and Civil Liberties Union attorney with sity panel on legal ethics.

In a letter to the Universi-committee. ty's law school newspaper, he Burger took the panel's George Washington Law reasoned argument," Freed-student organizer to lunch School newspaper that he had man wrote. and urged that Freedman be accepted an invitation from man wrote. dropped. The student, Fred Phi Alpha Delta, a legal Bennett, has acknowledged fraternity, to appear at an dropped when the student of t

lie to a judge or jury.

Burger, a conservative on added.

roe Freedman has blamed a plained about the speech. But signed from the panel and School professor and Civil a George Washington Univer. any breach of legal ethics by drop Freedman. the District Court's grievance "This is not the first time moderate the discussion. As a

said that Judge Warren E. unpublished letter to the attempt to combat them with Burger took the panel's George Washington Law reasoned argument," Freed-Bennett, has acknowledged fraternity, to appear at an dropped when the panel topic that he had lunch with Bur- Oct. 28 panel discussion on was broadened to "The Relative of the had been and Desponsibility of

Freedman.

Freedman and Burger clashed last fall after the law-yer said in a speech that under a few power of the said that Burger and Client, the Court, the Prosecutor, the Public and the Legal Client, the Court, the Public and the Legal Client, the Court, the Profession."

The said that Burger and Client, the Court, the Profession."

The said that Burger and Client, the Court, the Profession."

The said that Burger and Client, the Court, the Profession."

The said that Burger and Client, the Court, the Profession."

The said that Burger and Client, the Court, the Profession."

The said that Burger and Client, the Court, the Profession."

The said that Burger and Client, the Court, the Profession."

The said that Burger and Client, the Court, the Profession."

The said that Burger and Client, the Court, the Profession." der a few extraordinary cirhad agreed to participate. Lat the legal fraternity decided to
cumstances an attorney might
lie to a judge or jury.

And agreed to participate. Lat the legal fraternity decided to
restrict the panel to two memledward Bennett Williams was bers — Dash and Williams —

that Judge Burger has tried to result of Freedman's removal from the panel, Starr said, he Freedman wrote in his still-censor my views rather than has resigned as moderator.

Bennett said Freedman was ger, but denied that the judge the issue his speech raised tionship and Responsibility of the Defense Lawyer to his pand the removal of last year. the Defense Lawyer to his

to avoid "a shouting match." the Court of Appeals, and two Then came the luncheon, he Bennett denied that Burger

THE WASHINGTON POST

Friday, Oct. 14, 1966

panel in the first place.

James E. Starrs, a fellow Freedman, had been slated to