Your 4/13 story on Lane and Folicoff is what I'd heard before, attributed to Esvin, perhaps, or from "erry or both. I'm pretty sure forry did tell as. " have no trouble believing it. It does not bottor as except as it could became verry has anything to do with so evil a work and sticking a person. When you read what "one did with Jorny's roldlen file you il see for yourself. he triend to make very any a here with it.

In called co'in givence of the Ultrane broadcast. is I no recall that was the noming of the 4th. I prepared him. All those things you at ribuse to him I gave him for him to use even though he had said he'd decided not to do the show.

I did not know of the deli-dystein sutual hatrod, if it is factual, but I told "erry

about the \$5,000 doll got for that interview.

The Dallas reporting has been good. Tale time I've been getting it promptly. What Jerry did with it, if it is as good as it could have been, has little meaning. It reached fewer people that any ness account in the print press. The people it reached are not people who can make any difference.

and it moraly repeated what was printed.

If there is nothin, wrong with this it also means little if enything. what can be significant is alanked in your account of his report to your what Lane and Oltmans sold.

We will never know what pushed dell that little bit too for.

Jerry has his can committee hangup. To has never been right about it or good in his writin about it or even fair in his appearences about it. Given these dirousstances it is said-werving to lay the suicide on Epstein and on his alone. and this is to say that he becomes more undefendable.

Lilo under Priedt + dem