Despite Some Tinkering With Reality, the Shortcomings Often Seem Unimportant King, a Controversial Portrait

y Tom Shakes

"King," NBC's six-hour, three-part film on Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. and the civil rights movement, starts out as a cumbersome and heavy-handed situation tragedy, but eventually evolves, in about its fifth hour (to air Tuesday night), into a stirring and absorbing portrait of a man and a mission perfectly matched.

Even at its conclusion, however, "King," which begin with a two-hour telecast Sunday at 9 p.m. on Channel 4, doesn't inspire the tremendous emotional release one hopes for and expects. This is probably because writer Abby Mann mounts a high horse of sanctimonious preciousness at the start and insists on parading his own

It doesn't make King any more heroic a figure to portray John and Robert Kennedy as spineless varillators on the civil rights issue; Bobby is depicted as something of a quivering neurotic who doesn't find his courage until very late in the game. Mann is

TV Preview

the champ of moralistic revisionism

and over-the-shoulder judgments, of proclaiming with piety now how people in another time behaved or should have behaved.

Much later, Mann drops coy hints of a conspiracy by Memphis authorities—and, implicitly, the FBI—in the as—

tary-style-drame-on prime-time network television does not seem just the
forum for raising such speculation,
especially is a surreptitious, half-whis-

Mann is a slickly skillful dramatist, however, and "King" might have matched the riveting impact of "Roots," if only the script had been shaped by a competent director. It wasn't. The film was directed by Mann himself and it's safe to say that the director and the author managed to bring out some of the worst in each other.

other.

Worse, Mann has found new ways
to abuse the already questionable tactics of the TV docu-drama. Not only is
actual newsreel footage intercut with

the speculative narrative, but occasional new scenes were shot in black and white and with hand-held cameras so that they would look like newsreels, too.

Julian Bond, Ramsey Clark and, of all people, Singer Tony Bennett popup as themselves, and this seems a gambit to bolster the Illusion of authenticity, and a disreputable one at that Mann was clearly in a messianic mood, but at times he seems more concerned with advertising his own concern, and his own real or imagined credibility, than in telling King's story faithfully and movingly.

Dubious emphasis plagues the film. The role of Coretta Scott King in the

KING, E4, Col.

KING, From EI

Mann, and had approval rights over tion in the filming, according to King was paid a fee for her cooperamay have been overstressed. Mrs. for TV Guide magazine. later wrote an article about the film the script and the casting. Mrs. King movement and in shaping King's role

storytelling. were added in the interests of good periphery: some fictitious characters filming, remain ment but did not cooperate with the Abernathy, who was part of the move-Meanwhile, such figures as Ralph ginning of the civil rights movement this is presented as, in effect, the betime King met his future wife, and tion, Mann flashes back to the first Memphis prior to King's assassina-After an opening scene of riots in 0 the silent

cating ways. presented in less gimmicky and obfus tainly important enough to have been "King" is not an exploitative insult, like the recent "Ruby and Oswald" on ties that are strong enough and cer-Again the conflict between good stobut it tinkers about with reali-

this. Winfield is outstanding. ever widely derided he also was in have been easy to make a man so legendary and so widely idolized—howof "King," the title role is played with striking empathy and consistent con-viction by Paul Winfield. It couldn't To the credit, perhaps the salvation as believable and life-sized as

ling, Controversial Portrait on NB

comparison, and does. field's version is doomed to fail by and hear the "I have a dream" speech with a penetrating force and theatriscenes that his performance proves injust as he delivered it, so that Winthrough television can close our eyes table. Those of us who lived the era cal flourish that make them unforgetadequate, because the speeches of Martin Luther King were delivered

us this audience reaction. oddest and most disruptive moments and Mann is obsessed with showing working up some real evangelical peatedly undercut just when he's steam. Standing ovations occur at the poorly, anyway, that Winfield is re-Mann directs the speech scenes so

ate, to King's success with crowds in begins to look like a Dean Martin show-biz terms; it would figure. Roast Perhaps Mann could only rel-There's so much random applause it

police chief "Bull" Connor. Millan, who is brilliantly terrifying as drew Young that Mann created with Young's approval—and Kenneth Mc-Howard Rollins, who is sensational as Andrew Young—or the version of Anquality of other performances varies out piety to the role of Mrs. King, though it is rough going for both her and Winfield during Mann's sloppy and unseemly courtship scenes. The but two exceptional actors stand out: Cicely Tyson brings nobility with-

onstrations on television. Mann's diashown watching the Birmingham dem-John and Robert Kennedy are

or not, the NBC censor has since Kennedy some time later. Authente authentic and told to him by Bold dered it deleted. the b-s it takes to try to integrate Birmingham." Mann insists the line is President Kennedy saying,

Communit skeleton in the many miss a white lawyer from the maning into tears is when King has to supports this charge; one of blesse obige whites. A scene in "King ership and beneficence of certain trayed King as dependent on the less Abernathy contended that Mann few times Mann has King break Advance criticsm of "King" fra

olence in the South only really horrible when it was even direc he scenes are ludicrous and grate against sympathetic whites. At be by Bennett, seem to say that racial v The Tony Bennett scenes, narrated

At a press conference that followed a screening of "King," in unfinished form, last month in Los Angeles, nett appearance. "I thought it won a personal friend," he said of the Ben-Mann defended such touches, "Tony

state that politics is morally suspect with political consequences only and, ful one, and it is specious for King to "King," slightly dishonorable. But therefore, within the context of logue makes them sound preoccupied be poignant. He represents hundreds King was also a politician, and a stylof entertainers who did the South)." (performed at civil rights rallies in

At this point in the film, Mann had , "Imagine

of Jack, "He was a man who grew." nedy and said they were not meant to be derogatory. Of Bobby, Mann said, "To me, he is a man who grows," and trayals of John and Robert Ken est American." He defended the porbecause, "To me, he's really our great tion "my spiritual love letter" to King Mann called the \$5-million produc-

drop," he said, "but it was Cary Grant a \$64 answer: "I don't want to nameequipped to do it. Mann gave that one experience, directed the film himself who suggested it." instead of hiring someone better Mann, who did not have directorial The \$64 question, though, was why

film's many shortcomings often seem unimportant. still carries such meaning that the ject of "King" has such strength and But the fact remains that the sub-

influential pacifists in history. see in any feature motion picture this year or for many years," and although that's just more Hollywood hooey, "King" is uncommonly and undeniably powerful television—a harrowing come, in the process, one of the most through nonviolent means and to beaccount of how difficult it was to effect monumental social change more explosive than anything Mann insists that his film is "far

great storyteller may tell it. It is a great story, and someday a