Route 12 - OLD RECEIVER ROAD FREDERICK, MD. 21701

October 3, 1976

Mr. Marc Jaffe Bantam Books 666 Fifth Avenue New York, N. Y. 10019

Dear Mr. Jaffe:

In 1971 my FRAME-UP: The Martin Luther King/James Earl Ray Case was offered to Bantam for reprinting. You declined it.

Much has changed since then. I write to renew the offer.

That book is responsible for reopening the case. After it was out I became Ray's investigator. The first investigation was for his habeas corpus petition. It was successful. There was an evidentiary hearing for which I also did the investigating. Since then I have continued this work, with what I regard as considerable success. This includes by use of the Freedom of Information Act, beginning in 1969. In the first case I won a summary judgment against the Department of Justice. I have a current case in federal district court in Washington.

In short, I have done all the original work against the Department of Justice, the FBI and local authorities in the King assassination.

There came a time, after an acute thrombophlebitis, when I could not move around easily. I then turned over some proofs and leads to a reporter friend, Les Payne, of Newsday. I enclose copies of his stories. They may interest you, especially as they authenticate my eariler work.

In time I believe there will be a market for a sequel. I have it two-thirds drafted, to the point where the evidence I seek by suing the government is essential. They are stonewalling, as usual. All indications are that they will continue this tactic to the degree the court permits. However, with in excess of 200,000 FBI records alone to be searched if and when the court so orders, the amount of time for searching, even if under court-ordered speed, is considerable. They will be releasing these records to me on a regular basis under a plan to have been presented to the court this past Thursday. The time has been extended a week.

This situation is more than dangerous to a new book. Any one released record could mean its total ruin. So can the new House investigation. However, because it will be duplicating my work I'feel the prospect of the sequel is good. I alone filed suit for the records the House will need.

There is no possible danger to FRAME-UP. It has already been authenticated in court.

Meanwhile, I hope you share my belief that the auguries are good for the original work that is responsible for all of this and that has laid the basis for the House investigation.

By the time that investigation is over about two years from now, I will have written all the new evidence into this new book. Much if not most of the House's documentary evidence will be my work product.

Sincerely.

Nr. Walte Clampo Deuten Do ka 665 Mifth Avo., Non York, A.Y. 10019

Doer Walter,

policy of the second

Remarkth a copy of my titled proposed to limber for the regular of Prescribe

Frenkly I bollows that Dustas and felto would not to for many perpotual life in perpotual youth of I could offer it.

Honover, I do believe there is a new and promising life for this work at this time.

Actually the idea was not raise. I do not so into this with Jarra.

A small electridator in brackling some of my Whiteman books, those not close to out of print. He planted as four, five or six days are to give so an update. I did not make the question of lings in all him. He of each the equation that it would do very well now in reputat.

Repartions of any contrary popular mythology I have done almost all the basic work on the JHS nanoustration. I believe the time is now for a shydo-volume condensation/reprint. I am attiout the capability of taking my initiatives with this project. Over the years I've turned down assumed such offers, wenting to get more into print first, particularly finitestable.

I also believe that a paperhade condensation of it alone would do very wall. I've not had a single but reaction to it and it has recoived each period deapite its obvious literary liabilities. I have not hope take but if we have not recovered the cost we are close to it. This without sy having done a single show on it, placed an enable of for it or engaged in any other proportions. Only a single-chart flyer to our mailing list besides the select my work usual generate. For a long time descriptor to the imposite. It was printed while I we impositalized. For a long time descriptor is the about any cost or its commissional potential if having a maile it may not continued a potential in the select my cost or its commissional potential in having well. I was not ill, only everly have, when I having out out the fortune only I call mough copies in about two months to recover the number and reside by phase only I call enough copies in about two months to recover the number through could become what I have no remon to capact, a potent inches in the close-by charties.

Amorale to unique in the case way. It backled the most posserful and evertured them. It has editated the most rigorous teating, of its end no civicual resember sed the logic approach to enter has been attributed to it. I have found to educe once, both in my antiqued common. I'm proof.

I know hoping to be side to return to the scatting of the new idea book, testatively titled file files Contain white. Associate the new entertial I have I could write a little more. By life in court invisites this. I have time convent eases and the (avernment in december) to imap me begred down. I tight the book, with does not distinct will be a popular work that in also an expect and a sected document. It does not distinct first-in has not it will set. Actually, with what I not then have time to make not distinct and then any for regard painting time, I am it would that I could make it totally much from any new disclosures and coupled. On draft if I qualit get about three free weeks.