

*Had some trouble with the film? - video of the film
12/11/75 P.23*

JFK Assassination Expert Inspires Audience At FAU

By JACK OWEN
Staff Writer

BOCA RATON — National lecturer and assassination expert Mark Lane inspired an applauding audience at Florida Atlantic University last night to join nationwide pressure groups in calling for a reexamination of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy.

Lane gave a four-hour lecture and film presentation to the near standing-room-only crowd. The audience lengthily applauded Lane's proposal to form an action group locally of the "Citizens Commission of Inquiry." Based in Washington, D.C., the group has swung the support of 111 Congressmen into calling for a reopening of the Warren Commission's findings.

He outlined the wounds and time

sequence during which the 35th president of the United States and Texas Gov. John Connery were struck down. His statements, backed by testimony contained in the Warren Commission and by interviews with witnesses, claimed a minimum of five shots were fired at the presidential automobile.

"The Warren Commission claims there was one assassin and one virtually undamaged bullet created the devastating wounds," said Lane. He described the intricate path, pauses and change of direction required for such a single "wonder bullet" to hit the Warren Commission's contention.

He earlier described Lee Harvey Oswald's role as a Federal Bureau of Investigation operator and Jack Ruby as a "humblebrag in organized crime also working for the FBI."

Lane cited instances of testimony

being edited to fit a format which could be presented palatably to the public, the suppression of evidence and secrecy surrounding many areas of the investigation.

"The FBI had seven special agents interrogating Oswald," Lane contended. "They told the Warren Commission they had forgotten to use a tape recorder, order a stenographer, or even take field notes of that interrogation."

Lane, showing enlargements and slow motion sections of the Zapruder film, said there could be no doubt President Kennedy was shot from the front and from behind. He said a recent CBS television special by Dan Rafter which showed the same film sequences and had it examined by computer film-analysts had failed to state the analysts were "in-house" specialists working for the CIA.

Mark Lane: 'The film assassination cannot be considered a spectator sport.'

MEMO, 12/4/75 by Howard Roffman

Mark Lane was in town today to show Rush to Judgment (at \$2.00 admission) and speak. I didn't have time to go, but received a call this evening from a woman who went, who knows of me from working in a local bookstore, and who is interested in the case. She and about 20 others spoke to Lane for about an hour and a half after his talk in response to his call for volunteers to form a local Citizens Committee in Gainesville. She called me to ask if I was interested and what I thought of the idea.

I told her I'd have no association with the Committee, and explained why, but I told her that it would be constructive to get people to write their Congressmen. I asked her what Lane suggested the group do. She listed the following: first, inform themselves, then get petitions signed and letters written, and also raise funds for the Committee. She said he made a big plug for funds, claiming that he had to stop teaching law to work on this and was broke.

Among the things I asked her was how Lane proposed the group inform itself. She said he recommended reading the following: Model's article, and books by Epstein, Garrison, Thompson and Meagher. She said he did not mention my book, and she asked him if he was familiar with it, naming it to him. He told her he had never heard of the book before!

She said a good part of Lane's speech was taken up ripping into Dan Rather, and that in his later discussion with the smaller group, he asked them to have the University's speaker program (which had recently sponsored Rather) arrange for a debate between him and Rather.

Mark Lane on KGO, Ronn Owens show, 12/19/75

by phone

FOIA law passed in reaction to Watergate.

He (in sense of alone) has filed for what is still withheld. (To the best of my knowledge he files an incompetent request and then had to break it down into individual requests at least some of which are repetitious.

Says brain, etc. given to Archives.

Credits Rhoads, Belin with what is in C.A.2052-73 on no authority to classify. This, of course, was my preparation of the Ebzug committee

Claims just about all responsible critics have joined ~~the~~ CCI. I don't know of one.

Inference those not in it not responsible.

In mention of 1/27 transcript he talks as though it has no history, was never suppressed, was not published or that there is a book on it.

This is second side of tape filed under Anson