CITIZEN'S DISSENT - Notes

234

Typical of the dirty writing designed to falsely claim credit for what he had absolutely nothing to do with is this:

"After the publication of Rush to Judgment in 1966, considerable information regarding the possession of the autopsy photographs and X-rays became available for the first time."

The relationship here is like that of the moon and green cheese. There is nothing iikexwest in RTJ on this (or most other aspects) not already well known and not anything like what had already been well publicized, from other sources, notably WHITEWASH and Inquest. Mark knew so little about this aspect that he was then saying that what the autopsy doctors had burned was their notes. He made this mistake so often that even in this section of this book he is so evasive he doesn't say what was burned. In a TV taping of that period I had to correct him on what had, indeed, been burned. He is so uninhibited in his sue of other people's materials that he uses the phrase I used in WHITEWASH, "Best evidence", as the title of this chapter!