LA Free Press - Bradley

On the subject of Mark's "help" to Garrison and the success of Jim's case in court, the story he wrote for the Los Angeles Free Press, issue of 5/3-9/68, is of interest. It discloses his, Mark's, "interception" of a letter by Edgar Eugene Bradley, charged with conspiracy by Garrison.

Aside from the unlikeliness that Mark is here confessing a federal crime - more likely, he is taking credit for what someone else did - how does publication of this story help anything but the sale of his new book?

And what effect does it have on the use of the evidence in court - or the rights of the accused?

Mark Lane Intercepted Bradley letter shows why he should be extradited (Execusive to the America Press) 5/3-7/1/2

Mark Lane, New Orleans, Louisianamare Lane, New Orleans, Louisians—Edgar Engens Bracharged with conspiracy to assuming President John F. seely has made important admissions in a letter to a friend. It igner Bradisy admitted that he laies' facts about the case the public will never know shoult." Bradisy also wrote You can be that Cavald was not the only one involved."

This letter by Bradisy which I was recently able to injury constitutes the treatment.

constitutes the firs

Oklahema, on Nove to have her offer un alth She refused to sign th as she had not see

It quite obvio Bradley on Novemb cate an alibi for that without fear of presents

While the letter a tute full confessions u

documents that I have referred to, to Jim Garrison.
On December 20, 1967, Bradley was charged with complicated to murder President Kennedy. Garrison's efforts to bring Bradley to New Orleans have thus far been frustrated by California. Bradley said that he would fight extradition tooth and nail. His attoraeys secured a sixty day stay and then the California courts granted an additional six month stay. Bradley, who has been charged with conspiracy to assassinate the President, remains at liberty while the California courts slowly contemplate the legal metions.