 	pr
· · · · · ·	4 4 6 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14
	UNITED STATES CONTRACTOR CONTRACT
	W. I was a second of the secon
	11. 3MC: CNOUM
	Control Contro
	: Mr. Conraction DATE: 9/29/66
10	: hir. Conractice DATE: 9/29/66
•	Tioller
FROM	W. D. Griffith
	Goods
SUBJECT	
.)	IS - R - CUBA
`Υ .	
j	By airtel dated 9/13/66 the Chicago Office furnished a blind
	memorandum captioned Discussion of Warren-Gommission Report by
	Mark Lane and Albert E. Jenner, Jr., on Jerry Williams Show, 8 P. M.
ルオー	to 11:30 P. M., 9/15/66, WEEM Fadio, Chicago, Illinois." During the
111	television program Mark Lane adempted to discredit the work of the
グミ	Warren Commission and its conclusions in an obvious effort to promote Pulsification
	the sale of his book "Fush to Judgment." Mr. Jenner strongly defended 1
•	the work of the Commission and was critical of Lane's book. During the
).	program, among other allegations Lane reportedly made erroneous
T	references to some aspects of our examination of the amateur movie film
1	of the assassination made by Abraham Zapruder. He reportedly stated that
1	four of the frames had been taken out of the film by the FBI and the film had
	been spliced and that members of the Commission and lawyers for the
1	Commission did not know that the film had been spliced.
• : 1	Commission and not know that the firm had been spriced.
1	This is completely in error; at no time did the FBI modify the
j	This is completely in error; at no time did the FBI modify the original film in any manner. The facts are we never had custody of the original film which Zapruder sold to Life magazine. We made our original
1	joriginal film which Taxander cold to Tife magning. We made our original
. L	original film which Zapruder sold to Life magazine. We made our original
	examination from copies of the film and later from slides made from each
	examination from copies of the film and later from slides made from each frame and furnished to us by Life magazine. At the request of the Commission, a representative of Life magazine did bring the original film to the Commission and in the commission of the commission.
•	a representative c. Life magazine did bring the original film to the Commission
	and in the presence of one of our Exporatory expected ran the imm to the
• .	lawyers for the Commission. At that time and in the presence of the
•	representative from Life magazine, an expert from the FBI was allowed to
•	examine the original film briefly. The film was never out of the custody of
	-62-109090 -
•	105-82555
	NOT RECORDED
•	1 - 1.1. Mohr 199 001 19 1966
	i - Mr. DeLoach
•	1 - Mr. Sullivan (Mr. Lepihan)
	2 - Mr. Rosen (Mr. Malley) (Mr. Raupach)
:	1 - Mr. Conrad
•	1 - Mr. Griffith
•	1 - Mr. Shaneyier (1) CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. Conrad Re: Lee Harvey Oswald 105-82555

the representative from Life magazine during this period. We at that time noted no splice; however, subsequently when Life made slides of each frame available to us, it was determined by reference to the FBI copy of the film that certain frames were not represented in the slides and that the film from which the slides were made, now had been spliced. It is interesting to note that in the footnote on page 66 of Lane's book "Rush to Judgment" he makes reference to the fact that the film was in the custody of Life magazine and that Life was reluctant to release it to the Commission.

The initial assignment of numbers to the frames was done in the Laboratory and was based on the frames in the copy of the original that had been furnished to the FBI and this copy of the Zapruder film contained all of the frames and was made before the splicing occurred. As indicated above, we first became aware of the splices when individual slides of the pertinent frames were made by Life magazine and furnished to the FBI. These slides clearly show the splice on frame 207 and frame 212 and no slides were furnished for frames 208 through 211. It can be assumed that the splicing was done by Life magazine. The four missing frames were examined from the FBI copy previously obtained and although there is slightly less detail in the copy than in the original film, there was insufficient loss of information to be pertinent to the study of the film.

During the printing of the Warren Commission report, testimony and exhibits, two photographs representing frames from the Zapruder film were transposed resulting in mislabeling. Lane in the television program also referred to this mislabeling. This matter had been previously discovered and it had been previously established that the photographs of the frames and their numbers as furnished by the FBI Laboratory were correct, and the mislabeling in the Commission Report is apparently the result of a printing error.

RECOMMENDATION: None. For your information.

2- Dr.

July Will