

JOHN H. LATTA  
8238 EAST ANGUS DRIVE  
SCOTTSDALE, ARIZ. 85257

Monday, 9/11/67

Harold Weisberg,  
Hyattstown, Md - 20734

Dear Mr Weisberg: Have just received your mimeograph advertising your two new books, and my first reaction was to call my find and search bookseller (Guidon Books, 83 W Main Str., Scottsdale) to have them obtain me copies. It was through their kindness and interest that I was first able to locate and obtain my copy of Whitewash I a year or so ago and I seek to repay them by ordering later volumes through them. I also have Whitewash II obtained only recently, so my current order is for the ~~first two~~ last two volumes only.

The enclosed "Ten Questions" I wrote a few weeks ago was broadcast by a Dr Ellwood A Page on his program "The Enemy Within Our Borders", a religious broadcast over XERB (Wilmington, Calif) every Sunday night from 6 to 7 pm. The first broadcast was August 13, 1967 and was repeated at least once on request of listeners to the station. Inquiries are being received as the result of the broadcast and it quite likely will be repeated over other California stations. I trust you will notice my reference to your first two books of which I then had certain knowledge and I hope it may result in some direct orders.

If they were not on your mailing list for the mimeographed advertising I have just received, I believe copies from you to the following interested persons will be appreciated:

Station KLAC (Los Angeles) - Messrs Arbogast and Margolis  
Dr Ellwood A Page, P O Box 4546, Wilmington, Calif - 90746  
John T Stoddard, 133½ So New Hampshire, Los Angeles, Calif - 90004

If you could send me 15-25 copies of your mimeographed circular with reproduction of the N.Y. Times article, I would be pleased to circulate these to personal friends living in communities where Whitewash I still seems to be unknown.

I was astonished to find a paperback typeset edition of Whitewash I published by Dell Books at 95¢ on the local newstand! I am doubly astonished in view of your mimeographed circular received today advertising the original typed edition. Is this an authorized or a pirated edition of your first book? Is it complete or have significant parts been deleted? Will Whitewash II make its appearance soon in the convenient pocket-size format?

As to the possibility of a Jesuit-CIA link, John Foster Dulles was, as I recall, a Presbyterian and married, whereas Allen Dulles of CIA fame was Episcopalian and a celibate which is a common camouflage for "concealed Jesuits" assigned to civilian capacities. The son of John Foster became a Jesuit priest shortly before his father's death, a fact widely publicized in the newspapers. Who was the Jesuit influence so close to the Dulles family circle? Tenuous indeed as evidence, yet it does imply a religious influence hidden from common knowledge which might very well prove important in the final developments. This in addition to the large number of priests (and Jesuits) known to have been CIA agents.

Sincerely,

  
John H Latta

## TEN QUESTIONS !

### FOREWORD

"All Catholics should do all in their power to cause the constitutions of states and legislation to be modelled after the principles of the Catholic Church." - Leo XIII, Encyclical of Nov. 1, 1885.

"So soon as any man publicly profess heresy and tries by word or example to pervert others - he may justly be put to death." - Manual of Canon Law by Cardinal Pepicier, endorsed by Pius X.

"Certainly the Church does consider Protestants heretics!" - Father Harney (Paulist).

APOSTATE: One who rebels against or abandons his religious faith or moral allegiance.

\*\*\*\*\*

1. Was John F. Kennedy an APOSTATE to his church by reason of his several refusals to subordinate his responsibility to uphold the laws and American principles of government to the contrary demands of the leaders of his own church? **CANON LAW SAYS HE WAS!**

2. Was John F. Kennedy an APOSTATE to his church in his refusal to violate his solemn oath of office when he refused to support the Catholic Bishops in their demands for federal tax support of Roman Catholic parochial schools? **CANON LAW SAYS HE WAS!**

3. Was John F. Kennedy an APOSTATE to his church when he refused to abdicate his constitutional responsibilities by committing the naval forces of the United States unrestrictedly to Jesuit-CIA command in the Bay of Pigs episode? **CANON LAW SAYS HE WAS!**

4. Was John F. Kennedy an APOSTATE to his church by reason of his refusal to escalate the Vietnam War when demand was made upon him to do so by the Jesuit-CIA? Was he MURDERED to make way for a President known to be more amenable to Jesuit-CIA demands? **CANON LAW SAYS "YES" TO THE FIRST QUESTION!** The suspicious shortcomings and indications of deliberate concealment, deception and/or FRAUD in the published Warren Commission Report could indicate that "yes" is the proper answer to the second question also.

CANON LAW: "The death sentence is a necessary and efficacious means for the (Catholic) Church to attain its ends when rebels against it cannot be restrained by any other penalty. It (the Church) can and must put these wicked men to death." - Marianus da Luca, Society of Jesus (Jesuits).

5. By John F. Kennedy's firm stand in support of long established American principles of government, did he - knowingly or not - by his open insubordination to the claimed superior authority of his church, incur the mandatory and irrevocable death penalty under provisions of Canon Law similar to those cited; and was the execution of this sentence of death planned and executed by agencies under the control of Jesuits acting in their historical capacity as the official executioners and assassins for the Roman Catholic Hierarchy throughout the world?

6. In other words, to quote directly from Canon Law, was John F. Kennedy MURDERED by the emissaries of his own church because he first of all was a courageous American citizen and - by Jesuit definition - was thereby



"a wicked man" and "a rebel" who "could not be restrained by any other penalty" from conducting the affairs and the responsibilities of the Presidency in accordance with his solemn oath of office to support and uphold the nation's laws?

7. Was it merely by chance that the head of the Jesuit - controlled CIA was the most diligent and influential member of the Warren Commission, giving the closest personal attention to the evidence presented of any of the members of that Commission, and who thereby stands out as the one member of that Commission most likely to have had the necessary detailed knowledge to have effectively collaborated in the numerous and extensive perjuries, falsifications, forgeries and concealments with which the records of the Commission presently available are replete (entirely without reference to still other records known to have been removed from Commission files, suppressed or destroyed) and which underlie and constitute the sole verification of the published official findings of that Commission? (See "Whitewash" and "Whitewash" II by Harold Weisberg, Hyattstown, Maryland-20734; privately published © 1965 each.)

8. Was John F. Kennedy in fact MURDERED on orders of the Roman Catholic Hierarchy acting through a quasi-governmental agency of the United States under Jesuit domination and control and operating on an unlimited secret budget financed directly through the Treasury of the United States? Did the American taxpayers in fact pay the conspirators for the MURDER of John F. Kennedy, then also foot the bill for the pseudo-investigation which followed?

9. To what extent are today's more prominent "war hawks" involved in or are profiting financially by their support of Roman Catholic policy to MURDER American Presidents who prove "non-cooperative" with the Jesuit CIA, and to thereby SUBVERT the Government of the United States to a foreign-controlled religious dictatorship? Was it merely by chance that Adolf Hitler, Benito Mussolini, and all the "fifth column" leaders of the overrun nations of World War II were - without exception - staunch and dedicated Roman Catholics of Fascist bent?

10. Why are all this nation's major media for the mass dissemination of news so terrified of any truly open and frank discussion of these matters, but instead pool their efforts to induce a normally gullible American public to accept their fantastically outrageous "explanations" without critical analysis of the evidence? Just whose ox lies hidden under the garbage heap of the Warren Commission Report which no reasonably sane person today can accept as factually true?

Footnote: Since every previous assassination or attempted assassination of American Presidents and prominent political figures were by Catholics either individually or as part of a conspiracy involving other Catholics, why should the assassination of John F. Kennedy stand apart as the only exception? That single fact makes the conclusions of the Warren Commission Report highly questionable.