

August 30, 1985

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

I trust that you received my previous letter to you of August 16th, along with which I enclosed a check for \$33.75 and ordered four of your books.

Enclosed are some updates that might be of interest to you. You may be right about Hugh McDonald, i.e., he is too clever to let me get to the bottom of his allegations. He sure seems to be avoiding me, and I've written to him via his publisher three times. However, he has not had time to receive my last communication.

Very truly yours,

Richard W. Sandkramer

August 17, 1985

To: Anyone Interested In The Landkamer Project
From: Richard A. Landkamer, Apt. 21 - 3805 Sherwood Lane, Houston, Texas 77092

When I first started distributing my "public" file on Skull and Bones and related conspiratorial matters over a year ago, I could afford to mail out copies for free as a public service. At that time, my "public" file was approximately 1/4 of its present size, and I had about 1/4 of my present mailing list. The problem is that both the size of my "public" file and my mailing list are growing constantly. More recently, I started charging \$10.00 for my basic "public" file, and have been mailing out periodic updates free of charge to some of the more recent people who purchased my "public" file. Most of those who initially requested my free "public" file as it existed over a year ago have long since dropped off my mailing list, particularly those who never wrote to me again. At present, I have a handful of "activists" who regularly contribute money and/or research information in varying amounts of each, and these people have and will continue to receive first priority on my mail outs. For the rest of you, what I'm going to have to do is this:

(1) I'm now going to have to charge \$15.00 for my basic "public" file, plus \$10.00 per year for anyone who wants periodic updates on an unscheduled basis as my time permits. I have neither a wife nor a secretary to handle any of this, so I can make no commitments regarding periodic updates. However, by charging \$10.00 per year for updates, I can separate those who want to receive updates from those who have no interest. Most of those who ordered my present "public" file have never written to me again, so I haven't the foggiest idea what their reaction and/or interest is.

(2) For foreign mailings, just double the above amounts.

One other item: The Phoenix Letter is actually owned by Research Publications (Johnny Johnson) -- not Dr. Sutton. If you don't like its becoming just another financial/investment publication over the last few months, complain to Johnny Johnson, not Dr. Sutton. Dr. Sutton is presently negotiating with another publisher with regard to possibly publishing a separate newsletter on international conspiracies. At present it is unknown whether anything will develop from any of these negotiations. If anyone is interested in possibly participating, please contact me.

Richard A. Landkamer
3805 Sherwood Lane, Apt. 21
Houston, Texas 77092
August 24, 1985

Mr. Scott Thompson
c/o Campaigner Publications, Inc.
P.O. Box 17726
Washington, D.C. 20041-0726

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Thank you for your letter of August 15, 1985, in response to my previous letter to you of July 27, 1985. My comments and questions are as follows:

(1) I note your statement that you "would not ... seek linking Skull and Bones to the Kennedy Assassination, unless it were to investigate the deeper history of Freemasonry from a broad, historical standpoint." If you have any information/evidence linking Skull and Bones to Freemasonry, I would very much like to have it. As you may know, Dr. Sutton's books on "The Order" have attempted to link the founding of Skull and Bones to Adam Weishaupt's Illuminati, the latter of which was heavily infiltrated into Freemasonry, particularly in Europe. However, if you have any evidence of any direct link between Skull and Bones and Freemasonry -- as opposed to a possible indirect link through the Illuminati -- then I would very much like to have and/or purchase any such evidence/documentation for my files.

(2) I've been told that at one time some LaRouche publication did write an article on and/or expose Skull and Bones. If this is true, then I would very much like to have copies of any and all articles that have ever been written on Skull and Bones in any LaRouche publication(s). I've never seen any such article(s) advertised, and I've received long lists of old LaRouche publications from Lloyd Miller of A-Albionic Consulting and Research, P.O. Box 20273, Ferndale, Michigan 48220.

(3) My personal belief is that Skull and Bones is part of the secret inner core of the conspiracy that largely controls the "Establishment" and other major portions of this country. How large a part? I don't know, but my guess is somewhere between 5% and 25%. I've wondered if there could be several more Skull and Bones type secret societies in this country just waiting to be discovered. I suspect there could be, but to date I've been unable to find anything else like Skull and Bones in this country. Perhaps no other part of the conspiracy is structured like Skull and Bones. I suspect that the Bilderbergers are a secret organization, and they may play a key part in all this. Part of my research/investigation is to separate the truly secret organizations/societies from such widely known and highly publicized propaganda/front outfits like the CFR, Trilateral Commission, and the Club of Rome.

(4) Regardless of who and/or what group was responsible for the JFK Assassination, my "gut" feeling is that if those conspirators could ever be exposed and brought to justice (those that are still alive, that is), then the net result might be to obliterate another(?) 5% to 25% of the secret inner core of the conspiracy that largely controls the Establishment of this country. It may be that the group behind the JFK Assassination has links into multiple branches and/or parts of the over all conspiracy. People like McGeorge Bundy, the late Henry Luce (then publisher of Time and Life Magazines), and the late Senator John Sherman Cooper (a member of the Warren Commission) all played a part in helping to cover up all evidence of any conspiracy in the JFK Assassination. All were members of Skull and Bones, as is William F. Buckley, Jr. Whether their membership in Skull and Bones has any significance with regard to the roles they played in the cover up is unknown to me. I suspect that similar connections could be uncovered with regard to The Bilderbergers and possibly other secret organizations.

fake

(5) I am much more interested in trying to uncover the facts and evidence as to who and/or what group was responsible for the JFK Assassination, and why, than I am in engaging in some philosophical debate. I note that JFK Assassination researchers seem to come in two broad categories: (1) Those like Harold Weisberg and David Lifton, who state that while there was indeed a conspiracy behind the JFK Assassination, I'm wrong for trying to ascertain who and/or what group was responsible for it. (2) Those who claim/allege to know who was responsible for the JFK Assassination. The problem with many in this latter group is that they have published mountains of conflicting allegations/charges. The only thing I know for sure is that they cannot all be right. I strongly suspect that the conspirators themselves are responsible for some of this as part of a calculated disinformation campaign.

(6) Your allegations in The Buckley Family, the information that came out in The Spotlight regarding E. Howard Hunt and the CIA's involvement in the JFK Assassination, and the allegations contained in Hugh McDonald's book, Appointment in Dallas, all seemed to fit/tie together rather well without any major contradictions. Unfortunately, the allegations contained in the LaRouche "Special Report" on PermindeX: Britain's International Assassination Bureau -- The Killers of JFK Target Reagan and the Pope -- do not fit together with these other allegations. Conspicuously missing from the LaRouche "Special Report" on PermindeX are the names William F. Buckley, E. Howard Hunt, and the late George DeMohrenschildt, along with allegations of any significant CIA involvement in the JFK Assassination. Instead, we seem to have an almost completely new set of allegations involving the alleged PermindeX conspirators working with several alleged key agents of FBI "Division Five", and that these were allegedly controlled by the British "Special Operations Executive" (SOE) working in conjunction with key elements of the Zionist Conspiracy and the Israeli Mossad. If I am to try and make any coherent sense out of all these allegations, then I've almost got to believe that there were two separate -- and apparently simultaneous(?) -- operations and chains of command: One was your alleged "dummy" assassination involving William F. Buckley, E. Howard Hunt, the late George DeMohrenschildt, and key operatives of the CIA. The other was the real assassination, which was allegedly masterminded by the above PermindeX conspirators in conjunction with FBI "Division Five". Hence, the CIA was allegedly involved in a "dummy" assassination, while the FBI "Division Five" was allegedly involved in the real JFK Assassination. My opinion is that these allegations are not consistent and do not tie together very well.

(7) The only thing really consistent was that Oswald was set up to be the patsy. But who controlled Oswald? In The Buckley Family, you allege that Oswald was controlled by the late George DeMohrenschildt "who introduced Lee Harvey Oswald to Ruth Paine, the immediate controller of both Marina and Lee Harvey Oswald at the time of the assassination... It was also DeMohrenschildt who introduced Oswald to Hunt lieutenant Guy Bannister." According to page 11 of the LaRouche "Special Report" on PermindeX, Guy Bannister was "the New Orleans station chief of the FBI's Division Five" -- not a "lieutenant" of E. Howard Hunt. Then on page 16 of the LaRouche "Special Report" on PermindeX, it is alleged that "During the six-month period leading up to his murder in Dallas, the real Lee Harvey Oswald had been operating out of New Orleans and Dallas under the immediate supervision of Division Five regional director Guy Bannister." Please explain all of these apparent contradictions to me.

(8) The LaRouche "Special Report" on PermindeX contains several references to "the suppressed PermindeX document authored by 'William Torbitt' (an apparent pseudonym)". I am attempting to obtain a copy of Nomenclature of an Assassination Cabal, by "William Torbitt". Is this the same document? Also, one of my sources alleges that "William Torbitt" was the pseudonym for two authors: David Copeland and Bill Boxley, both of whom are deceased. Do you know if this is true, and if so, can you tell me anything about either or both of these alleged authors of the "Torbitt" document? Awaiting your reply, I am,

Very truly yours,

Richard A. Landkamer

August 15, 1985

Mr. Richard A. Landkamer
3805 Sherwood Lane, Apt. 21
Houston, Texas 77092

Dear Mr. Landkamer:

I wish I had a better typewriter, since you appear in the business of xeroxing letters for all and sundry. Let me say with regard to your request that I stand by what I said, and I had sufficient sources to enter any court in a libel case. I might add that one died in circumstances which Mr. Buckley may or may not have an opportunity to confess, if he is a practicing Catholic and recalls this incident. A second source took exception to what I had published, set a professional assassination into place, and it took considerable effort on my part and that of various friends to place it back on hold. Other sources have other interesting stories.

You may have noticed that the white Russian Solidarist George De-Mohrenschildt, whom I mention as having been a controller of the Manchurian candidate assassin, Lee Harvey Oswald (as well as an acquaintance of the Buckley family oil interests) shortly before he was to be interviewed concerning his knowledge of this matter. I shall not go into the circumstances, as I have mixed feelings about others who may have been present, or else were to have been present shortly. Let me caution you that what I did not say was that William F. Buckley plotted, or necessarily even had foreknowledge, of the plots amongst his acquaintances when he was the doyen of the New York rightwing.

Someday I would be pleased to discuss our work on "Permindex," which gets at a higher-level in such matters, than Mr. Buckley can ever hope to attain as a "lace curtain Irishman." I am not an apologist for Mr. Buckley, as too many intelligence officials have had fun telling me about his exploits with Nazi international terrorists, not to mention one source whom Mr. Buckley once employed to smear my name in his rag, thereby attesting no doubt to his veracity.

You have what appears to be a problem with neo-Aristotelianism. Let me be specific. While I served in the New Hampshire Presidential Primary

ZEBRA BOOKS/KENSINGTON PUBLISHING CORP, 475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10016 (212) 889-2299

August 20, 1985

Mr. Richard A. Landkamer
3805 Sherwood Lane, Apt. 21
Houston, TX 77092


Dear Mr. Landkamer:

I will be more than glad to forward another letter to Mr. McDonald.

In regards to the information that I gave you about APPOINTMENT IN DALLAS being out of stock, I was misinformed. I am sorry but according to our Operations Manager this book is out of print. May I suggest you try second hand book stores to find it.

Again I apologize for giving you the wrong information.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Suzanne Stone".

Suzanne Stone

OPEN LETTER

Richard A. Landkamer
3805 Sherwood Lane, Apt. 21
Houston, Texas 77092
August 23, 1985

Mr. Charles Muller
The Bilderbergers
a.k.a. The Bilderberg Group
477 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Dear Mr. Muller:

You will note from the enclosed photocopies that I obtained your address from The Spotlight after unsuccessfully attempting to obtain your address from The Trilateral Commission. I find this very strange, since my research indicates that the North American Chairman of The Trilateral Commission, Mr. David Rockefeller, has apparently attended just about every Bilderberg Meeting since The Bilderberg Group was formed in 1954. Am I to believe that The Bilderbergers are so secret that Mr. Rockefeller does not have any address for contacting you? Enclosed is a photocopy of a letter to me dated July 31, 1985, from Mr. Peter Witte, Information Officer of The Trilateral Commission, stating that "Regretfully, we do not have the Bilderberg address, which you requested." Mr. Witte suggested that I write to the Freeman Digest at an address which he provided. When I attempted to do this, my letter was returned from the Post Office marked "Return to Sender -- No Forwarding Order on File".

I would like to know more about The Bilderbergers. More specifically, I've collected quite a file on you from The Spotlight. Now, I would like to read your side of this controversy. Please send me copies of your Annual Reports for as many years back as they are available. Also, please send me the complete membership list of your Bilderberg Group covering every year since your organization was founded in 1954. Finally, please send me a complete catalogue of all of your literature, reports, and other publications that I can purchase from you and/or subscribe to. I presume your catalogue will contain a complete price list for purchasing all of your publications. You will note that I recently subscribed to the current publications of The Trilateral Commission. Now, I want to do the same with The Bilderberg Group.

Thank you. Awaiting your reply, I am,

Very truly yours,

Richard A. Landkamer

c.c.: The Spotlight