Ar. Paul Avery San Francisco Chronielo San Francisco, Calif.

Dear or. Avery.

Has I not been in Memphis as James Earl May's empaid investigator when your story headed "mayore Accuse Kleindienst of Lying in Court" asseared (Chron 10/2/74) and thereafter participating in the r cent hearing manages by the 6th circui. court of appeals I's have written you seemer.

There can be no more unasashed list than Kleinsienst one no system of justice can survive such officials.

He was Deputy Atterney "eneral when I suce Justice under the Freedes of Infernation law in federal district court in Washington. He never stepped lying. As a result I was awarded a successry jusqueent against the Department in my Civil Action 718-50. In fact, after I gave him proof from the State Department that he was lying he persisted in the same lies. Successry judgments against the Justice Department are not quite as common as creathing.

I have a chapter on this in my book on the King assassination, Frame-Up. I also go into it in the fourth of my whitewash serios, to be published (by me) a week from this coming friday.

It may now we too late to help the lawyers brittain and Scott of their client, an Abascal.

On the chance it is not and having no way of getting in touch with them without considerable effort, I write. If you's care to forward this to the lawyers, thanks. If I can help them I regard it as helping the system of justice work. That, in my els-fauhiones selief, is the responsibility of all writers.

Sincorely,

Marele Weisberg

Wiretap Issue

Lawyers Accuse Kleindienst of Lying in Court

By Paul Avery

Former Attorney General lawyers to festify concernaccused vesterday of lying under outh in testimony he gave in a San Diego courtroom last November, The Chronicle has learned.

The allegation of perjury against the Nixon administration cabinet member was made by two San Francisco lawyers in documents filed in U.S. District Court in San

Attorneys John C Brittain and Philip Scott Ryan filed what is legally termed an "application for an order to show cause why Richard Eleindienst should not be held in contempt,"

The decoments they filed accuse Karindleast not only of giving false testimony, but of obstructing instice and refusing to produce courf-ordered records necessary for the detenge of a criminal case in which the two lawyers were involved.

Kleindienst teld The Chronicle he would have no comment on the allegations until he receives the documents and has time to study

Kleindier subpoenaed by the ban Francisco early January, 1973.

Richard C Kleindienst was ing a wfretap order he had signed allowing federal customs agents to listen in on telephone conversations of Manuel Abascal, 27, then a Diable Valley Colfege strdent suspected of drug deal-

> Abaseal and several other persons were arrested in March, 1973, and Brittain and Ryan, in preparing Abascal's defense, learned of the wiretap order, which the government contended was authorized by Kleindienst on Jan. 9, 1973.

"Because of a long history of questionable and lilegal practices engaged in by the Department of Justice with respect to wiretapping," the attorneys state in the documents, they sought to question Kleindienst about his signing of the order.

Last November, the rederal judge hearing pretrial motions in the case approved issuance of a subpoena and further ordered that Rleindienst - who had by then resigned, as attorney general - bring with him any logs, documents or other objects" which would prove his whereabouts in



KLEINDIENST Ex-afforney general

The attorneys say they suspected Kleindienst may not have been in Washington on January 9, and that the wiretan order may have been signed later and back-dated, possibly rendering n. illegal.

When Kleindienst appeared in court last November 29. attorney Brittain asked him if he had any of the subpoena-ordered records with him. A iranscript shows Kleindienst answered:

No. I haven't. The log that I had is in the possession of the Ervin Watergate committee. It wasn't available to me."

The attorneys say they subsequently learned that;

· Prior to his Aug. 6, 1973, appearance before the Senate Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Acfivities, Kleindienst turned over subpoenaed logs; records and other documents to R. Phillip Haire, an assistant counsel on the Watergate committee.

 Shortly after Kleindienst testified before the Watergate committee, Haire claimed he personally hand ed over the Kleindienst que-

uments to a small side and fold him to hand-deliver the records back to the former attorney general's office in Washington.

• The staff place, Brent McKnight, says he carried out those orders

Brittain and Ryan centend their investigation shows the zecords Kleinnienst, by Nevember, 1973, said wore still with the Watergale curponis. tee, had in fact been returned to him more than three months earlier.

"Mr. Kleiemensi, for reasons unknown to us, fied under oath." Ryan said yearer

Kleindienst was appointed by former President Nixon to the post of attorney general in 1972, succeeding John Mitchell. He served until April, 1973.

Last May 16, Kleinwenst pleaded guilty to a misdemeanor charge of refusing to answer questions put to him by members of the Senate Judiciary Committee, during his confirmation hearings, in 1972.

Kleindienst received a 30-day jail term, sensence suspended, last June 7