

12/18/75 unrecorded

DENIALS

1. If before 12/18/75 there had recently been a "serial-by-serial review" of the entire MURKIN file, why has compliance with so old a request been delayed so long?
2. Cuckoldry as motive and no reference in all the MURKIN files:

This is an old trick, of searching the wrong files. The one in which that was certain not to be found is MURKIN. Aside from personal files on King there was a series of Cointelpro, Cominfil, Racial Matters and similar files.

Added comment: these files contain false affidavits possible because they were executed by officials lacking first-person knowledge. They also contain the proof of the falsity.

Serial 6013X dated this rushed MURKIN review at 12/5/75.

Serial 6013X dated this rushed MURKIN review at 12/5/75.

Mr. W. R. Wannall

J. G. Daegan

MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.

- 1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
- 1 - External Affairs Div.
(Attn: [REDACTED])
12/18/75
- 1 - General Investigative Div.
(Attn: [REDACTED])
- 1 - Mr. [REDACTED]
- 1 - Mr. [REDACTED]
- 1 - Mr. [REDACTED]
- 1 - Mr. [REDACTED]
- 1 - Mr. [REDACTED]
- 1 - Mr. [REDACTED]

In the attached newspaper article which appeared in "The Washington Post" on 12/17/75, Jack Anderson claims that shortly after King's assassination on 4/4/68, Mr. Hoover, through an intermediary, sent word to Anderson that the motive behind the murder of King was cuckoldry and that the assassin apparently had been hired by a jealous husband. According to this article, the FBI intermediary identified the Los Angeles couple to Anderson.

Personnel in the Intelligence and General Investigative Divisions, familiar with our investigations of King and his assassination, were canvassed and had no recollection that an FBI representative contacted Anderson and advanced the above motive theory outlined in his article. In addition to canvassing personnel familiar with our investigations concerning King, there was recently completed a serial-by-serial review of the assassination (MURKIN) case and no evidence was discovered that Anderson was contacted.

Our canvass of personnel included SAC Richard Long of the Phoenix Office, who supervised the MURKIN case at FBIHQ. Long stated that early in the MURKIN investigation, prior to identifying Ray, the Bureau interviewed a number of people close to King, including the Los Angeles woman with whom King had had a close relationship, to ask them if King had confided in them information relating to threats on King's life, which information could be used for leads in determining the identity of the assassin. However, Long did not recall anyone going to Anderson as claimed in the article.

ACTION:

None. For information and record purposes.

Enclosure

ENCLOSURE

① - 44-38861 (MURKIN)

JTA:js

61 JAN 13 1976

44-38861-

NOT RECORDED

46 JAN 5 1976

100-106670-4065 ORIGINAL FILED IN

Hoover Floated Hoax Story on King

By Jack Anderson
and Les Whitten

The FBI vendetta against Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. didn't end with his murder. FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover, who had tried to blacken King's name while he was alive, also tried to tarnish it after his death.

Not long after King was gunned down on the balcony of his Memphis motel on April 4, 1968, Hoover sent word to me that the motive behind the murder was cuckoldry, that the assassin apparently had been hired by a jealous husband.

I have held back this story for more than seven years because of my rule against revealing sources. But Hoover is now fading from the contemporary scene into history. His incredible attempt to panic King into committing suicide, it seems to me, also abrogates any right he may have had to confidentiality. Therefore, I have decided it is in the public interest to tell the story.

Back in 1968, I was on good terms with the old FBI curmudgeon. He sent word through an intermediary that King's killer, James Earl Ray, had been in Los Angeles shortly before he returned east to stalk the civil rights leader.

Hoover's messenger suggested that Ray had been hired by a jealous husband, who had become enraged by the discovery that his wife had borne King's child. The intermediary identified the Los Angeles couple and showed me supporting data, including an FBI report describing a passionate interlude between the wife and Dr. King in a New York City hotel.

I was eager, of course, to find out who was behind the assassination. So I flew to Los Angeles and did my damndest to confirm the FBI leads. I waylaid the wife and husband separately for confrontational interviews and questioned

others who might have known of the alleged love affair, the paternity of the child or the attitude of the husband toward King.

I could find absolutely no evidence that contradicted the couple's own explanation that Dr. King was an honored friend of the family, a frequent guest in their home and nothing more.

I also discovered with deepening apprehension that there were no FBI agents on this trail that was supposed to be so hot. I returned to Washington satisfied that the FBI story was erroneous and half convinced that it was a deliberate hoax.

Yet I was reluctant to believe ill of Hoover. Like so many others, I wanted to believe there was at least one rock of integrity in Washington. He had, after all, created a miracle — an honest and efficient police force out of what had been in 1924 a corrupt menagerie of drunks, hacks, misfits and courthouse hangers on. So I kept the jury out on Hoover.

In late 1970 the jury came in, for me. I happened to be on an airplane with the late Rep. Hale Boggs (D-La.), then the House majority leader. He told me how members of Congress were being intimidated, if not blackmailed, by Hoover.

He said that the FBI would come upon a skeleton in a member's closet — a woman, a vice, a shady business associate — and then get word to him that an accusation against him had reached the FBI and they wanted to alert him so he could be on his guard. From then on the member was likely to be a captive of Hoover.

For the next few days, I circulated among officials and reporters who were likely to know something about the dark side of Hoover. I discovered that every last one of them was afraid of Hoover. A check of the newspaper morgues in late 1970 demonstrated the result of Hoover's carrot-and-stick mastery of public relations: decades of laudatory, often

- Dep. AD Adm. _____
- Dep. AD Inv. _____
- Asst. Dir.:
- Admin. _____
- Comp. Syst. _____
- Ext. Affairs _____
- Files & Com. _____
- Gen. Inv. _____
- Ident. _____
- Inspection _____
- Intell. _____
- Laboratory _____
- Legal Coun. _____
- Plan. & Eval. _____
- Spec. Inv. _____
- Training _____
- Telephone Rm. _____
- Director Sec'y _____

Phillips

- The Washington Post C18
- Washington Star-News _____
- Daily News (New York) _____
- The New York Times _____
- The Wall Street Journal _____
- The National Observer _____
- The Los Angeles Times _____

Date 12/17/75

ENCLOSURE

44-38-1-

dolizing coverage; but no sustained, brass-knuckled, mass circulation attack and few criticisms of any kind.

Because we believed no police official should ever become this powerful in America, we began an investigation of the FBI chief. In a series of columns that ran sporadically from December, 1970, through the fall of 1971, we revealed among other things:

That Hoover had received \$50,000 for books written in his name by FBI personnel on government time; that he had accepted annual free vacations at the Hotel Del Charro near the Del Mar, Calif., race track from oil millionaire Clint Murchison Jr.; that Hoover used the FBI to dig up and circulate dirt about the private lives of prominent Americans who had committed no crimes; that he had kept members of Congress under surveillance then lied about it.

We also discovered that the man of steel, the deity who kept a life-sized bronze bust of himself in the foyer of his home, was in reality, even as you and I, a fatty with a sweet tooth and a stomach full of gas pains; a dweller in a burglary-ridden neighborhood whose own Christmas lights had been vandalized; a fearful old man who crouched in one corner of his bulletproof limousine and propped up his hat in another corner.

As the months of 1971 passed, and it was seen that we had not been struck down by lightning, critical scrutiny began throughout the national media — Life, Time, Newsweek, the daily press, nightly television.

The result was instructive. Hoover suddenly pulled in his horns.

White House aides complained that Hoover had grown soft. But Hoover had rediscovered the restraints of the American system — too late for his reputation but not too late for the country.

(1971 United Feature Syndicate, Inc.)

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. Gallagher *JJC*

FROM : J. S. Peelman *JS*

SUBJECT: MURKIN

DATE: 12/9/75

- 1 - Mr. Gallagher
- 1 - Mr. O'Connell
- 1 - Mr. Peelman
- 1 - Mr. McDermott
- 1 - Mr. Bailey
- 1 - Mr. Walsh

- Assoc. Dir. _____
- Dep. AD Adm. _____
- Dep. AD Inv. _____
- Asst. Dir.:
- Admin. _____
- Comp. Syst. _____
- Ext. Affairs _____
- Files & Com. _____
- Gen. Inv. _____
- Ident. _____
- Inspection _____
- Intell. _____
- Laboratory _____
- Legal Coun. _____
- Plan. & Eval. _____
- Spec. Inv. _____
- Training _____
- Telephone Rm. _____
- Director Sec'y _____

On the late afternoon of Friday, 12/5/75, the U. S. Department of Justice submitted a request to FBIHQ (General Investigative Division) to review all files pertaining to the MURKIN investigation on Saturday and Sunday, 12/6-7/75.

As you are aware, the MURKIN investigation encompassed 84 sections of file. The locating of these files on such short notice and deadline was a task of considerable magnitude.

In the early evening of 12/5/75, [redacted] Night Supervisor, Files and Communications Division, was advised of this request and urgency of same. Approximately three hours later the bulk of the files were delivered to the General Investigative Division; however, numerous sections had to be put on special locate as they (the remaining sections) were situated individually elsewhere in FBIHQ. The task of locating the remaining sections of the file was most difficult and were ultimately located only through the diligence and perseverance of the several employees of the Files and Communications Division. When the Departmental official arrived on Saturday morning, 12/6/75, the Civil Rights Section was in a position to completely service the Department's request for the file review.

RECOMMENDATION: The General Investigative Division recommends that Files and Communications Division employees [redacted]

and [redacted] be commended through their Assistant Director for their splendid efforts and performance in this matter. Administrative Division concurs.

Appropriate letter attached for Assistant Director John J. McDermott.

JSP:bap (7)

Enclosure *5-8*

REC-114 12-24-75
6013X
G-1611 12-13-76
JJC
JS
MS

84 19 1976

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan