Dear Art,

Whilefor my rogue's gallery I would prefer the actual pix in Esquire for 12/76 to be confidented, no positive, the nuclears xeroxes are enough.

A 10th anniversary even.

It is a spoof. As usual in bad taste.

The short jext held advance class so analysis of the pix was elsy.

Despite the pretense there is nothing new in the Itek-CIA congretion. They pretend it is a revelation.

"...it proved impossible to potain any of the Zapruder footage for our analysis."

Really? With all the copies kicking around and Groden working within easy walking distance of Esquire's office?

No mix or Muchkore either when UPI has both -an easy walk away.

But you'll find so such thing as any, leave alone the "leading independent image-processing firm." No full mame is given, only "P.K.&G.? For illustration as in Pretty Klever Gimmick.

Some of the Photos were not from an "exceedingly poor a original.2 Two of the three they use are of good quality, professional bewagix.

They cortainly do "enhance" when the grim-faced dick in the pic of LHO getting it is sharpened into a big smile.

It is not only Haut and Colson who are dubbed in on the LAJ swearing-in shot. I can't make out on the xerox who has been substituted at the extreme right, below and to the side of Huat. The hairline, angle of the face and relationship to background are visibly different.

You don't have to depend on kairlines and anatomical evidence to spot that Colson was dubbed in. The image of him is so much larger, perhaps to obscure whay had to be hidden, this is quite visible. Or use a ruler.

Just 20 years ago Ramparts had an effective spoof a in a straight-faced review of four self published books by one Ulov G. K. Lebosuf, of Leavitttown.

The french and you have the bull. My source on Leavittown standing for Hyattstown is a pretty good one. In needledEd Kenting into admitting the spoof was of me when we appeared together in Golden Gate Park ad-December 1966.

Coincidence two of the three initials in p.K. & G. are the same?

Besides, from the record I could not imagine Esquire doing anything good. Or serious.

Best.