# Ballot By Bullet

By Budd Schulberg

When Bob Kennedy decided to make the run for the White House, I was in Italy working on a novel by the shore of the legendary Lake of Como with snowcapped Alps standing sentinel around us. I felt safe, secluded, productive. The London Times, the Paris Herald-Tribune and the Rome Messaggero brought the daily news to my reading room every day, so I knew about the crisis in gold, the British refusal to admit Indians to their island, and I was increasingly aware of the political quicksand LBJ had stumbled into in Vietnam. But, on a two-month sabbatical from the pressure of civic problems that had demanded great hunks of my time for a number of years, it was a relief to be able to read about world issues without feeling a moral obligation to do something about them.

meglected communities.

That evening I answered that we would be coming back shortly, ready to enlist in his army of volunteers.

Lyndon's stunning abdication speech made us even more eager to work for what seemed to us that last, best hope. But Italy is



When an assassin shoots down a
Kennedy or a King, he murders, not
only a man, but an idea — and
wounds the whole democratic process.
Yet the political killer is tried under
state laws that traditionally pertain to
private homicide. Here, a best-selling
novelist — eyewitness both to the
crime and the trial of Sirhan Sirhan
— suggests legal reforms that might
diminish the threat which hangs over
all our leaders

seductive and we lingered, some days in Venice and more in Rome. We were enjoying the sculpture and the markets and the restaurants and the people when the sky fell down. Another Dallas! This time in

Another Dallas! This time in Memphis. This time not the President nor Medgar Evers, the black messiah of Mississippi, but our Nobel Prize Winner for Peace, Martin Luther King. In that moment the sidewalk cafes of the Via Veneto lost their music and the graceful Spanish Steps were shadowed in grief and rage. It was time to come home.

Two months later, Sunday afternoon, the second of June found me on Central Avenue, the Main Street of black and deprived South Los Angeles, speaking at a Kennedy rally with Charles Evers, who had picked up the standard fallen from the hands of his martyred brother in Mississippi.

In the audience for that rally was my employee of many years, Mrs. Louise Carter. While we were scheduled to speak at several other gatherings that evening, Louise went on to the Ambassador Hotel to attend a large reception for Kennedy. Next morning she said she had seen Bob Kennedy, in fact had shaken hands with him twice, in the famous Cocoanut Grove. But, she said, she also had encountered a slight dark-complexioned young man who worried her because he had been wandering around the stage and looking behind the curtains.

Louise had once worked at the Ambassador and she knew where extra chairs were stacked, in a passageway behind the stage to the rear of the ballroom. The young man accompanied her. He seemed to know his way to the side corridor and cautioned her.

7

## BALLOT

"Be careful, you could hurt yourself. It's pretty dark in there.

The young man's knowledge of the area and the way he was dressed prompted her to ask him if he was an employe of the hotel rather than a guest. He said no, he was just a spectator who had come like all the rest of the crowd to see Kennedy. And he added, "Shouldn't he be here by now? Isn't he late? I wonder why he hasn't shown up yet.

With him, according to Louise and her friend, was another young man, also slender and swarthy, carrying a violin case. And he also asked if they knew why Kennedy was late and if anything could be keeping him from the hotel. Both young men kept wandering up on the stage and looking behind the curtains. Said Louise Carter as she de-Said Louise Carter as she de-scribed her misgivings to me on the morning of June 3, "Later when I saw all those crowds around the senator and trying to touch him, he seemed so unprotected — and with so many angry people walking the streets these days, it just doesn't look safe to me."

On Primary Election Day we passed the time trying to relax and rest up for what we thought would be a long and eventful evening. Around six p.m. Pete Ham-ill, the writer, came by with his younger brother and the four of us drove to the Ambassador.

The ballroom was filling up

THE AUTHOR: Budd Schulberg, author of "On the Water-front" and "What Makes Sammy Run?" is one of America's most renowned novelists. He has pre-viously contributed to Tropic a story on the death of John Stein-beck.

now and returns were beginning to come in, so we decided to go upstairs to the Kennedy suite. There we found a kind of impromptu party in progress, one of those "Only In America" things, or maybe only in a Kennedy America: Astronaut John Glenn, Olympic champion Rafer John-son, the Milton Berles, film director John Frankenheimer. Charles Evers and John Lewis, one of the original leaders of SNCC, Mexican organizers of the

SNCC, Mexican organizers of the huelga against the wine growers.

Sharing a couch with Glenn, a Catholic priest, a Democratic office holder, a local black leader and a Hollywood glamor girl was Ethel Kennedy. Star athletes, national heroes, liberal politicians, movie attack writers. iticians, movie stars, writers, strike leaders, black militants; from the mansions of Beverly Hills, the playing fields, the vine-

Hills, the playing fields, the vine-yards, the ghettos.

We watched Ethel watching the TV as her husband slowly be-gan to pull ahead of his rival.

"And I'll bet our Chicago vote isn't counted yet!" said a Mexi-can-American covered with Kennedy and "Huelga" buttons. "My people, they vote a hundred per cent." This turned out to be the most accurate prediction in a night no one could have predicted except a non-descript young ed except a non-descript young man who was downstairs in the area of the campaign reception rooms, having himself a drink or two before going back to his car to get a lethal little gun with

Five floors above the myssterious youth unnoticed in the crowd, our candidate wandered quietly between his bedroom and the suite across the corridor where colleagues and well-wishers were gathered. "How we doing?" As he stood in the doorway with

which he had been practicing for

as a wan smile, his face reflected the tough campaign. Shortly after 11 o'clock CBS-TV announced that Bob had won a close but clean-cut decision.

At that moment, Bob was sit-

# 'The mysterious youth ... unnoticed in the crowd'

The two faces of Sirhan Sirhan: above, the frightened assassin moments after the killing; below, well-groomed and smiling complacently, at his trial



ting on the floor of a modest bed-room with his knees drawn up, a favorite position that reminded us of visits both to his home and his office. He was smoking a small, slender cigar, the first time I had ever seen him do so. He seemed markedly less jubilant than the rest of us.

He seemed markedly less jubilant than the rest of us.

He asked me if I would like to accompany him and Jesse Unruh to the platform. I said I didn't want to look as if I were taking bows with the winner and pushing myself into the picture. Gereldine and I would wait in the Colonial Room and see him later with Warren Rogers, a "Look" writer who had been with Bob throughout the campaign. and throughout the campaign, and other mutual friends.

In the Colonial Room, about 20 of us were waiting for the sena-tor.We all watched Bob's neat, brief "Thank you — and on to Chicago" speech and then, antic-ipating his arrival through that back passageway, moved closer to the pantry doors. "We" into the pantry doors. "We" in-cludes Pete Hamill and Booker Griffin, a local black journalist I had known from the early years in Watts. A few yards behind us were Warren Rogers and Geral-

We heard a couple of those "firecracker popa" and the sound of screaming. We all ran into the pantry. A scene out of — what? Television? This was a different kind of violence. Shakespeare? There was no poetry, no soaring rhetoric to mitigate the blood. And the blood was not red paint later to be washed off in the dressing room.

Pete Hamill was directly in

front of me and partly blocking my view so his description is clearer than mine, although my impression confirms what he saw: "The sonofabitch was standing there with one foot forward and his arm extended just like he was

on a target range.

The narrow pantry became a screaming bedlam of pain, terror, rage: "Look out! Sonofabitch! He's got a gun! He's shooting!"

TROPIC APPEARS IN THE SYNDAY MIAMI HERALD





#### Inspire your skin to greater heights of beauty

A lovely skin has truly beever since scientists uncovered
the secrets of a rare tropical
moist oil with a remarkable
ability to help nature sustain
and preserve the youthful
splendor of the skin.

Women no longer have to recall with nostalgia how beautiful their complexions once were

for the skin to ful their complexions once were

Momen no longer have to recall with nostalgia how beautiful their complexions once were

for the skin to
ful their complexions once were

for the skin to
ful their complexions once were

for the skin to
ful their complexions once were

for the skin to
ful their complexions once were

for the skin to
ful their complexions once were

for the skin to
ful their complexions once were

for the skin to
ful the skin to
ful the skin to
ful their complexions once were

for the skin to
ful the skin the
ful the skin

can with nostalgia how beauti-ful their complexions once were in childhood days. With the cherishing ministrations of this scientific beauty fluid, the ex-quisite flower-freshness and baby-soft bloom need not become only a sad memory, need not necessarily leave their complexions, but continue to dwell lightly and lovingly on the sur-face of the skin, aspiring to even greater heights of beauty through every changing season

through every changing season and the passing years.

Today it is possible to use the unique moist oil to give the skin a "do-it-yourself" incentive to maintain the healthy productivity of balanced oil and moisture. These two vital elements are taken for granted nents are taken for granted while the skin is very young and nature bountifully provides her own moist oil fluids to keep the baby-softness and dewiness alive. After the age of twenty, however, the natural flow be-comes less spontaneous and the maturing complexion suddenly finds itself in need of substitu-tionary fluids that will help sti-mulate the flagging cellular functions of the skin.

This is the time when the acientific moist oil comes into its own, assisting nature to maintain the normal rate of cell replacement and to bring out the youngest, smoothest, love-

on the skin.

Because it is isotonically pressurized to balance successfully with the skin's own fluids, this tropical fluid contributes to the inducement of the skin to rise to new heights of splendor. Its perfect blend of oil and moisture is homologous to the skin's own fluids, readily mergina with resting reserves, belong with resting reserves, belong the skin's own fluids, readily mergina with resting reserves, belonger to the skin's own fluids, readily merginal skin reserves, belonger to the skin's own fluids, readily merginal skin reserves, belonger to the skin's own fluids, readily merginal skin reserves, belonger to the skin's own fluids, readily merginal skin reserves belonger to the skin to the

skin's own fluids, readily merg-ing with existing reserves, help-ing to boost dwindling levels and effectively aiding in keep-ing wrinkle-dryness at bay. Smoothed over the face lav-ishly with the fingertips each day and used as a superb base for make-up, the beauty fluid not only promotes the stimulanot only promotes the stimula-tion and replenishment of the plasma colloids (dermal water-carriers) but actually encourages replacement of evaporat-ing surface moisture by hygro-scopic attraction to the skin of moisture always present in the

moisture always present in the surrounding atmosphere. The complexing competent is straightful to the straig which your skin can reveal a new lease of complexion love-liness and greater beauty.

#### A moment of courtroom candor



People were responding in con-flicting ways — some moving back to escape the explosive possibilities, others moving in on the author of the crime; an obscene human traffic jam. The Gun was an undersized man dressed in slacks and sports shirt; "looks Mexican" was my first impres-

With others around me I made a lunge for him. He was being pulled, tugged, cuffed. Everybody screaming. Cursing. A short, brown employee ran to us hysterically, talking rapidly in a Latin accent: "I can't believe it, 30 seconds ago I was shaking hands with him, leaning over that coun-ter and shaking hands, 30 sec-onds ago, and that little bastard, he's been hanging around in here he's been hanging around in here for almost an hour, asking us if we thought the senator was coming through." He rattled on, repeating himself as all of us were doing. A lunatic Babel of a soundtrack is there to prove it.

But here is the difference be-

tween the actual event and the tamed and ordered replaying of it at the trial half a year later. The same Mexican busboy who ran over to me in that first minute is on the stand, composed and naturally in awe of the proceed-ings. Yes, he says, he had seen the defendant in the pantry for some time before the shooting. Yes, the defendant had asked several times if Kennedy would be coming through. You see, this is one reason a Sirhan trial is profoundly flawed. The busboy is telling the truth, but it no longer has the impact and the passion of the truth he had blurted out to us while Bob Kennedy was still lying there beginning to die from those long-range, hollow-nosed bullets.

Lears ago I covered the lurid "White Flame" murder trial for a Los Angeles paper, a typical Southern California crime of passion, a sob sister's delight. Compared to the screaming headlines of a "Black Dahlia" or a "Dr.

Finch Murder Case," the trial of the assassin of Bobby Kennedy was reported with second-section modesty. For me, the trial of Sir-han Sirhan was an eerie one to cover because it was the first (and I pray the last) time I was to attend a trial for a murder I had witnessed with my own eyes.

At issue was whether Sirhan was to be punished as a self-propelled martyr to the Arab cause or whether he was to be treated more leniently as a young man so emotionally disturbed, so mental-ly crippled, as to have been inca-pable of premeditation.

Thus it becomes a classic of Logical or Objective Law vs. Subjective or Psychiatric Law. To the prosecution the case could not have been more simple. The defendant writes in his notebook defendant writes in his notebook that Kennedy must die. He writes that he will be the author of the crime. He records his conviction that the assassination of President Kennedy marked the beginning of the decline of the United States and that taking the life of his brother will speed that process, looking toward the day when communism or a clean-

that process, looking toward the day when communism or a clean-sing anarchy will bring Utopia.

He buys a gun. He practices with it until he becomes expert. Even the chronology of the act is predicted in the notebook: RFK must not live beyond June 5. Two nights before the end he stalks Kennedy at the Ambassador Hotel. He is seen there, questioning people as to the extent of Kennedy's security. He checks out the places where Kennedy will pass. On June 4, after a full will pass. On June 4, after a full day at the pistol range, he reday at the pistol range, he re-turns to the Ambassador, hides himself in the pantry, takes on "protective coloration" among the Mexican kitchen help, and just as he has promised — both verbally and on paper — shoots the unprotected candidate at point-blank range. Had he not point-trans range. rand ne not said, in this very courtroom, in a moment of candor brought on by rage at his lawyer's strategy, "I killed Robert F. Kennedy with premeditation . . ?" Under cross-examination Sirhan testified that he would fight for the Arab cause. And that he would be willing to die for that cause. Now, could anything be simpler?



#### 'The air became heavy with Freudianism'



If this is not premeditated murder, what in God's name is?

But to the ingenious defense, the same set of facts, turned in a different angle toward the light, could not be more complex. The interpretation of Diminished Capacity begins to turn the court-room into a college classroom in criminal psychology. In California you do not have to be legally insane to plead not guilty because of incapacity to premeditate or maturely reflect on the contemplated act. You may know the difference between right and wrong and still, according to recent developments in California law, be suffering from delusion, obsession, alcoholism and other processes of mind over matter that diminish your capacity to make a mature reflection in advance of your crime. Therefore, you are not totally accountable for your acts.

So what a field day it is for the forensic psychiatrists, hypnoanalysts, and roving psychologists. The air becomes heavy with Freudianism and neo-Freudianism as a parade of headshrinkers march to the witness stand to explain that Sirhan is a schizophrenic paranoic and therefore unable to premeditate a crime, that he suffers from alienation, despondency, delusions of grandeur, fear and hatred of an absent father, resentment of a domineering mother ... that he was suffering from "retrograde amnesia."

So day and night contend in the courtroom. To the prosecutor, Buck Compton, it's clear as daylight. Sure, the kid may have been lonely, unhappy, frustrated, a born loser "burned up" at Kennedy for siding with Israel. But he knew exactly what he was doing when he pumped those extra-velocity bullets into Bobby Kennedy. Cooper and Berman, on the other hand, wrap Sirhan in the folds of mysterious night, of dementia, supernatural trances, bizarre disassociations and self-hypnosis.

The defense fielded its psychi-

The defense fielded its psychiatrists like a high-powered football team, with Dr. Bernard Diamond playing Joe Namath, throwing the long one for what the coaches hope will be a mental TD: The pattern of Sirhan's life "programmed" him, exactly as a computer is programmed, to commit the assassination without his being consciously aware of

commit the assassination without his being consciously aware of what he was doing.

The prosecution's team of psychiatrists-psychologists was captained by Dr. Seymour Pollack, as eminent in the field as Dr. Diamond. If this was the Superbowl of forensic psychiatry, Dr. Pollack seemed to be playing Earl Morrall's quarterback role against Diamond-Namath. He did not pass on first down. His psychiatry was no less learned than Diamond's but more conventional. Yes, Sirhan had paranoid tendencies, as well as schizophrenic. But he was legally sane when he fired bullets into Kennedy, he was disturbed but capable of premeditation, he was neither in a hypnotic trance nor a drunken stupor when he squeezed the trigger. Emotionally unstable, yes. But he knew exactly what he was doing.

Whether you buy Diamond's interpretation or reject it, there is no doubt that Sirhan deserves a long, hard, reflective look as the prototype of a political assassin. To say that he was "programmed like a computer to commit the assassination" is merely a newfangled way of suggesting that Sirhan's life pattern conditioned him to perpetrate magnicide, which Dr. Frederic Wertham, an expert on violence, defines as "the killing of someone big." To commit magnicide is to leap from obscurity as John Wilkes Booth leapt from the theater box of the murdered Lincoln onto the stage of history, as Lee Harvey Oswald forever linked his name to John F. Kennedy's, as Sirhan Sirhan has accomplished through Bob.

While Booth was a prominent

While Booth was a prominent actor he was completely overshadowed by his famous brother, Edwin, the greatest American Hamlet of the century. A brooding sense of failure shadows the political assassin, a sense of personal inadequacy he fights against with intellectual pretensions and a will to do something

Continued on Page 27

### 'The Sirhan case does not belong to California'



BALLOT

pivotal and great. Yes, I may appear to be a failure, Wilkes Harvey Sirhan cries out, but actually I am superior to you, I know what is wrong with the world and how to right it. Take a neurosthenic who feels unable to cope with the world, give him a social cause, better yet a lost or losing cause, to identify with, let him indulge grandiose dreams of changing the course of events with a single act and you have with a single act and you have the little man who today casts such a long shadow on our land.

Despite the deaths of Lincoln, Garfield and McKinley and at tempts on the lives of Roosevelt and Truman, the U.S. still is not geared to judge political crime. It is high time we were. We do not is high time we were. We do not sweep Oswald, Ray, Sirhan and our other recent political assassins into the One Big Conspiracy bag, as do most of our European critics and nearly all our black friends. But clearly, as they said thanks a constitute in the control of the control in Hamlet, something is rotten in the Denmark of our soul.

Mexico is thought of as a violent land, but 40 years have passed since the violent death of a Mexican president. The French are a volatile people who like to emotionalize their politics, but to French president has been as no French president has been as-sassinated in nearly 40 years. And British Prime Ministers have not been removed except non-violent decision since 1812. non-violent decision since 1812. Yet we find it already tragically taken for granted that Ted Kennedy's life is in danger and that if he should run for or achieve the Presidency he, too, may fall a visit of the should run for the control of the c victim to magnicide like his martyred brothers.

The murder of the Kennedys, of Evers and of King, has only to do with politics - national polities. The Sirhan case does not belong to California. It happened to take place there, but Bob Kennedy could have been mur-dered in any state where someone took violent exception to some aspect of his political philosophy.

If this requires a new amend-ment to the Constitution, let us begin. At least we think it de-serves serious consideration that

political assassination be judged by a new kind of Supreme Court, a high tribunal like the one we helped set up at Nuremberg to try major war criminals for their crimes against humanity. A conventional state trial with city prosecutors and local jurors is simply not equipped to take the measure of political murders and conspiracies.

A High Court sitting in judgment on political assassination may sound like an "Un-Amerimay sound like an "Un-Ameri-can" graft on our tree of justice. But, if we may paraphrase Gil-bert and Sullivan, "Our object all sublime, we shall achieve in time to make the trial fit the crime, the trial fit the crime." Nor would we depend on the FBI with its political attitudes calci-fied in the average of I. Edgafied in the person of J. Edgar Hoover, Anyone who mistakes Martin Luther King for a Com-munist is a relic of the past who would only retard the develop-ment of new concepts of justice in tune with these turbulent times. A special court would seem to require a special intelligence staff trained in political science as well as conventional crime detection, a staff that would not leave grave questions unanswered, as in the cases of Ray and Sirhan.

"Assassination," said George Bernard Shaw, "is the most ex-treme form of censorship." No matter what state of mind Sirhan Sirhan claims to have been in when we saw him firing his revolver in that pantry, he was censor-ing the honest and outspoken opinions of Robert Francis Kenopinions of the implications of this ancient and lately disinterred form of censorship cry out for judgment at the highest possible level of jurisprudence if the politics of assassination are to be countered with the politics of constructive change.

If we as a people fail to respond to the Rays and the Sirhans, if we cannot develop the hans, if we cannot develop the proper laboratory in which to place their crimes in clear national perspective, then we are all doomed to live and die with what Bob Kennedy, in his eulogy of Martin Luther King, described as, "... This mindless menace of inclusive heads to be a support of the control of the violence in America which again stains our land and every one of our lives . . ."

Stake your claim in Paradise...

# **Cudjoe**

Florida Keys Vacation Homesites on the deep water channel \$2,795 / as low as \$25 down.

If you've been dreaming of owning your part of an island paradise for vacation, retirement, or investment, search no more! It's right here in the U.S.A., isolated and remote, but only 24 miles from Key West with all its services. Cudjoe Ocean Shores offers you waterway homesites on a deep water channel just minutes from the Atlantic or Gulf by outboard, cruiser, or sailboat.

Developed by Sugar Loaf Properties, Inc., who have invested millions to improve the lower Keys. Cudjoe Ocean Shores already has the roads built, the canals dredged, the lots staked and surveyed. Utilities are in. It's a right now island paradise, ready for you to stake your claim.

Drive down today on U.S. 1 and talk to one of our friendly salesmen. He'll be happy to show you around, without pressure. Your part of paradise is waiting. come and see it!

PLEASE SEND MORE INFORMATION ABOUT Gudjoe Ocean Shores

SALES MANAGER: CUDIOE OCEAN SHORES, SUGAR LOAF KEY, FLA. 33840

For people with both "uppers" and "lowers"

The big difference between natural teeth and dentures is in performance. Natural teeth are held solidly in place by tiving connective tissue, wen the most expensive dentures may alip and rock. Gims often get raw and socce. Constant rubbing may cause serious hone datast, must prevent "denture damage. Eating can be slow, painful damage. Eating can be slow, painful subject to the property of the proper

ial connective membrane—FIXO-T. It connects dentures with gums mouth surfaces. It is incredibly ctive for both uppers and lowers. IXODENT's elastic membrane ab-set he shock of biting and chewing

uppers" and "lowers"

-helps protect gums from bruising and trritation for at faire—bits and trritation for at faire—bits and trritation for a faire—bits and trritation for a faire—bits for the faire fair

#### **Y**ou may never wash vour hair again

without using a pure soap-base shampoo because you'll discover that nothing else really gets your

that nothing else really gets your hair properly clean. Once you've used Clover's Shampoo, you'll stay with it for life.

I ust as face skin responds glowingly to invigorating washing with soap and water...so will your hair and scalp benefit from the gentle, natural washing ittat only a soap-base shampoo can give it.

Because Clover's Beauty Soap Shampoo is a modern liquid shampoo with a pure soap-base

(containing no free alkali), your hair won't go limp and drab, as detergent shampoos often cause it to do. Its exclusive pure soup-hase is less drying, lathers plenti-fully in hard or soft water and helps climinate splitting of hair ends. Get Glover's Imperial Beauty Sam Shampoo from your drugs.

Soap Shampoo from your drug-gist, or write today for full size bottle that Glover will send you. Mail yourname and address, and \$1.00 to Glover, Dept. 70, 1001 Franklin Avenue, Garden City, New York 11530.

TROPIC, June 1, 1969