

Last letter in today's mails and before being picked up for supper had enough time to write on this.

Mr. Bruce Kurek
734 Coronet Road
Glenview, IL 60025

12/24/91

Dear Mr. Kurek,

Although from your letter I take it that I wrote you something you do not want to believe - I have no recollection of that letter - and you indicate in this one that you know all there is to know about a subject of which you reflect ignorance and prejudice, because you intended to inform me, as you did not at all, I do respond.

Your comment that because Allen Dulles was close to Clare Booth Luce, that is "the link to the screwing up of the Zapuder frames." This is ambiguous. - interpret it as meaning the destruction of a few frames in the original only. This identifies you as probably a young fogey and one overwhelmed with a fragment from which he draws a full picture - of what does not exist and worse, did not have to.

The original was damaged in Chicago on Saturday, 11/23/63. That was before Dulles was or could have been in any way involved or Luce could have known of it, if she ever did. This also happened before the official mythology was fixed, before anyone could have known whether those frames had any significance. If anyone played games with the film as part of any conspiracy, those are not the frames that would have been assassinated in any event and others are much more likely. Moreover, there were better connections between the CIA and Time Life than Luce, no secret at all. What you do not understand is that people like Time-Life do not have to be fixed or reached at all. They do what they do spontaneously, as in publishing six different versions of the one magazine issue over a single weekend, changing what it said about the assassination not by direction from the CIA but to conform with what officials said publicly.

That people like Dulles raised funds for political interventions overseas is not new and it did not begin only after World War II. The money you refer to was handled by Forrestal. I remember reporting it contemporaneously. I was then doing news. Nor is this the only country that did it. Great Britain did much of it before, during and after World War II.

So in generosity of spirit you are "overlooking ~~my~~ my attitudes to give you (me) something useful." How kind and thoughtful, Your Omniscience! Now if you look into the Dulles family a whole world new to you will appear, like the firm from which he and John came represented Hitler interests and John called "Hitler a man of peace the very weekend he invaded Poland.... You flaunt your ignorance all over again in saying that The Establishment will use me if I comment on Stone's exploitation and commercialization. It was not they but I who began the factual and correct exposure of what he was up to, after writing him and informing him truthfully about Garrison's book and getting no response.

Garrison's book is a fraud and a travesty. It is of purposeful dishonesty. I take this time without any reason to believe you will be willing to believe anything outside your ⁱⁿ ~~signature~~ preconceptions but on the remote chance you may make some effort to have your feet make occasional contact with the real world. I was part of some of what he wrote about and what he wrote is false, crudely, blatantly false, in particular, if you have the book, the Boxley firing that in Stone's early script is his basis for ^{alleging} ~~alleging~~ a CIA plot. I have that penny dreadful of a script and I gave it to a reporter to begin public exposure of what Stone was perpetrating on the people and truth and out history with so many like you willing to assume it was true. One of several illustrations I gave Stone 2/8/91 before he starting shooting is that to commemorate the fifth assassination anniversary he was going to charge new assassins with no basis at all. Among these was Robert Perrin, Nancy Perrin Rich's former husband. Only to Garrison's knowledge Perrin had killed himself in 1962-in New Orleans. When the staff, aghast at this utter insanity, could not talk Garrison out of it, two of those closest to him asked me to try. I did the investigation, including in Garrison's own files and with investigations made for me by his ^{own} ~~own~~ investigators, of whom he had not asked those investigations, that left it without question that as with just about all else, Garrison was just making it up as he dreamed it. Boxley's only offenses were an excess of loyalty and that he went out and manufactured "evidence" to support what Garrison invented. Think as his staff did, what would have happened if he pulled that in court, the purpose of making charges. I offered Stone more documentation that I attached to that letter and to answer any questions with proof. He wanted no fact or truth and he did not respond. But he proceeded with a film based on a fraudulent book to which he added his own fantasies and jerks like you who are ignorant while thinking they understand and know all there is are ecstatic over it. The grim truth is that the CIA and FBI benefitted from Garrison's utter irresponsibility and dishonesty and not only did not have to intrude to influence it- they didn't want to or have to.

One more comment on Stone: he has been bleating that "all" the official evidence is suppressed and will be until 2039, with a slight change recently when a friend of mine got through his palace guard and wised him up. He knew not later than my letter of 2/8/91 that I have about a quarter or a million pages of what he claims were suppressed and I do not have all that has been disclosed, besides 200+ cubic feet of Commission records in the Archives that were made available beginning in 1965, as soon as they could be processed.

If you want to live in a childish dream world, fine, but please do not take any more of my time and I'll try to remember, if you do, not to respond. I'm sorry to address you this way but you really do not know what you are talking about and if you ever meet any knowledgeable people they'll regard you as a kid or a nut if you speak as you've written me.

Nonetheless, I do hope you have a good holiday and a good year and that it brings you a little common sense and maturity and wisdom. Sincerely, Harold Weisberg

Harold Weisberg
Route 12
Frederick, MD 21701

734 Coronet Road
Glenview, IL
60025

Mr. Weisberg:

December 20, 1991

To be brief; and for your use:

In Cloak & Gown; Scholars In The Secret War, 1939-1961; Robin W. Winks c 1987; William Morrow; ISBN#0-688-07300-X, on p 390 you will find a statement by someone who knows to the effect that Allen Dulles was close to Ambassador Luce [thus an important quiet relationship is explained, as it wasn't known before, commonly]. Thus the link, without making something out of nothing, to the screwing up of the Zapruder frames....

Also, on page 383, an insight is given that is exceptionally important-"privatization" as a concept in operating a nonlegal war began with Allen Dulles, after he "left [like Howard Hunt et al]" OSS and became a Wall St. lawyer, raising private funds to finance political parties in Italy after WWII (shades of Nicaragua?).

That is not what I earlier intended to share with you; but I am overlooking your attitudes to give you something useful.

There is no indication whatsoever that you have a perception of the "bottom line; to acquire a short course substitute, send \$11. to Alternative Radio; 1814 Spruce St.; Boulder CO; 80302; concerning a speech given by Philip Agee on 11/27/91 (broadcast in Chicago area). Everyone needs a compass.

If I may please do not comment on the film. The Establishment will use you (to provoke an internecine war). Say something nice or don't say anything.

Bruce Kurek

