Mr. Richard Kleindienst Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice Washington, D.G.

Deer Mr. Kleindienst.

The long-delayed picture sought in Civil Action No. 718-70 came in the mail today. After receiving it I phoned my lawyer's office and learned that a zerox of the file cover is there. The picture is without postmark, and the enclosed note bearing Mr. Bolspp's typed name also is undated.

Of the things I originally requested, this leaves only the letter essuring me that I was, in fact, given access to the entire file. In court, of course, this would have been sutomatically assured.

If you reviewed your correspondence on this matter, as I requested in my last letter, it should be obvious to you that Mr. Eardley's representation of what he says he had been told of undescribed "informed" communication to him is utterly without meaning. These is almost no account of the file cover that he did not, at one time or snother, provide me, no one of which is accurate.

When I handed him the list of what I was then requesting, back in May, and he told me, forthrightly, in the presence of my havyer, that he would not give me this cover or this letter, I suggested to him that your purposes also are served by such a letter, and that it should be from the one who has cust edy of the file I examined, no other person having first-hand knowledge of its contents. Prior to this, the Civil Division had rushed into court with two frivolous motions, both alleging the suit was moot, although had not yet been shown snything. Therefore, eside from any concern you may or may not have about abiding with the "Freedem of Information" law, I think you should want me to have this assurance, in some meaningful form.

Because the personnt who showed me the file was not its custodien, I know, of course, that this personn does not have first-hand knowledge of what was handed to me. Therefore, to simplify this for you, I will accept a letter from this person describing the contents of the file, with page numbers.

Frankly, I can conceive of ne reason consistent with monesty of intent for this letter to be denied me. You did, after all, assure the court of this on more than one eccasion, in every case before it was done. I do kepe there will be no farther mang-upe.

Sincerely.

Harold Weisberg