Mr. Cerl W. Belcher, which General Grimes Section Grimiael Division Department of Austice Washington, D.C.

Deer Mr. belcher,

If you were s judge rather than on attorney, would you say the record is consistent with the content and tone of your latter of March 26 to me or inconsistent, that you have been open with me, that through you the government has been responsive?

I saked of you certain meterial to which I believe I am entitled. I asked questions the enswers to which had been premised in the previous administration. I beliegue, without benefit of a law degree, that the meterial I seek is generated me by the law you are supposed to be uphelding.

And there has not been even the pro forms denial that might have been expected to the report that agents of the FRI had defended me.

I select for the promised further word on the suppressed David Ferrie documents. With his death so long ago, there is hardly justification for withholding this information on the ground it would damage him lend he has no heir, having been unserried and childless). I remind you that I have part of this file, despite its restriction, and that what have does not qualify for withholding. I report my previous request for this material or for an explanation of its being decied me.

On Merch 31 I asked for the evidence presented in court in England in the case of James Earl Ray. Now it would seem that what was presented in open court is public, that you have copies of it, having presented it, and that there should be no problem in providing copies to me. I asked for permission to read the transcripts of the court proceeding. Are you classifying this as "secret"?

It is now a month since I requested topics of or access to the statements and questions of Department of Justice attorneys in Judge Hellock's court the afternoon of the hearing on the pictures and hereys and copies of the subsequent motions and the affidevits them filed. Gentainly this, what your department presented in open court, is not restricted. Can it be that the government does not want its side included in a book about the metter? In any event, I want to include it, for I do want to present both sides - not climinate one, as the government did. I do hope the new administration will not fallow the restrictive precises of its predecessor, and that the isordinate delay that in itself is an interference with a free green will toutinue.

Sinceraly,