## HAROLD WEISBERG

7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, MD 21702

9/29/94

Hational Editor
The Los Angeles Times
Los "Mgeles, CA
Dear Editor,

A friend has sent me a copy of Eric Harrison's Column One story of 9/24/94. It is too bad that neither he nor his desk thought to consult your own morgue.

This is not a criticism of Harrison. He was not in a position to understand that most of those of both sides who talked to him lied, did not know what they were talking about or both. And I'm astounded that none of you noticed the difference between Jowers as the adsassin and as the man who hired someone else to be the assassin, this one man how when I was Ray's investigator and used him as a very probative witness in the evidentiary hearing Nick Chriss covered for your paper.

I write because the King assassination is another of the stories that is not going to go way, like the JFK assassination, and for the same reasons: the crimes were never really investigated officially and were never intended to be and the people understand this, continue to care (at least in the JFK assassination on which my mail is heavy) and trust the media and the government less because they know neither told them the truth or made any real effort to.

There is one and only one body of hing ssassination evidence texted in our traditional way, under oath and subject to cross-examination. That was at the evidentiary hearin Chriss covered, with preconceptions visible, perhaps his own belief, perhaps what he believed was wanted of him. I have the transcript of those two meeks of hearings and when I am no longer abole to make them and all my work on the JFK assassination available to others they will be a permanent free archive at local Hood College.

I know Pepper and I do not believe he has a chance with what he is up to and has been doing. I made all I had available to him, provided a student to do the searching for him and the use of our copier, and he never had any interest in the body of the crime. So while I have no doubt that Pay is innocent, I also do not expect him to walk.

Your morgue should also reflect the decision in that hearing, which was to determine whether Ray would be a trial. The judge held, literally, that guilt or innocence were immaterial. His justification for saying that is that it was not before him. And on what was before him he held in contradiction to all the evidence, to deny kay and trial and

his local pals and the federal government the embarrassment of Ray's inevitable acquittal.

"hile I did not use at that hearing all the evidence I obtained, I did use enough so that had that been Ray's trial he could not have been convicted. After that I used

FOIA to get about 60,000 pages of previously-withheld government records on the King case, mostly the FBI's. They along with the quarter of a million JFK assassination pages I got from about \$10 other suits, will be in that Hood archives. Several of those FOIA cases, by the way, were precedental and one was cited in the leguslative history of the 1974 amending to make FBI, CIA and similar files accessible under FOIA as establishing the need to amend the investigatory files exemption. It was Teddy Kennedy who saw to it that this is in the legislative history and I do not know of a paper that used it. I have that Congressional Record.

Harrison falls into the characteristic misuse of the media and the government in referring to all who do not agree with the official solutions as conspiracy theorists. Theore are some of us who deal with fact only. There is no single theory in any of my eight published books. That on the King assassination, originally published by a Dutton subsidiary, was reprinted last year as a quality paperback. It was originally titled Frach-Up. As hartin Luther King: the Assassination understand it is now being remaindered. That one comes entirely from the public domain. There is but a single error in it, one believe I picked up from a news story. I said the flophouse office was on the first floor. It was on the second. Not another error in it, either. It was, after all, tested under cross-examination to the degree it was in the evidence prepared for the lawyers to use.

So, you may want to know that there is this body of tested evidence that does deal with the nuts and bolts, not theories, and that the State could not and did not refute any of it. One thing it proves is that Ray was not at the scene of the crime at the time of the crime.

I do not predume you have any interest in talking to me and I am not seeking any personal attention. I'm past 84, unwell and because I cannot avoid getting up early must retire about 6 pm. our time. I've been working since a little after one a.m. this mornning. But if you or any of your people Wants to talk to me about any of this and to leaf h more about what will be available in the future, I'll be glad to respond. 301/471-8186. And if my any of your washington Bureau, only a little more than an hour away, wants to see what there is for any use you may but not likely want now but may in the future, they are welcome. They can get an idea from George Lardner at the WxPost and the researcher he and Pincus used on their 30th anniversary series, Anne Eisele. Jeffrey Frank on the Post's Outlook has looked at them but not used them.

If you should can't credentials what is on the back cover of my current and butchered book Case Open (Carroll & Graf) is the truth. The FBI did tell a federal court that I know more about the JFK assassintion that anyone working for the FBI. I proved in an different court in a different suit that this is true about the King assassination too.1

Sincerely, Sincerely, arold Weisberg