

# THE REVIEW OF THE NEWS

Editor  
 Scott Stanley, Jr.  
 Associate Editor  
 Rev. Francis E. Fenton  
 Assistant Editor  
 Marian Probert Welch  
 News Editor  
 James J. Drumme  
 Editorial Assistants  
 Elise W. Clark  
 Johann Hiehle  
 Contributing Editors  
 Hilaire du Berrier  
 Frank A. Capell  
 William E. Dunham  
 Medford Evans  
 William P. Hoar  
 Susan L.M. Huck  
 Robert W. Lee  
 Hon. John G. Schmitz  
 George S. Schuyler  
 Mary R. Smith  
 Alan Slang  
 David O. Woodbury  
 Circulation Manager  
 Charles G. Metzger, Jr.

The Review Of The News is published weekly by The Review Of The News Incorporated, 393 Concord Avenue, Belmont, Massachusetts 02178 U.S.A. Subscription rates are fifteen dollars per year in the United States, twenty-five dollars per year for other countries (including Canada and Mexico). Air mail rates on request. Copyright © 1976 by The Review Of The News Incorporated.  
 Second Class Postage Paid at Boston, Massachusetts, and at additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send FORM 3579 to The Review Of The News, Inc., Belmont, Massachusetts 02178.

*I don't know if you  
 have this or not  
 Thanks for the  
 Dallas article  
 S.L.*

The mystery about the  
 assassination of J.F.K.  
 remains to haunt those who  
 botched the official coverup.

## Chipping Away At A Monstrous Lie

by Susan L.M. Huck

■ **BREATHLESS.** Hot off the wires from New York on July 18th: "A nightclub entertainer, who said he once worked in Jack Ruby's Dallas nightclub, says Ruby and Lee Harvey Oswald were acquainted prior to the assassination of President Kennedy."

The article goes on to say that Walter (Wally) Weston scuffled with Oswald in Ruby's nightclub about three weeks before the assassination, and when Ruby arrived on the scene he grabbed Oswald and said, "You (de-leted), I told you never to come in here." As I recall, that story has been told before. Here's another, out of the Dallas press, dated November 25, 1963. It is datelined out of Evansville

The Review Of The NEWS, August 4, 1976

on the previous day: "Entertainer Bill Demar told the Associated Press he was positive Lee Harvey Oswald was a patron about nine days ago in the Dallas nightclub of Jack Ruby. Demar, Bill Crowe in private life... said, 'I have a memory act...'"  
 Well, so what? Why, nearly thirteen years later, does testimony that the presumed assassin, Lee Harvey Oswald, was no stranger to his own killer, Jack Ruby, make the news service wires as it did in mid-July?  
 Maybe it is just the endless fascination of a 'monstrous crime' and its botched coverup. It is, in fact, a key part of the official tissue of nonsense that Oswald, the Lone Assassin, was

— we can look back at the newspapers in the wake of the assassination itself and discover how very keen the Communists in Moscow and Havana were to have Washington pronounce them clean. The *Dallas Morning News* for November 24, 1963, carried as its banner headline, *Tass Charges Try to Implicate Red Party, Says Act Work of Rascals*. Underneath we read: "Tass, the official Soviet news agency, Saturday night charged Dallas police with trying to implicate the Communist Party . . . Tass insisted the crime was the work of 'racists, the Ku Klux Klan, and Birchists.' Reports also are being endlessly repeated that Oswald lived in the Soviet Union, that he has a Russian wife, and that he was not only a member but chairman of the Fair Play for Cuba Committee. But the more details that are reported, the darker and more suspicious the whole case. Serious commentators are not putting faith in police stories about 'leftwing elements' being responsible for this monstrous crime. President Kennedy was killed in the South, in the hair of racists, the Ku Klux Klan, and Birchists. All the world knows their vile methods . . . the broad public quarters of the country assess President Kennedy's murder as a new link in the chain of crimes committed by Southern racists and extremists."

The song you have just heard is the pure Moscow warble on the subject. Oddly enough, Tass was late. Long before that, in fact within hours of the assassination, the Voice of America was busy informing the world that

the Communists had 'nothing to do with the crime, The Voice of America is the Voice of the State Department. How could they have known, within a very few hours, who was guilty and who was not?

Then we also had the intemperate denunciations by Earl Warren, then Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court. He was the first on his block to erupt with his monstrously injudicious view that Dallas, "rightists," and an alleged "atmosphere of hate" had done in the President.

So felicitously congruent were the views of Earl Warren and the Kremlin that the Communist *World* in New York recommended the creation of a Warren Commission on Monday, November 25th — and President Lyndon Johnson pronounced it a dandy idea three days later.

Nothing but garbage could be expected out of such a Commission under the circumstances. And that's what we got — about 25 volumes of it.

Suppose (just suppose) that certain top people in the federal government knew, from the moment it happened, approximately what had happened, and suppose that the truth involved a turning of C.I.A. agents by Castro, and an assassination run jointly by Castro and his protector, Nikita Khrushchev. *Immediately* it is apparent that problems will abound if the story breaks.

Those who were sentient on the day of the assassination can always tell you what they were doing at the moment they heard the news. It was that

The Review Of The NEWS, August 4, 1976

shocking. Shock, horror, confusion . . . those were the major citizen reactions. Along with a burning desire to know what happened and who was responsible. Suppose that the country had, at that time, learned that the President had been murdered by a conspiracy — a conspiracy quite likely including employees of the Central Intelligence Agency who had been doubled (or whatever), and which also involved Fidel Castro and the Soviets.

Well, now, wouldn't the problems have been intolerable? There would have been quite an "image" problem, should grubby footprints be backtracked to McLean, Virginia, headquarters of the Agency.

Does all this tie in with the Mob, which was patronized by those shining knights of Camelot, and which in turn provided a moll for the White House bedroom? I think so. I think it is no coincidence that Jack Ruby was a sort of Mafia groupie, a hanger-on, a gofer, who came from Chicago, and that Chicago was the home of the late Sam Giancana, who was rubbed out when it seemed he might be called upon to testify before the Church Committee. The Mafia, which controlled the Cuban rackets in the palmy days, knew ways in and around Cuba that others did not. Perhaps the tweedy "Liberal" intellectuals running the C.I.A. thought it would be enormously clever to employ these sterling citizens who are loyal only to those who pay them, or terrify them, the most.

Yes, there might well have been one heck of a housecleaning in store

for the C.I.A., for some such reason.

And what about Castro? The majority of Americans had had it up to here with Castro long ago, over the missiles and the Bay of Pigs and the ransoming of prisoners, to note a few things in passing. Had the American people, in their uncommonly attentive mood of the moment, even known about the Castro two-can-play-at-assassination statement only two months earlier, it would have been enough. There would have been irresistible pressure from the public upon the U.S. Government to get busy and mop up the floor with Fidel, hang the coat.

But that, of course, runs counter to our assurance of security *à la* Fidel, our promises to the Kremlin not to harm a hair of his head, or to allow anyone else to do so — and let's never forget that it was the "Liberal" Establishment in the United States, as epitomized by the *New York Times*, and not Khrushchev, which made it possible for Castro to grab Cuba in the first place.

So then, if the public had any inkling that Castro was involved in literally blowing the brains out of the President of the United States, there would be no way out of getting rid of him forthwith. Since our top officials did not wish to do that, it became super-urgent to deceive the public. Somehow, Oswald's crystal-clear Red connections, not to mention the covert ones, had to be deemed irrelevant. So the whole media establishment got together and deemed them irrelevant. Easy! Somehow, the connection be-