### "All the News That's UNFIT to Print"

Joachim Joesten's

# TRUTE LETTER

## An Antideta to Official Mendacity and Newsfaking in the Press

Vol. IV, No. 12

7890 Gutenburg, Germany

March 1, 1972

Editorial: Stuntmanship is no substitute for statesmanship.

#### Their Pal in the Whits House

In quick succession, Nixon, around the turn of the year, released two top mobsters from federal prisons: Jimmy Hoffa and Mickey Cohen, Just in time to shatter the pious fiction that this elemency was prompted by humanitarian reasons or a sense of justice, a book has come out that throws a dazzling light on the long, closs and come relationship between organized crime and That ran in the white House. The book, simply titled "Lansky", was written by Hank Messick and published by Berkley Publishing Corperation, an affiliate of G.P. Putnem's Hons. It is a real shocker - not because it documents the well-known fact that "The Mob Runs america and Lansky Runs the Mob," as the publisher's blurb puts it, but because it reveals for the first time the full extent of Richard Nixon's involvement with gangsterdom. A few quotes are in order.

"(Meyer) Lansky first heard of young Richard Nimon in 1940. At Duke University where he studied Law, Nimon was comething of an introvert. He read love stories instead of dating girls and dreamed of becoming an FBI agent. when J.Edgar Hoover turned down his application, he opened a law practice in whittier, California, a soburb of Los Angeles, and was named police prosecutor. Bugsy Siegel (a top henchman of Lansky's sho, after committing countless murders, who rubbed out himself in 1947 - J.J.) had his headquarters in Los Angeles and had a keen interest in all things relating to crime, police, and courte. In 1940 him married, but his restlessness grew, according to his biographer, Harl Mazo, "during a brief trip to Cube he spent a bit of his vacation time exploring the possibilities of establishing law or business connections in Havana."

"The circumstances can be dismissed as a coincidence. The fact that Laneky's partner bossed crime in California and that in Cuba Laneky himself sat at the right hand of Batista may mean nothing. Yet whittier is a long way from Mavana for a young lawyer in search of new connections. In any case, with the war approaching, there were little apportunity for either Mixon or Lansky in Mavana. But people had their eyes on the young lawyer. Before the war ended, he was tapped to run for Congress. Murray M. Chotiner, later to be involved in a nessy scandal concerning influence peddling and a man the represented top namesters, was one of those who helped select Mixon.

"Later, Nickey Coher, the sen who succeeded Siegal in the West has to boest that he and essented substant wave money and o her aid to himem in the early states of his political career... Years later, when columnist have Pearson published Cohen's comments, Nixon's press sides decied them. No libel suit was threatened, however, " (suphasis added throughout - J.J.)

Hivon, of course, would have sund Draw Pearson had as not been satisfied that the columnist could back up his chargon. The fact that he did not sue speaks as loudly as does the scandelous clemency charm, some 50 years later, by the same Pichara Mixon, as President of the United States, to the same mickey Schen, convicted mobilord.

I cannot deal, in this limited space, with all the incriminating facts and circumstances involving Mixon which Hank Messick has dug up and lays on the line in his book, nor can I quote from it as extensively as I should like to. One of the most interesting points the author makes concerns the long and cordial association between Nixon, the Republican, and Senator George Smathers of Florida, nominally a Democrat. Smathers, one of the most unscrupulous wheeler-dealers ever to disgrace the Senate, is notorious, among other things, for his shady business deals with Bobby Baker, LBJ's favorite crook. (as birds of a feather flock together, it is no wonder that Kixon is also a great personal friend of LBJ, across nominal party lines).

"Mixon became chummy with Smathers even before being elected to the Senate," Messick writes... "Upon winning election, kixon went to Florida for a vacation. To make sure he had a good time, Smathers turned him over to Charles G. Bebe' Rebozo, a somewhat mysterious figure who had made his fortune recapping tires during the war and invested it in real estate. Mixon had served as an attorney in the tire-rationing section of the Office of Price administration before joining the Navy (curious coincidence, ian't it? — J.J.). Along with Smathers, they eventually entered into several profitable business deals. In time, Rebozo became Mixon's closest confident, and vacation trips to Miami and the Caribbean became frequent."

"The hour for which Lansky waited came at last in November, 1968, with the election of President Nixon," Messick writes elsewhere. It was, indeed, a great moment for the assorted crooks and mobsters that make up the National Crims Syndicate. One notorious stock swindler, Louis E. Wolfson, under indictment in New York, when advised his case could be fixed with Nixon for a \$ 20,000 contribution to the Republican National Committee, promptly wrote out the check. It is immaterial that the "adviser" in this case was a comman "who, just as promptly, blew it all on his current girlfriend." What matters is that a smart fellow like Wolfson believed his case could be fixed with Nixon.

After disposing of Wolfson, U.S. Attorney Robert Morganthau in New York went after several distinguished board members of the Lancky Group. "He was becoming something of a problem (to the gangstars -U.S.)" as Messick puts it. and then he goes on to say: "The Nixon administration took care of the problem. Morganthau, despite being recommended by a special Republican task force as the best prosecutor in the nation, was abruptly fired by attorney General John Mitchellass."

That s law and order for you, Nixon style,

#### READER'S FORUM

From Ar. a.L. Thomas, Liverpool, England:

"Dear Er. Joesten,

with reference to the quote from my letter which appeared in Th. Vol. IV, No. 10, I had in mind when writing the note statements made in recent years by american critics to the effect that the world outside the U.S. knows the truth about the assassination of JFK. This belief is obviously erroneous, but what I really meant was that in Britain we seem to be even worse off than other Europeans, as there has apparently been considerable doubt cast on the official 'truth' on the Continent, whereas we have been consistently subjected to slanted news items. In addition, these reports have been rather spocadic and also very brief with the result that people here still seem to believe in the Oswald fantasy simply through ignorance. Surely this is different from the american situation where most people appear to prefer to believe in the long-assassin theory, not because of the 'evidence', but because the implications of a conspiracy are too frightening to consider?

I know I should have explained my point more fully, but I'm sorry if you thought me so unrealistic as to expect honest reporting in the U.K. Yours sincerely,

a. L. Thomas."

#### How Carrison Was Framed (ctd.)

Before going into the details of the scheme devised by the Nixon Maladministration for the purpose of discrediting and possibly eliminating from public life the man who has come closest to revealing the true facts of the Kennedy assassination (which was essential to the eventual ascent of Nixon to the White House), let me remind my readers that the Government's case against carrison rests solely on the testimony of Pershing Gervais who has been exposed by a paper as hostile to the DA as the New Orleans States—Item is as a crook and comman of the first water. The principal ingredient of a frameup, to wit perjured testimony by a malleable "witness" was there, then, from the start.

It is worthy of note that the ll3-page affidavit by rloyd v. Moore, thief of the Intelligence Division of the Louisiana IRS District, which forms the basis of the charges against Carrison, includes the name of Pershing Carvais in a list of individuals "who have been and are engaged in illegal gambling businesses in the State of Louisiana." Elsewhere in the same document it is stated that Carvais, posides operating a number of bars and lounges in New Orleans, "bas also owned and operated bally in-line gambling type pinball machines and has placed such gambling devices in public places for use by the general public," In other words, Gervais himself is a notorious gambler who has been up to his ears in illicit business. He got caught and wriggled out of his predicament by becoming a "cooperative witness" - against a close and generous friend. It's a classic pattern of deceit, betrayal and frameup.

On June 24, 1969, Moore met with Gervais and enlisted his help allegedly "to assist the Internal Revenue Service in the investigation of violations of federal law," but in reality for the purpose of procuring false evidence against Garrison. An IRS agent named artie G. Puckett was assigned by Moore to work with Gervais on this noble task. On July 27, 1970, Gervais was introduced by Moore and Fuckett to John Wall, "attorney-in-charge of the Justice Department's Organized Grime Strike Force in New Orleans."

Evidently well-coached by that time, Germais at that meeting and again at another on Aug. 31,1970, told his official spensors all they wanted to hear. He stated, according to the Moore Affidavit, "that the relationship between Carrison and the pinball dealers began in the year 1961 when the pinball dealers gave Carrison \$ 10,000 during his campaign for the office of district attorney for Orleans Parish." Needless to say, not a shadow of proof for this libel was offered or asked by anyons at the Leeting.

Then he declared that he had been since 1962 the conduit, through one John arms Cellery, for payoffs from pinboll mechino dealers to Jim Caprison, and that these ranged from \$2,700 to \$4,400 bi-monthly, he said that as a rule he retained \$700 from each bimonthly payoff and passed the belance on to Carrison; on a \$4,400 payoff he allogedly retained \$1,400, passing of \$5,000 to the Da.

Again, Gervais had no proof whatever to offer to back up these allegations, so in the best frameum tradition the beverament now told him how to manufacture "avidence" that could be used against Carrison as a trial. This "evidence" was to consist of two elements: (a) true, recordings, which can of course be manipulated, and certainly were in this case; and marked bills, which create a presumption of guilt, through they do not indicate for what purpose a specific payment has been made.

The Covernment, in its case against Corrison, made a for of the apparent fact that a batch of \$50 bills, the medal numbers of which had been moted, was Found in the PA's possession, after these bills, which had over furnished by the IAS, had been handed to Corveiz as a substitute for other bills allegedly received from the pinball dealers. This can a personic describe a said of entrapeent which was almost bound to succeed because derivate had access to Cossison, who still considered atm a good Friend dust Corveis aid not tell has spense a - or shab, if he teld then, they kept assidewadly under graps - was the fact that he used Carrison a low of conty under he and received from the BL ax a personal loan.

(to be continued in the mest issue)

## New Light on the Robert Kennedy Murder Fraud (ctd.)

Going back now to the key question as to whether or not Sirhan could have killed Senator Kennedy, the answer hinges on two factors:

- (a) What was the distance from which the fatal bullet was fired into Kennedy's head? and (b) What was the direction of that shot?
- Dr. Noguchi, in his testimony to the Grand Jury, hedged a bit at first when asked by Deputy District Attorney John 3. Miner (Transcript,  $p_{\alpha}$  27):

"Doctor, from the tattoing that you described on the edge of the ear as to bullet wound Number 1, do you have any opinion as to wrat might have been the distance from which that bullet was fired?"

- A. "Yes, I do have an oginion, sir."
- Q. "And what is your opinion, Doctor?"
- A. "May I before I would express my opinion, I would like to qualify myself that this area may be area called criminalistic or firearm identification, and without having an opportunity to test the suspected firearm, using similar cartridge and bullet, and it will be very difficult for forensic expert to express in a distance by how many inches (sic)."
  - "However, the position of the tattoing and the powder on the edge of the right ear indicate that gunshot wound was inflicted, and I would say that the muzzle distance was a very, very close,"
- © "Do you believe this was a contact wound, that is, the muzzle was actually up against the body of Senator Kennedy?"
- A. "I would like to study further, since there are continuous exemination must be conducted because the surgeon had removed the fragments of the skull, which may contain the powder, nowever ="
- Q. "Let me put it this way, can you give do you have an opinion as to the meximum distance the gun could have been from the Senator and still have left powder burns? How is that? Can you give us an opinion on that?"
- A. "Well, yes, I think so, I can express an opinion, allowing a variation, I don't think it will be more than two or three inches from the edge of the right ear."

The doctor's hesitation is understandable. Undoubtedly he was already aware at the time that his scientific conclusion that the gun was held to Kennedy's head at almost contact distance would conflict irreconcilably with the fact - established by all the eyewitnesses closest to the Senator, as we shall see - that Sirhan never got closer to kennedy than three feet.

Subsequently, a series of test firings were conducted on Fine II, 1968, which are described in detail on pp. 39-40 of the autopsy report, for some reason, which is not likely to be innecent, considering all the fraudulent moves already exposed in the case, a weapon and amounition supplied by the LAPO were used, which were, in the words of the report, "of the most nearly identical manufacture possible to that of the fatal weapon."

Why wasn't sirban's gun, which the police had in their custody, used for that purpose? In other experiments conducted about the same time, callistics expert DeWayne Wolfer, as we have seen, did use - or at lenst claims to have used - Sirben's revolver to fire bullets into a water tank for comparison purposess, why use another waspen, no matter how similar it may have been, for the test firings, in which molfer also took part, along with a Sgt. William J. Lee and Drs. Reguest and helloway?

The final shapter paragraph of this chapter reads as follows:

(to be continued in the next issue)