

6/11/69

Dear Lou,

Sorry you haven't found time to answer my previous letters. Hope you do. I now write you about several other things and one of them.

The old one: I loaned you a roll of stats of the Councillor so you could copy them for your files. These were large and rolled up. I asked you when I gave them to you, if it would not be too much trouble, to xerox a set for me also so I could file them without cutting up these stats. I do need them for some of work, have needed them, for I've now passed that point and will have to go back to it, perhaps at the cost of considerably wasted time and effort. Try and find time to go over what you were supposed to return to me, please. I can no longer remember what it was.

There are two things in your files I had, one not complete and one I've mislaid. The first is the letter of Wiley G. Yates to Jim (Jim gave me this copy) dated 6/28/67. It refers to attachments, one a letter from Hemming, one I believe by Yates. Whatever the attachments, I'd like them-just the attachments, for I do have the letter. I had mislaid it and just found it. In reading it it becomes clear that he dissembled. It is quite clear that his purpose in writing Jim was not his belated reading of the Warren Report, for those things he refers to are not in the Report and appear but one place: WHITEWASH II. His letter pretty much coincides with other things that happened that, in turn, also coincide with the appearance of the Dell edition of this book in Dallas. Mrs. Lovelady called me, also Phil Willis, among others. I am inclined to credit much of the letter, but that part is false, and I have yet to decide why he lied about it, but he had to have had a reason, for with what he knew about Hall, etc., there is no apparent reason for him to try to disguise his having read WW II. Please do this as soon as you can, for I intend to write Yates for a number of reasons and want to do it as soon as possible.

I have just read a set of proofs of Epsteink's book, "Counterplot" and, in my own way, am starting a little something, and for a number of purposes. I once had (again, although I am not sure, I think Jim gave it to me) a copy of the Thornley affidavit on Heindell and, I think, a covering letter or letters from Lifton. I'd like a copy of these as soon as possible also. There is an added purpose. Epstein's article in the Sunday "Times" magazine a couple of months ago is in error in every thing it says or implied about me. Technically, it is libellous, but the chances of doing anything about that are slight. However, it is giving the Times a few problems, as my correspondence discloses. They are at a loss to know what to do or say. Now Lifton is making some of his own kind of trouble among those few people left doing any work, and it is also important that I have this to show them copies, for it is clear that rather than the account he and Epsteink give, he actually was in the position of framing Heindell. At the very least, it shows he does not check himself out. If you have transcribed my interview with Douglas Jones and Myra Silver, of course, I'd like a copy of that, too. I can't afford to buy Epsteink's book, but from the proofs it is becoming clear to me that he may very well be some kind of an agent, for there are things in it that at the least indicate this. He was very carefully fed what he did not know and did not understand, has made the kind of unnecessary errors that indicate the errors are not original with him, etc. One other thing that has never reached me that I want to add to a book already written but not printed. Hubert high-school class predictions. Best regards,