Per on our person

4 September 1969

Itek Corporation Lexington, Mass. 02173

Dear Sirs:

Thank you for promptly sending me your "Life-Itek Kennedy Assassination Film Analysis".

I wish to notify you that you are seriously in error in at least one section of your analysis. In light of the matters that I discuss below, I believe that you ought to correct your error at least to the extent of furnishing an addendum to your report, so that you will not cause your readers to believe something which cannot be true.

In section 2.3 Task C you conclude that, "the 'person' appearing in Willis no.5 and Betzner pictures joined two other persons on the steps by the time the car was at point 313."

Visible in Willis no.5 are two individuals standing on the steps which lead from Elm Steet to the area behind the concrete wall at the top of the knoll. The lower of the two individuals is wearing a red upper garment and the upper individual is wearing an upper garment which appears to have a bluish tint. Pictures taken after point 313 disclose three individuals, two of whom are those who are visible in Willis no.5. I refer specifically to the films by Mary Moorman, Orville Nix, and Mary Muchmore (You take no consideration of the Muchmore film, although it bears importantly on the matter that you discuss).

The person who is not visible in Willis no.5, but is visible in the pictures taken after point 313, is Emmett Hudson, the grounds-keeper of Dealey Plaza. Both Hudson's testimony before the Warren Commission and the nature of the clothes that he was wearing at the time of the assassination conclusively refute your assertion that the 'person' visible behind the wall in Willis no.5 descended the stairs immediately after Willis took his photograph.

Hudson's testimony is located at volume 7,pp.558-565 of the Warren Commission's "Hearings". In the couse of that testimony Hudson informed the Commission that he was standing on the steps for several minutes before the shooting occurred. During that time he was talking with one of the other individuals on the steps.

Foreover, even without reference to Hudson's testimory you should have known that he could not possibly be the same as the 'person' behind the wall. The pictures taken after point 313 disclose that Hudson is wearing a white shirt, red jacket, and (especially) a white cap. None of these items of clothing correspond in the least with the clothing worn by the 'person' behind the wall. The person' behind the wall appears to be wearing a very dark colored coat and haveknessing a very dark colored headsear.

Unquestionably, Hudson is not visible in Willis no. 5. but in light of his testimony and of the sure knowledge that he was wearing very different clothing from the "person' behind the wall, ought you not to consider that in Willis no.5 Hudson is obscured either by one of the individuals on the steps or by the light-post that intervenes between the steps and Willis' camera?

I shall be interested to learn whether you can refute the matters discussed above, and, if you cannot, whether you have the measure of integrity that induces honorable men to admit and to correct their errors.

Yours truly,

Richard Bernabei

cc. LIFE, Time, Inc., Rockefeller Center, N.Y., N.Y., 10020.

4 September 1969

Time, Inc.
Rockefeller Center
New York, N.Y. 10020

Dear Sirs:

Enclosed for your interest is a copy of a letter that I recently wrote to the Itek Corporation concerning their "Life-Itek Kennedy Assassination Film Analysis", of November 1967.

Itek claims that you requested the study. If you paid for the truth, you were robbed.

If it was your intent to obscure the significance of invaluable evidence in the murder of the President, con-cratulations for your success.

Yours truly,

Richard Bernatzi

Richard Bernabei