

INSIGHT



NOVEMBER 15, 1968
40 CENTS

on the news

— A WORLDWIDE FACTUAL INTELLIGENCE REPORT —

HOW BLACKS TERRORIZE BLACKS

DO WE NEED BANKS?

OUR MUTUAL SECURITY PROGRAM

IS YOUR CHURCH SERVING GOD?

CAMPUS VIOLENCE PLANNED

McCARTHY'S DISARMAMENT BLUEPRINT

DIM FUTURE FOR DEMOCRATIC PARTY

And Many Other Features And Stories



INSIGHT On The News

NOVEMBER 15, 1968 VOL. II, NO. 19

INSIGHT On The News is published fortnightly in Miami, Fla., by Independent Research & Publishing Association, Inc.

Office of Publication:
8551 Coral Way, Suite 301-A

President of I.R. & P.A.:
John W. Chalfant

Editor:
Dr. Fernando Penabaz

Contributors:
Alan Courtney
William S. Baren
Nguyen Cong Vien

**Subscription and Circulation
Department**
Aleli Puig

Subscription rates:
U.S.A. and Possessions:
\$5.00 Half Year
\$9.00 One Year
All Other Countries:
Add \$1.00 per subscription

Subscription Communications:
INSIGHT On The News
Subscription Department
P.O. Box 591
Coral Gables, Fla. 33134

(Application to mail at second-class
postage rates is pending at
Miami, Fla.)

OPEN LETTER



Penabaz

Dear Reader,

I have no doubts that there are millions of Americans who after having cast their Presidential ballot will once again retire into a state of civic passivity harboring the mistaken belief that the great task has been accomplished and there is little or nothing more to be done until the next four-year political cycle is completed. The people who entertain such thoughts are usually those who, lacking faith in their own political perception and ability, are willing to delegate unto others the right possessed by every individual in a free society to keep the sovereign nation prosperous and powerful.

Let us remember that no one person, not even that human being who next January will be invested with the awesome duties of President of the United States, will be able to solve or resolve the mammoth problems which are so severely shaking the entire structure of American society.

The feat of righting what is wrong among us, of straightening what is crooked, of cleansing what is politically, intellectually and morally filthy is a job for all who love America. Political power and political genius alone will not suffice to bring the United States back to a state of moral and ideological normalcy, because our ailments are not only political. The nation is besieged from within and from without by disruptive and potentially destructive forces.

From without, the constant and ceaseless drive of Communism and the festering sickness of anti-Americanism it fosters and promotes threaten our national existence as a World Power and even as a nation. From within, we are beset not only by nigh insurmountable political dissent and pre-anarchy, but also by the thoughts and influences responsible for such a state of affairs.

We must face up to the fact that moral and ideological rot has enthroned itself among our highest religious, intellectual, journalistic and social circles.

Hedonism and adultery have become the acceptable pastimes of certain national "idols" and "revered" jet-set personages.

Some of our most aristocratic, "plush" and pampered universities, indulging in the permissiveness granted by "progressive" and "Liberal" trustees and faculties are rapidly converting college dormitories into houses of ill repute, besides being rallying points for sedition and violence.

Famous "reverends" and "rabbis" preach and practice everything which traditional Christianity and Judaism have for centuries condemned and forbidden.

Opinion moulders entrenched in our national television networks, our radio broadcasting centers, our monopolistic wire services and in the editorial columns of some of our largest and most influential newspapers make a daily practice of preaching civic cowardice in the form of a "no win" philosophy as a panacea for our great woes and tribulations.

Under such circumstances it is more than obvious that no politician on earth, by himself, can prove successful. To cleanse and disinfect our Aegean Stables is an assignment which would make Hercules himself tremble and waver. Only if all of us zealously pitch in to redeem our heritage, our traditions and our political patrimony, can success be achieved. Beginning next January, one more opportunity is granted to us. The time has arrived for every one of us to do our duty and I know we will not falter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Fernando Penabaz

Campus Violence Planned

By ALLAN C. BROWNFELD

The takeover of Columbia University last Spring and the violence which erupted on the streets of Chicago during the Democratic National Convention are only forerunners of the kind of disruption which is planned for the future by youthful activists.

In both the Columbia and Chicago instances, the evidence pointing to a carefully planned politics of "confrontation" is overwhelming.

The New Left's assault against the American university is less an effort to reform it than to, in effect, destroy it. This point was made in an editorial in the *Berkeley Barb*, a leading underground newspaper: "The universities cannot be reformed. They must be abandoned or closed down. They should be used as bases for actions against society, but never taken seriously. The professors have nothing to teach. . . . We can learn more from any jail than we can from any university."

The fact that student strikes and campus takeovers are less related to particular campus issues than to the general desire by militant student leaders to effect a revolution, not only in the university, but in the society at large, was reaffirmed by Mark Rudd, the leader of the turbulent rebellion at Columbia University.

In a letter addressed to Columbia President Grayson Kirk, Rudd did not hesitate to name "revolution" as the real issue at Columbia: "You are quite right in feeling that the situation is 'potentially' dangerous.' For if we win, we will take control of your world, your corporation, your university. . . . Your power is directly threatened, since we will have to destroy that power before we take over. We, the young people who you rightly

fear, say that society is sick and you and your capitalism are the sickness. . . . There is only one thing left to say Up against the wall. . . this is a stick-up!"

There were two major demands presented by the Columbia University rebels: (1) A halt in the construction of a gymnasium in Morningside Park. The project had become highly controversial. It was opposed by many Harlem groups as well as a growing segment of the Columbia faculty. At issue were both the use of public park land and the architectural design which provided for separate facilities and entrances for community users and university personnel, inviting the charge that the structure which was actually intended as a service to the community might be turned into a symbol of segregation;

(2) Termination of contracts with the Institute for Defense Analysis which,

Students for a Democratic Society charged, "works on military projects aimed at the oppression of the people of Vietnam" and "develops riot equipment to commit mass genocide against black people" in the United States.

It appears, however, that the takeover of Columbia had nothing whatever to do with these issues. They were simply pretexts for a long-planned exercise of power. Writing in *The New Republic*, two graduate students, Dotson Rader and Craig Anderson, reported: "Months before, at an S.D.S. conference in Maryland, the decision had been reached to take physical control of a major American university this Spring. Columbia was chosen because of its liberal reputation, its situation in New York and the fact that it was an Ivy League school. S.D.S. felt it was important at this time



to disrupt a private, prestige, tactically vulnerable university."

According to this analysis, the issues which were presented by the student rebels were simply pretexts, "the point of the game was power." To members of the S.D.S. steering committee, Columbia itself was the issue: "It was revolution, and if it could be shown that a great university could literally be taken over in a matter of days by a well organized group of students, then no university was secure. Everywhere the purpose was to destroy institutions of the American Establishment, in the hope that out of the chaos a better America would emerge.

The alleged "success" of the takeover of Columbia University has added to the militancy of the New Left movement. Phillip Abbot Luce, himself a former leader of the pro-Peking Progressive Labor Party, discussed the plans of the New Left leaders to stimulate Columbia-like confrontations across the country: "The Columbia experience is being touted by various S.D.S. leaders as an example for other student revolutionaries to emulate in the coming school year. Stealing Che Guevara's call for the creation of many Vietnams throughout the hemisphere, the SDSers claim as their goal the 'creating of two, three, many Columbias.'"

* * * * *

Tom Hayden, one of the founders of S.D.S. who was blamed by Newark, New Jersey officials for helping to instigate the riots in the Negro areas of that city, candidly stated the S.D.S. political approach. Writing in *Ramparts*, he declared: "Columbia opened a new tactical stage in the resistance movement which began last fall: from the overnight occupation of buildings to permanent occupation; from mill-ins to the creation of revolutionary committees; from symbolic civil disobedience to barricaded resistance. Not only are these tactics already being duplicated on other campuses, but they are sure to be surpassed by even more militant tactics."

The revolutionary strategy is to gain student appeal by raising broadly supported issues and then forcing the college administration into refusing to accept student demands.

Thus is created a direct "confrontation" between the revolutionaries and the Administration. Confrontation as a revolutionary tactic works like this: Manipulate people into a posture in which they are in direct conflict with a power source. Violence can then be created. The first element is to enlist broad support for the stated cause through the raising of false issues. Secondly, the power source must be asked to make concessions which they cannot, or will not, accept. The claim is then made that the student will have been thwarted and the only answer is peaceful but extra-legal measures to gain the demanded changes.

While declaring their "non-violence" and their desire to make only reasonable changes in campus policy, the revolutionaries attempt to gain strength from outside forces. Unless the school Administration has taken a strong stand against the revolutionaries from the beginning, they will soon be forced to call in outside help. According to Phillip Luce, "... this call for the police is exactly what the revolutionaries want at this point in their program. . . . Suddenly from somewhere, a policeman is hit and he, in turn, retaliates and hits a demonstrator. This has a chain reaction and soon there is a near riot."

As the violence increases, spectators, who have no idea how the violence started, are pulled into the riot by their emotional reaction to seeing the police employ defensive strength to restrain the now-fighting students. Once violence

develops, the police become rough. This is a classic example of how to create a riot condition and then utilize the shock reaction of onlookers to perpetuate it.

An end product is the apparent acceptability of violence. Ultimately, the theory of confrontation leads to the revolutionary proclamation that violence must now be accepted by previously passive students.

The events in Chicago were similarly well planned long in advance. As far back as November 16, 1967, the *Village Voice* reported the leader of the Youth International Party, Jerry Rubin, as saying: "See you next August in Chicago at the Democratic National Convention. Bring pot, fake delegates' cards, smoke bombs, costumes, blood to throw and all kinds of interesting props. Also football helmets." (From "Roll Call")

WHY NOT?



PHONE IN YOUR SUBSCRIPTION

DIAL: 221-8981

*"Wives that cook and do the dishes
Should be granted these three wishes:
A grateful mate, a well-kissed cheek
A Restaurant dinner every week."*

JULIUS CAESAR

Julius Caesar's Home of the Roman Steak

BROASTED CHICKEN * Bar-B-Q BABY RIBS

We cater to Groups * PTA * Churches

Private Dining Room Available for Banquets

49th St. & East 4th Ave., Hialeah



MU1-6633

(Parking in Rear)

McCarthy's Disarmament Blueprint

— BY JOHN D. LOFTON, JR. —

It is no secret among Neo-Communist circles that Senator Eugene McCarthy is still a valuable asset in their plans to liquidate traditional "establishment" leaders within the Democratic party in order to substitute them with "New Politics" characters who frankly and publicly promote the cause of Marxist socialism. It is all part of a carefully studied and mapped-out plan to capture what remains of the Democratic party structure and use it as a spearhead in achieving national and international Marxist control. Therefore, Senator McCarthy's viewpoints on such a vital issue as U.S. disarmament are extremely important and pertinent.

Senator Eugene McCarthy has written a paper on arms control. It is a blueprint for the nuclear extermination of this country.

For openers, McCarthy says we must seek an immediate international freeze on the number and characteristics of

strategic weapons, both offensive and defensive. As an initial step toward reaching such an agreement, McCarthy suggests an announcement by the United States, that we are unilaterally delaying deployment of the Sentinel ABM system, the Poseidon, and the Minuteman

III ICBM "pending speedy agreement with the U.S.S.R." Such a moratorium, the Senator feels, would prevent ABM deployments by the U.S. and the Soviet Union and would not jeopardize our security, since neither the Chinese nuclear threat against which we are deploying our ABM nor the Soviet ABM, which is the rationale for our Poseidon and Minuteman III developments, are moving ahead perceptibly.

Such a moratorium as envisioned by McCarthy would of course do nothing of the kind. It would prevent ONLY the U.S. from deploying their ABM system, since the Soviet Union already has theirs



Cal-Ore MACHINERY CO.

Medford, Oregon
773-4507

Portland, Oregon
288-5601

[New NORTHWEST "Timbermaster 60", mounted on a Beall low-profile carrier with hydraulic outriggers. Photo taken just prior to shipment to the Philippines]

Construction, Logging And Industrial Equipment



McCarthy . . .
Disarmament Architect

deployed, and would give Red China a valuable time advantage in the development of the nuclear ICBM.

The deployment of the Soviet ABM has been known about for some time. The February, 1967 issue of *Triumph* reported that the U.S.S.R. had "progressed well beyond the development of ABMs around a major city or several cities; it had developed a comprehensive, advanced and expensive system. Even more important, the Soviet system is evidently not a 'point' defense system, but an 'area' defense system. It is geared, that is to say, not only to defend cities and concentrations of weaponry, but to protect military installations that have already been widely dispersed. . ."

Furthermore, Soviet leaders have repeatedly stated in public that their nation has an effective ABM. Over two years ago, on April 23, 1966, United Press International reported from Budapest that Soviet Defense Minister Malinovsky claimed the U.S.S.R. had already "introduced" a defense system capable of intercepting whole squadrons of attacking bombers and knocking out incoming missiles.

Now, what about this Red Chinese nuclear threat which Senator McCarthy tells us is not moving along perceptibly?

On June 17, 1967, two years and eight months after testing their first A-bomb, Red China detonated their first hydrogen bomb with a yield of at least three megatons. It took the Russians

four years to master the H-bomb, Britain five, the U.S. eight, and even after 11 years, France has failed to develop one.

Dr. Chu-yuan Cheng, a China expert with the University of Michigan's Center for Chinese Studies, predicts that perhaps as early as 1970 the Red Chinese will have an ICBM.

However, as Dr. Stefan Possony, Director of the Hoover Institution on War, Revolution and Peace, has warned: the Chinese nuclear threat cannot be evaluated merely in terms of a direct danger to the U.S. mainland. There is also a potential ability to deliver nuclear weapons from obsolescent Soviet bombers. Thus, it is now possible for the Red Chinese to launch a nuclear attack on Japan, the Philippines, Taiwan, Malaysia, Indonesia, Vietnam, Thailand, Laos or India.

As a part of this freeze on strategic and offensive and defensive systems, McCarthy further suggests an international agreement to prohibit both development and deployment of new intercontinental bombers. Another area in which the preservation of the status quo would resound to the benefit of the Soviet Union.

The Soviet Union has the numerically largest strategic bomber force in the world today. This is because the U.S.S.R. has retained its air-refuelable medium bombers (BADGERS and BLINDERS) while the U.S. has scrapped a large force of over 1,000 B-47's. The Soviets now have 1,110 strategic bombers versus 680 U.S. strategic bombers.

Major Arthur J. Ruppert, in a thesis submitted to the Air Command and Staff College, June 1955, said that this change in the balance of U.S.—U.S.S.R. strategic bomber forces represents a reversal of the United States' former numerical preponderance in manned bombers, and poses a threat to the U.S. which is largely overlooked because the spotlight has been focused on the ICBM threat.

As a result of U.S. unilateral de-escalation in these various fields, McCarthy tells us very matter-of-factly: "The Soviet Union in turn would terminate the very considerable expansion of its offensive strategic program that is now underway." Many of the obstacles blocking these proposals in the past have been products of the Cold War between the U.S. and the U.S.S.R., McCarthy feels. "The detente between the two great powers, interrupted by the war in Vietnam, can be renewed with peace in Southeast Asia," he says. Yet, the available evidence indicates that the Soviet Union has a goal of strategic superiority designed to WIN a nuclear war rather than merely deter one. Once in a war-winning posture, the U.S.S.R. would be ideally situated to practice nuclear blackmail and would not even have to fight a nuclear war.

But, "if our restraint should promote an agreement on strategic forces, we should have gained greatly from our unilateral initiatives in this area," argues McCarthy.

True, Senator. . . but if they don't?

FOR CONSERVATIVE, EXPERIENCED, INVESTMENT

BROKERAGE SERVICE



Call, write
William S. Baren

Hayden, Stone, Inc.

MEMBERS N.Y. STOCK EXCHANGE

295 ALHAMBRA CIRCLE, CORAL GABLES, FLA. 33134

TEL. 444 - 7651

Is Your Church Serving God?

Several issues ago, we reported to you that the World Council of Churches, with the cooperation of five major denominations, produced a movie entitled "Another Pilgrim." In case you have forgotten, this is a movie in which the preacher disrobes as he preaches, and at the conclusion of his sermon he stands—Naked in The Pulpit.

Since our last writing, we have contacted the World Council of Churches for additional information on the film, and received the following reply:

From the WCC letter, dated September 3, 1968.

"In answer to your questions regarding the involvement of the National Council of Churches in the project: the film was commissioned by a working group on the Study of the Missionary Structure of the Congregation. Staff members were provided by the National Council of Churches. . . Regarding the financial support for the film project, the following denominations contributed with the following amounts from \$500 to \$2000: The American Baptists,

BY
FRANK
TORTORIELLO



the United Presbyterian Church, the Episcopal Church, the United Church of Christ, the United Methodist Church, and the Disciples of Christ" . . .

We who have left Council-supporting churches, feel sure that many good Christians, belonging to the above mentioned denominations, and those supporting ANY denomination connected with the NCC, are unaware of how the Lord's money is being used.

The scripture admonishing us to "Study to show thyself approved unto God, a workman that needeth not to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth" could also apply as instructing Christians to be informed as to how the Lord's offering, given to a church in

good faith, is being used by the National Council of Churches, to do the devil's work. . . .

This article is **not** intended for those who would pretend that the National Council of Churches and the WCC do not exist. . . or for those who pretend that they do not support these organizations. . . .

I am certain that this segment of our churchgoers have, in the past, read many such reports on the activities of the NCC, and have long since decided to continue their game of "let's pretend."

To these misguided individuals I would only express my sorrow, for the day is fast approaching when they will dearly regret their unscriptural action.


How long halt ye between two opinions? if the Lord be God, follow him: but if Baal, then follow him. And the people answered him not a word.

1 Kings, 18:21

Which God will you serve. . . ?

FERGUSON & BOSWORTH Oil Exploration

OPERATING IN CALIFORNIA, WYOMING, UTAH,
OHIO, TEXAS



MAIN OFFICE:

1717 Twenty Eighth St.
P.O. Box Bin 2427
Bakersfield, California 93301
Phone: FA7-0291

EASTERN DIVISION OFFICE:

6745 Thorne St.
Worthington, Ohio 43085
Phone: 888-2959

Our Mutual Security Program

—BY BONNER FELLERS—
BRIG. GEN. U.S. ARMY, RET'D

Since 1961 the Pentagon has sold—more probably given away—more than \$12 billion worth of munitions to foreign States. This, together with more than \$38 billion in AID's foreign munitions gifts during the past two decades, totals more than \$50 billion for global military assistance. The American people are entitled to know whether or not this munitions program is worth its cost.

It must be a frustrating disillusionment for those dedicated to foreign aid to realize in this turbulent world that the United States, while at war, is being compelled to stand almost alone. Moreover, several Allies for whom we fought in two world wars now give aid and comfort to our enemy. Our military assistance program is making it crystal-clear Allies cannot be bought.

State and Defense Department officials testify military assistance is essential to our own survival. President Eisenhower spoke of military assistance in glowing terms. On March 3, 1959, he advised the Congress:

"Our friends among the free world make available to us for the use of our forces:

250 strategic bases. . .
5 million ground forces. . .
30,000 aircraft. . .
2,500 combat vessels."

But despite this formidable array of foreign military might, somehow when needed, it is mostly unavailable. Except for South Korean and South Vietnam forces—both countries victims of Communist aggression—only token military assistance has been extended to us in our two recent Asian wars. Token military assistance in Vietnam by Japan, the Philippines, Thailand, Australia and New Zealand is being given largely because if

the Reds win in Vietnam, these countries may soon be next. And they are eager to align themselves with the only help in sight—faithful Uncle Sam.

More than once our President has called on the Congress to support foreign aid in order to avoid future Vietnams. Nevertheless, at Las Vegas, February 16, 1966, Secretary of State Rusk gave as one of five reasons for our present armed intervention in Vietnam:

"We are committed to assist South Vietnam to resist aggression...by the aid approved by bipartisan majorities in Congress over a period of 12 years."

Our foreign aid entangles us in the economic, political, military and psychological affairs of each recipient country. This, recipients resent. Three measures are necessary to "reduce the chances of future Vietnams," and foreign military assistance is not one of them. Future Vietnams can be avoided by:

- * Achieving prompt victory in Vietnam
- * Increasing U.S. war deterrent power, especially heavy bombers
- * Terminating foreign aid as rapidly as possible

Let us now turn to our military assistance program around the globe. However, in assessing U.S. military assistance, it is essential also to weigh U.S. economic aid which has cost more than \$90 billion. While economic aid might not directly be applied to military goals, it establishes credit and buys food, clothing, shelter, transportation and many other appurtenances which further military effort.

The Near East is the most strategic region in the world. It is a bridge-head to three continents, birthplace of three religions; there, east meets west and north

meets south. The Suez Canal, one of the four great defiles of the globe, opens the Mediterranean to the Indian Ocean. No wonder cold Russia, desperate for warm outlets, seeks Arab oil and friendship, finances the Aswan dam and gives huge military assistance.

Greece, Turkey and Iran have been given more than \$5.5 billion in U.S. military assistance and \$5.2 billion in U.S. economic aid.

Greece and Turkey, traditional enemies, have long been at sword's point over Cyprus; more military assistance to them could trigger a war. Iran enjoys rich oil resources; during fiscal 1965, Iran exported more than \$1 billion worth of oil. If Iran needs military equipment, it appears she could afford to buy it.

Military assistance to Pakistan and India has been enormous but is classified. Only two years ago, with weapons provided principally by the U.S., Pakistan and India fought a major war.

In the past years, Latin America has been given more than \$1 billion in U.S. military assistance and more than \$12 billion in economic aid. These countries receive incidental hemisphere protection from the U.S. air and sea power; their only other military need is small arms for internal security. Surely the billion dollar gift should have provided ample small arms.

Today, Chile, Peru, Argentina and Brazil reportedly are buying modern aircraft for which they have no urgent need. Latin America's foreign investment and purchases of fighter aircraft cause one to wonder how much Alliance For Progress aid is used in endeavoring to establish a sound economy.

The argument is being presented that

"INSIGHT" PROUDLY PRESENTS: GENERAL BONNER FELLERS



General Bonner Fellers' army career has extended over three decades and his experience has encompassed the world. He has spent 14 years in foreign service. Twice Fellers has crossed the Soviet Union. Few men are more fully alive to the Communist menace and the rising peril our country faces both from within and without.

From 1940-42, he participated in the desert campaigns against the Nazis. His reports of the great desert battles and the Crete operation and his observations and prophesies on the whole Middle East situation were officially recognized as among the most brilliant Army Intelligence work of the entire war. Ordered home, he was made a Brigadier General and awarded the Distinguished Service Medal by Secretary of War, Henry L. Stimson.

After a short time in the Office of Strategic Services, he was ordered to the Southwest Pacific Theater. Here, General MacArthur assigned him to head the Joint Planning Section of G-3, General Headquarters. He also directed MacArthur's successful Psychological Warfare campaign against the Japanese. In addition he served as General MacArthur's Military Secretary and personal observer of frontline combat. The Hollandia operation which broke the back of the Japanese in New Guinea and in which no Americans were killed in landing was his conception.

Fellers accompanied General MacArthur when he flew from Manila to take the surrender in Tokyo Bay, and during the next year worked with the Supreme Commander in the task of remaking war-torn Japan.

General Bonner Fellers was born in a Quaker home at Ridgefarm, Illinois, on February 7, 1896. He now makes his home in Washington, D.C.

our military assistance is necessary so the Latin countries can defend against Castro's Communist penetration. The answer to this is to reaffirm the Monroe Doctrine. Then, with the Organization of American States, quickly eliminate Castro from Cuba. If he knows we mean business, resistance would be slight.

In East Asia our Vietnam war, the longest in our history, remains indecisive. Our casualties already exceed 200,000. Our involvement in Vietnam began with a seemingly innocent Marshall Plan gift of \$292 million to Indo-China. To strengthen the French forces, shortly before their surrender at Dien Bien Phy in May 1954, the second Hoover Report lists a gift to France of \$745 million authorized by President Eisenhower. This military assistance to France's Indo-China war was the beginning of our present Vietnam entanglement.

Conduct of the war in Vietnam has been a monumental blunder. It could have been won shortly after our entry. Instead of full exploitation of our air and sea power - the enemy has neither - we selected tropical jungle surface combat where the enemy has every advantage. Our air and sea power has the capability of quickly destroying enemy munitions at the point of arrival in North Vietnam. This requires no attack against foreign ships, no surface invasion. Our failure to destroy enemy ammunition upon its arrival in North Vietnam is tragic; under cover of darkness and tropical jungle, it reaches enemy troops in the south who, with it, kill American and Allied youth.

Now we are engaged in the long, drawn-out Paris talks with an enemy who has not been defeated and who stubbornly sits as our equal. The day may soon come when we must win decisively. Surely, we shall not accept the disgraceful alternative of bribing the enemy into a cease-fire by promising complete rehabilitation of both North and South Vietnam.

Throughout the East Asian Theater, such U.S. military might and assistance to our Allies as is necessary to win must be granted.

Africa's only military need is for internal police protection. Africa has been given more than \$186 million military assistance; to entrust Africans with more weapons would be tragically wrong.

The population of Europe is twice that of the United States. Europe's economy is strong and expanding. Since foreign aid began, Europe has been given \$16.8 billion in U. S. military assistance

and \$30.6 billion in economic aid. In addition, the U.S. Sixth Fleet rides the Mediterranean; U.S. tactical air units and six U.S. divisions - probably not full strength - are stationed in Europe. The U.S. Strategic Air Command, based in the U.S., offers war deterrence. For the better part of two decades, six U.S. divisions have been stationed in West Germany. Our NATO expenditure in Europe is on the order of \$3 billion annually. This expenditure greatly aggravates our balance of payments deficits. To alleviate these deficits, U.S. surface forces stationed in West Germany should be replaced by Europeans. However, as a visible symbol of our determination to stand by our Allies, U.S. token forces should remain in Berlin.

The August 20th Communist occupation of Czechoslovakia highlights the fact that despite U.S. military assistance and economic aid, European recipients were unprepared to deter or to cope with this crisis.

The Russian air lift of one division into Prague in a matter of hours with transport planes landing at 50-second intervals was a superior professional achievement. In all, 42 divisions quickly and expertly have occupied Czechoslovakia. All Europe is jittery.

..... (Continues on page 16)

NO SLUMBER FOR CONSERVATIVES

(By ALAN COURTNEY, "Originator of America's first radio open-phone forum,"
now in its 20th year, Monday through Saturday, 10 p.m. to 1 a.m.—WIOD RADIO 610)



While even the most rabid Liberal disciples concede that the general desire of the nation is to swing to the more Conservative road, it is equally interesting to note that this concession is only a recognition for a change in strategy rather than any genuine reformation by the Liberals to admit that their forty years of rule has come to an end.

The rash of public writings and speeches from the Lippmans and the Lerner and the many other popular spokesmen of the Liberal movement, reflect no disenchantment with their ideological failures, but simply a concession to the overwhelming demand of the people to seek a change from the current establishment of Liberals, while preparing another onslaught by a new line-up of fresh faces for the next four years to make Liberalism even more socialistic than what they have already achieved in forty years.

This is a curious but instructive insight into the nature and makeup of the inflexible Left which most Americans

had better "wise up to" before they find their demands thwarted and reduced to a shambles of further chaos and disappointment. The Lippmann school of Liberalism admits that lawlessness and disorder is a real threat. He fails to concede that most of what we suffer from in the name of anarchy, lawlessness and crime, comes essentially from a breakdown of the Conservative concept of discipline. No Liberal will admit this fact. To do so would be to deny their religion. And their religion is based on a concept of total collectivism wherein Utopia will provide both the unlimited freedom to the individual and unlimited controls through a paternalistic government that would provide everything for everybody from the cradle to the grave.

The next four years may see this country moving more seriously to a restoration of principles and rules for living which may save us from a disastrous domestic civil war, or it may be the prologue to the downfall of the United States.

It may be presumptuous to anticipate the next four years in this brief column, but much of what bothers the conscience of America at this time is the failure of our Liberal friends to turn over a new leaf and admit that permissiveness has been carried to an extreme where we now are accepting anarchy as dissent, and lawlessness as a form of protest.

The time ahead calls for all responsible leaders in every phase of life, to assume the disciplines of self denial in seeking to make an impact upon those of a younger generation who grow disenchanted and nihilistic when faced with the thoughts and behavior of those who are delegated the authority to govern, but who demonstrate that they cannot even govern themselves.

The meaning of a check and balance system in this great Republic depends upon the self discipline of the people and
..... (Continues on last page)

Pak a sak



SERVING THE SOUTH

MAIN OFFICE: SHREVEPORT, LA.

Economic News and Views

WE DO NOT OWE IT TO OURSELVES!

BY WILLIAM S. BAREN

The advocates of unlimited debt and deficit spending, the socialist Liberal economists, and the proponents of what is called the "new economics," have made debt a virtue. For years, they have been parroting the glittering cliché, "What difference does it make how much debt this nation has, we owe it to ourselves." To a superficial student of fiscal policy, this sort of half-baked explanation for the interest and debt cycle as established by the federal government, seems to be a plausible reason for accepting unlimited deficit spending as a way of life in this country.



Baren

Incidentally, while we are on the subject of this phrase, "the new economics," did you ever notice that every time a group of collectivists, or socialist Liberals, comes up with a coercionist plan or some old worn-out governmental program which has failed, not only in this country, but also back in the feudal ages, or even during the Roman Empire, they call it "new"? This word "new" is supposed to make any unsound political or economic fallacy palatable to the "useful conformists" who go along in the press, the radio, T.V., and on the public rostrums (not excepting the clergy). Just call it "new," and they will buy it. Unfortunately, in many instances during the past few years, this propaganda stunt has worked. Believe it or not, one of our most popular college economics textbooks preaches the doctrine, "What difference does it make how much the federal government owes, we owe it to ourselves."


A clear look at this completely erroneous approach to national fiscal policy is obfuscated by the public's lack of

interest in studying the federal budget. You should read it thoroughly. One of the little noticed items in the 1969 items budget is: "Interest on the Federal Debt \$15.3 billion dollars." (An increase of \$900 million over fiscal 1968.) This is equal to a charge of \$76.50 on every man, woman and child in this nation. It comes to \$229.50 per family of three people. Whether you are rich or poor, you must pay this to the government in direct or indirect taxes, because if the government does not have this money to pay on the bonds it has issued, and the debt it has outstanding, its borrowing power will be annihilated. True, some people will collect this interest—rich, poor, and in-between—but millions of this debt are also held by people and institutions in other countries throughout the world. This interest leaves our shores, and foreigners collect. Besides, although it is true that millions of our own citizens also collect this interest, and it re-enters the spending and saving stream again, those who are the poorest, and who do not own these bonds, and there-

fore do not collect this money, still must pay the taxes. The advanced cost of everything they buy would cost them less if the taxes paid over to the federal government to supply this interest would not be included in what they pay for their food, clothing, and shelter.

As always, the politicians who supply the most poverty stricken and unfortunate members of our society with promises they cannot fulfill are so lacking in moral character that they deceive them with such phrases as: "What difference does it make how much we owe when we owe it to ourselves?" The plain truth is that this is a completely false statement based upon deceit or ignorance, or both.

Someday, in this country, we may hope to have schools and colleges that promote moral economics, venerate truthfulness, and teach our young people that the greatest sin of all is to tell those who are most unfortunate that they can get something for nothing. This is a critical need of our times.



Do We Need The Banks?



Great Britain today may be aptly described as nothing more nor less than a fifth-rate "Power." Unwanted in Europe's Common Market circles, snubbed by DeGaulle, gravely insulted by the Red Chinese Regime, (to whom the British fawningly attempted to grant respectability by being one of the first Western nations according it recognition) about the only thing left of former grandeur is the Great which still antecedes the name Britain. Amazingly enough, the fall of the British Empire was not brought about by the victorious efforts of a foreign conquering army or the concerted action of foreign Powers. The truth is that the British Empire collapsed from within. It was "liquidated," as Winston Churchill foresaw, by a group of hard-core socialists who are more loyal to their bankrupt economic and political ideology than to their own fatherland and its glorious history. The task of undoing centuries of national and individual work, sagacity, perseverance and courage has been accomplished by Britain's ruling socialist oligarchy aided by such opinion moulding instruments as the New Statesman.

Perhaps no other British periodical has done as much to insidiously and expertly promote the tenets of radical Marxist socialism than the weekly New Statesmen. Written with excel-

lent literary style and at times even with subtle humor, the New Statesmen's articles do more harm to the unsuspecting, politically uninformed reader than an entire month's propaganda barrage from the Kremlin or from the colorless daily news organ of the Communist Party U.S.A. Nothing better illustrates the British weekly's ideological position than its latest list of "Selected Autumn and Winter Books." Besides offering glowing accounts of the writings and deeds of Norman Mailer, a top journalistic hatchetman for American Neo-Communism, this same book section recommends the works of other notorious authors, to wit: Fidel Castro, James Baldwin, Regis Debray, Guevara's former sidekick in the Bolivian jungles, and dozens of other similar stars of the Hammer & Sickle menagerie. An excellent example of how the New Statesman introduces radical concepts in a mild manner is offered by the following article which ought to be carefully read by businessmen here at home and everywhere. Let's not forget that the British socialists have always set guidelines for our own native variety of disguised and undisguised Marxists.

"Do We Need the Banks?" is reprinted for your perusal exactly as it appeared in its original publication.


With the exquisite sense of timing for which the clearing banks are renowned, their announcement that they intend to close on Saturday coincided with the opening of the Post Office advertising campaign for its new Giro service—the biggest threat the banks have ever faced. So, after years of costly effort to capture the unbanked public, there is now an ultimate obstacle among the many already existing—grandeur, unfamiliarity, expense and opening hours that are inconvenient enough in all conscience. A third of the banks' customers will in the future be unable to use the service on

the only day they can manage. Certainly a five-day week for bank workers is just, indeed overdue. But the failure to announce some form of shift system, or say what the promised longer hours on Mondays to Fridays are to be, is symptomatic of the slow suicide which the clearing banks seem determined to commit.

Probably the banks do not deserve to survive in their present form. They have fulfilled neither of their functions—transferring and lending money—to anyone's great satisfaction but their own. It took endless badgering by the Radcliffe Com-

mittee to persuade them to set up the sort of credit transfer system which has existed on the Continent for years, and which is considerably more expensive than that proposed by the Post Office. And they missed entirely the opportunity for expansion in hire-purchase of consumer durables. Presumably the idea of working-class people being able to buy on credit wasn't respectable enough (although working-class people were buying houses through building societies long before they could afford refrigerators). As for the banks' other much-vaunted services, such as trusteeship or

HEET-LINE® WELDING FITTINGS




Code fittings; domestic materials. All orders acknowledged by air-mail or long distance phone and filled at once.



REDUCERS, FORGED STEEL, 1" through 66", ASA B16.9, ASTM A234, heavy, stainless steel, chrome-moly and other alloys, genuine wrought iron, copper, aluminum. Special lengths and sizes without delay.



FORGED STEEL PIPE SADDLES, and fleet-line saddles with skirts to any size, size, FLEET-LINE saddles weld neatly into place in much less time and with much less welding rod.



FULL-ENCIRCLEMENT SADDLES, Forged Steel, nominal pipe sizes 2" through 36", Five types. Illustrated above, left, Type 1; right, Type 2. Write for illustrated bulletin - you'll get it by airmail.



REDUCING TEES, Forged Steel, 8" through 36".

Made in U.S.A.

STEEL FORGINGS, Inc.
P. O. Box 7365 J Shreveport, La.

share-management, these can usually be better performed by specialists like solicitors or brokers.

The decision to close on Saturdays is made inevitable, the banks say, by staff shortages. But for this their own recruiting policies and staff-management are to blame. They failed to realize quite how irrelevant their 19th-century image as a genteel, secure profession, albeit an underpaid one, was to a 20th-century, high-employment economy. Clever university graduates rebelled at the prospect of two years on the counter. They went into other professions or to the merchant banks who, as the saying goes, have brains where the clearing banks have deposits.

The banks have one function which might be considered useful. Some 55 per cent of their deposits (or our money, to put it another way) is interest-free, and this they pass on at a subsidized rate to business firms. Current-account holders there are 13 million private and commercial accounts—thus provide industry with cheap finance. This is unfair to the small depositor. It is also questionable whether borrowing at lower rates than, say, their West German competitors, has had an altogether beneficial effect on British firms. Any other activities have been stratified by Bank of England policy. No Charles Clore can take over a bank under the present system, where outsiders are firmly excluded. Even Mr. Aubrey Jones' suggestion that a Commonwealth bank might run a small clearing bank, thus breaking the cartel, was vetoed. Without a complete reversal of Bank of England thinking, the Big Five can only shrink.

Council Of Europe Condemns U.S.S.R.

(Strasbourg) The General Assembly of the European Council in Strasbourg has considered and accepted the Report of the Committee on European Non-Member Countries and has passed a resolution on events in Czechoslovakia which described the latest Soviet aggression as a "brutal act of force which is a new blow at the right of self-determination of a European country that has forcefully expressed its desire for independence and freedom." It recommended, in a special document, a meeting of all 18 member countries "to examine the consequences of these dramatic events."

VIETNAMESE TO BE AT MONTREAL MEET

Delegations representing the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (Communist Vietnam) and the National Liberation Front will be present at the Hemispheric Conference Against the War in Vietnam when it opens Nov. 28 in Montreal. It will continue through Dec. 1.

Initiated by a broad coalition in Montreal, the meeting will include activists from the U.S., Canada and many countries of Central and South America. Unification and expansion of the peace movements in Canada, the U.S. and Latin America will be the goal.

Inside Report On Czech Drama

Nothing better demonstrates the power and effectiveness of Soviet influence, and, in many instances, control of worldwide opinion moulding media than the almost indifferent attitude adopted by powerful political sectors in the United States and the Free World toward the ruthless Russian invasion and occupation of Czechoslovakian territory. Here at home, our "native" Communist Party, as expected, identified itself with the Kremlin gang, thus setting the pace for its transmission belts among "non-Communist" news organs and news "pundits." U Thant, Secretary General of the U.N., did his predictable bit to soften ruffled Marxist waters by calling, not for the liberation of the Czech homeland, but for "a cessation of U.S. bombing in Vietnam." Naturally, U Thant never has worried over North Vietnam's invasion of South Vietnam or the genocidal tactics of the Viet Cong. Among the Liberal camp, no ef-

fectively strong voice arose to condemn the Soviet outrage, and Senator Fulbright and his disciples never uttered a peep of protest over the extension of Soviet "Police Power." To them, it is natural for the Soviet Union to act as the World's "policeman." It is only the U.S. which must turn tail and hide every time it is confronted by Soviet or International Communist aggression. But while the Czechs are still suffering the results of the "fraternal" behavior of the Warsaw Pact "allies," and even though it is essential to keep in mind that this is a fratricidal struggle among die-hard Communists, the Czech drama must be kept in focus by those of us interested in world events and in how they develop. Therefore, the following reports on what is going on inside Czechoslovakia and the machinations of the Soviet hierarchy, written by experts on these issues, are of the utmost political importance.

Czech Leader Shocked Over Free World Fear Of U.S.S.R.

NOTHING BUT WORDS — By Ladislav Radimsky
(Former Delegate from Czechoslovakia to the United Nations)

About a year ago, the brutal murder of a defenseless woman occurred in a New York suburb. The press commented on the event with bitter criticism, because at least twenty people had watched the crime from the security of their windows and not one had gone to her assistance. Is there not a similarity between this case and something even more terrible? Was not the Czechoslovak independence and culture assaulted and murdered with equal brutality just at the moment when, after a 20-year-long agony, it had started to reach the light of freedom?

The entire Free World watched with interest to see how the struggle would end, but no-one even thought to use the so-called "hot-line," as the press immediately put on record. The U.S. Secret Service is said to have been taken by surprise; will it be equally ignorant if another such dastardly attack involves closer American interests? At a late hour

the American President summoned, post festum, the highest State Council, but he was in turn criticized by the then Presidential candidate, McCarthy, because this move seemed to affect the latter's personal ambitions. It is true that a few nice phrases were uttered in the Security Council of the U.N., because everyone knew that in the face of the expected Soviet veto anything said there was soon to become meaningless. Leading journalists, such as James Reston, though they condemned the Soviet crime in no uncertain terms, immediately added that there must be no resumption of the cold war and that the Geneva talks on the limitation of atomic proliferation must not be endangered—which was a message to Moscow not to bother about what had been said before. To be fair, one can perhaps expect that the summit talks between American and Soviet leaders and the visit of some Soviet circus to America will not take place. . . .

As a veteran diplomat, some people may suggest, I ought to know something about the power spheres of the Soviet-American partition of the world. I do indeed know about them and I feel very strongly that every decent man should revolt against such deals. These are as immoral as some of the little notes exchanged under the table at Yalta between Churchill and Stalin about the percentages of big power influence over the sacrificed little states. I also know about the horrors of atomic warfare, just as I know that the graves of these states are no foundation for world peace. I have no hopes connected with a Soviet-American peace, as long as the balance of power is to be strengthened by the flagrant denial of the right to the freedom of small nations.

In June, the Soviet nuclear physicist, Sakharov, wrote in his sensational essay (Continues on next page)

that the expected worldwide holocaust can be averted only by Soviet-American cooperation. But since the rape of Czechoslovakia, I cannot share his hopes. What sort of cooperation would it be if one big power should commit crimes and the other simply closes its eyes to them!

After Munich came the Nazi occupation of Czechoslovakia. Only then did the world begin to wake up to the reality of Hitler. Czechoslovakia was raped again in February, 1948, and only then did the world realize who Stalin was. NATO came into existence. Czechoslovakia was destroyed yet again in 1968, and there is talk about the rejuvenation of NATO. . . Gentlemen, the question I want to ask is simple: Must it always be Czechoslovakia which is used as a seismograph of international danger? Are its inhabitants human beings or are they mere sacrificial sheep?

The Czechoslovak events have shown that the world is attempting its own salvation by following a wrong path. As a Czechoslovak, I am proud that my nation, unjustly thrown into a sphere to which it does not belong, either historically or culturally, has acquitted itself in this crisis much better than any other nation. I believe with T.G. Masaryk and the Slovak poet Kollar, that a nation's history is not shaped by coincidence, and that Providence intends a better future for us than perhaps for nations which think too much of their worldly powers. (FCI News Agency.)

Prime Minister Cernik Speaks Out

During a recent "hush-hush" interview with Czech editors, Prime Minister Cernik offered some very interesting facts on the behind-the-scene dealings between President Svoboda and his Czech and Soviet "comrades." Translated into English, Cernik's report said:

"None of this information can be used at the moment by the Czechoslovak press or radio. Cernik described the situation as it had developed since the beginning of the occupation and told the journalists: 'On Wednesday, August 21st, 1968, General Pavlovsky, with Alois Indra, visited the President of the Republic, Gen. Ludvik Svoboda, and informed him that he was bringing the resignation of the government, signed by O. Cernik. Comrade Svoboda declared that he could accept a resignation only from the Prime Minister submitted to him personally. (At that time Cernik had already been interned—the resignation was faked.) The Soviet Ambassador, Tchervonenko, came to the castle, accompanied by Drahomir Kolder, Oldrich Svestka and Alois Indra, in order to suggest who should be included in the subsequent government. The President proudly declared that with these representatives he had nothing to discuss, and that if he did enter into negotiations, it would be only with the highest representatives of the Soviet Union. Afterwards, he telephoned Moscow. He declared that if talks were to take place in

Moscow, his condition was that these must be attended by Comrades Dubcek, Smrkovsky and Cernik. He also asked for the presence of Indra, Kolder and Svestka in order to clarify the situation. The interned representatives were then brought to Moscow after President Svoboda's arrival. After landing in Moscow, the President rejected the usual embrace and pushed Brezhnev away.

'During the negotiations, Brezhnev completely prevented all attempts at free statements by any of the Czechoslovak representatives, except General Svoboda. He interrupted their statements and would not allow them to express any ideas by just dismissing their arguments with a wave of the hand. From the moment when President Johnson announced that he was leaving for his ranch, the negotiations with Brezhnev became even more difficult. From the very beginning of these talks, Comrade Dubcek was declared by the Soviet representatives to be a traitor to Communism. There was no signed agreement. The communique, which had originally been three times as long, was constantly abbreviated during the talks. Brezhnev wanted to achieve the composition of a new government from the ranks of our collaborators, or the acceptance of an occupation government. The other alternative was the incorporation of the CS(S)R into the USSR. During the talks it became known how firmly the nation was united. Both our representatives and the Soviet side understood this clearly. Under these circumstances only Indra was interested in participating as a collaborator. Other prospective collaborators retreated. After the end of the negotiations, Brezhnev pointed to the group, Indra, Kolder, Svestka and Bielka: 'And these you can take with you and deal with them as you wish.' On hearing that, Indra collapsed and was taken to the hospital for treatment.

(Continues on next page)

LISTEN TO
Dan Smoot
TUESDAYS 6:30 - 6:45 P.M.
WEDR-fm — 99.1

COLE'S FLOWERS



2101 BISCAYNE BLVD., MIAMI
(Miami's Largest Flower Shop)
Phone: FR 9-2415

FREE DELIVERY



S. DIXIE HWY., CORAL GABLES
(Opposite U. of M.)
Phone: 667-8893

Anywhere In Greater Miami. Open
Evenings Till 9, Sundays Till 7.

"Our representatives had to accept three conditions which were to be fulfilled within four days. These were:

1. Declaration of the XIV Congress of the C.P. of CS(S)R as invalid.
2. To disband KAN (The Club of active Non-party Men).
3. Introduction of censorship of news about USSR.

If these conditions are upheld, the occupation forces would leave in three stages:

1. Departure from town into military areas.
2. Departure from governmental buildings, broadcasting and television premises.
3. Complete withdrawal.

"In the case of non-fulfillment, the armies would return to their previous positions."

"The Prime Minister answered a number of questions. During the conference the President of the Republic, Gen. L. Svoboda, arrived. Asked what the journalists should write about the USSR and about the other Socialist states, Cernik replied that it would be best not to write at all, whether positively or negatively.

"The Prime Minister said that our people would never be arrested, but he warned of the presence of NKVD and recommended those who were active, to go into temporary hiding. He further announced that Comrade Dubcek was seriously ill; that during the negotiations in Moscow he had attacks, and that after his return, when he made his radio address, he had to be medically treated. He spoke in the presence of Soviet officers. The Soviet side is interested in that his health improves and thus a suspicion is forestalled that Comrade Dubcek is to be liquidated. It was announced during the conference that among the Soviet leaders there are great differences of opinion, broadly represented by groups led by Brezhnev and Kosygin."

The viewpoints contained in signed articles appearing in this issue are those of their respective writers and do not necessarily represent the editorial opinion of:

INSIGHT On The News

OUR MUTUAL SECURITY. (Continuation)

Hoping to ease tension with Russia, we have been disarming for a decade. We have not built an intercontinental bomber since mid-1962. Our B-70 intercontinental bomber, almost completed, was abandoned. An experimental nuclear-powered bomber was abandoned as impractical; development chiefs were certain it could have been flown at 30,000 feet altitude at 600 miles per hour; its time aloft would have been limited only by crew fatigue. Our air-to-surface missile program, desperately needed now in Vietnam, was cancelled. Our North American Air Defense no longer includes defense against spacecraft. Meantime, while we were disarming, the Soviets have been making amazing progress in strategic air and space striking forces; they are now a dangerous threat to the United States.

The Red occupation of Czechoslovakia is proof of the excellence of Russia's army-air effectiveness; her recent air and space development is even more impressive.

On June 28 of this year, Canada reported a flight of seven Russian Bear strategic bombers, equipped for long-range reconnaissance, flew within 50 miles of Newfoundland.

The Baltimore Sun has reported three dozen such flights this year; more than 85 Red bombers were involved. One can conclude that Bear reconnaissance flights have become as common as overflights of Russian planes, observed by our fleet in the far Pacific, Sea of Japan, and the Atlantic. Reports of similar flights have been released by our North American Air Defense Command. Russia also has in production and performing flying missions in formation, the Blinder, a medium bomber with a huge air-to-surface missile carried under its belly.*

These new Red striking forces prompted General Wheeler, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, in Congressional testimony, to warn: "The most dangerous threat to the United States is posed by the growing Soviet strategic nuclear forces." Yet, the Department of

* AIR FORCE MAGAZINE, August 1968, pages 13, 14, 15.

Defense has ignored the JCS recommendations for vital modern striking forces from the air and space. Civilian control in the Pentagon discredits Soviet bomber expansion reports and has censored the protests registered by the Air Force Chief of Staff.

Although the Administration's fiscal 1969 budget is astronomical, first spending priority must support measures to insure our survival. With our unmatched industrial genius, we must create overwhelmingly superior strategic air and space striking power; these are the only forces which can deter the Kremlin's insatiable ambition.

CONCLUSION

It could be fatal to our survival if we ignore the warning of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. If we and the free world are to survive, it is now imperative that United States defense requirements must replace foreign handouts. Military assistance has supported foreign wars; it has been of little assistance to the United States.

On the other hand, the Communist dream of world domination grows stronger. Ours is the one remaining heritage of freedom and it is dangerously threatened.

The Soviet Union and Red China, on their home ground, could inflict unacceptable losses should we foolishly attempt to fight a war on the Eurasian mainland; there, ground combat must be ruled out. So long as the U.S. Navy exists, the USA cannot be invaded.

The only answer to the Red striking forces lies in the industrial genius of the United States and Europe; this genius is second to none. It can create striking forces so destructive they would constitute an overwhelmingly effective war deterrent. The Soviet Union is the base from which the Communist dream of world domination must emanate. The Soviets will not knowingly risk destruction of this base. Consequently, if the U.S. and Europe both prepare properly, the chance of a major war against the Communists would be most remote. And if major wars can be avoided, some fair day the enslaved Communist populations will liberate themselves.



ASIAN VIEWPOINTS

Revolt Continues On "Top Of The World"

A SPECIAL REPORT ON WHAT IS GOING ON
IN REMOTE TIBET

Internecine feuds between the Maoist groups and the anti-Mao forces in occupied Tibet are continuing unabated. The Chinese Communist leaders' attempts to set up the so-called "revolutionary committee of the Autonomous Region of Tibet" in Lhasa have received a serious setback. The move was initiated over a year ago, but in Tibet itself, the attempt was a complete fiasco. Constant and bitter opposition between the rival groups of the United Great Alliance and the Revolutionary Group devastatingly slowed down the efforts to implement the setting up of the "revolutionary committee." H.H. the Dalai Lama disclosed that according to reports received from Tibet about 1000 people had died in month-long clashes.

On June 7th, 1968, fresh reinforcements of Chinese troops were reported to have been rushed to Lhasa, obviously with orders to wipe out the two clashing factions. Eyewitnesses say that the scene of fighting presented a horrible spectacle of a human bloodbath. It is reported that the Deputy Commander of the newly arrived reinforcements was killed in the course of the fightings.

SABOTAGE: Grim fighting is now reported to be raging in the regions of Shigatse, Gyantse, Nagchuka and Chamdo. The two rival groups vie with each other in recruiting as many Tibetans as possible into their respective ranks. All those who refuse are threatened into submission at gun point. But ironically, this has provided a long-sought splendid opportunity to the Tibetans to conduct widespread sabotage against the Chinese Communists. In recent months the num-

ber of acts of sabotage, such as the blowing up of bridges on the main highways and supply routes, mining of electric poles and communication installations, burning of residential quarters and so on, has registered a sharp rise. The Tibetan mercenaries forced to join the two factions are reported to have killed several Chinese of the very side they are on.

MILITARY MOVEMENTS IN TIBET: Because of its location, Nyalam is of great strategic value to the Chinese. It also serves as an important trade center. All the seasoned and trained military forces of Nyalam Chinese army garrison have recently been ordered to other parts of central Tibet. A relatively large garrison right on the Nepal border was also withdrawn and its forces sent to central Tibet. New batches of untrained soldiers, mostly teenagers, were brought in to take their places.

FOOD STORES: Movement on the roads, which was suspended some time ago, is now permitted for the military only. As fresh Chinese goods could not be brought into Nyalam, the shops now look empty and deserted. The Chinese are busy storing grain and other food-stuffs in Nyalam. Food which has been forcibly taken away from the local Tibetans is being brought to Lhakang Sarpa, once a famous monastery. This was one of the main targets of the Red Guards during the so-called cultural revolution. The fanatical Red Guards, while carrying out their wanton campaign against religion and old Tibetan customs, destroyed all the images of gods and burnt all the sacred scriptures which had been

in the keeping of Lhakang Sarpa for centuries.

TIBETAN DEFIANCE: The resentment and indignation of the Tibetan people against the Chinese rule in Tibet continues unabated. People go out secretly at night and tear off all the walls posters of the Chinese leaders and Mao's portraits. Sometimes they turn Mao's photographs upside down and underneath stick captions like "Down With Mao" and "Down with the Illegal Occupation of Tibet by the Communist Chinese." Distribution of leaflets against the Chinese has also become very common in many parts of Tibet.

PEOPLE'S COMMUNES IN TIBET: When the communes were established, the first thing the Chinese did was to confiscate everything in the possession of the people. What little the people had was taken away, even small things like needles and thread, in the name of the commune. Each person was given a piece of paper which the Chinese claimed was a bank receipt of the compensation amount. They were asked to deposit these in the bank. Those who decided against joining the communes soon found it difficult to find pasture for live stock, because the Chinese claimed all the pasture land belonged to the commune members. Out of desperation they applied for membership, but their pleadings were blindly rejected. When the crops were harvested, the entire grain was confiscated by the Chinese. A paltry wage was paid to the Tibetans. Consequently, many people died of starvation and malnutrition. (Tibetan News Agency)

American Reds In Action!

A summarized, fortnightly account of important Communist and pro-Communist (New Left) activities in our nation, as reported in "The Daily World," principal news organ of the COMMUNIST PARTY, U.S.A., and other leading Marxist sources of information.

Dim Future For Democratic Party

Like a pack of voracious hyenas, the ultra-Leftist elements of the Democratic party are already planning on what roles they are to play in the dismemberment of what until very recently was the largest and most powerful political organization in the United States. The following article written by Mike Davidow and published in the Daily World, leading newspaper of the Communist Party, USA, offers a revealing picture of what is in store for the Democratic party in 1970-72. Readers should take note of the Marxists mentioned in this report. Michael Harrington was Sargent Schriver's top lieutenant in the War on Poverty establishment and is acknowledged as the man who inspired Lyndon B. Johnson to create the Office of Economic Opportunity. He is also a chairman of the League for Industrial Democracy, spawner of the Students for a Democratic Society (SDS). Julian Bond, a convinced Marxist, was one of the key figures in the Communist-inspired and endorsed New Politics Convention which took place in Chicago two years ago. Allard Lowenstein, McCarthy's "Man in New York," is a frequently featured darling of the publications of the Communist Party, USA. The other members of the New Democratic Coalition are well-known for their militant, extreme Leftist viewpoints.

THE NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION, formed last month by more than 200 representatives from 47 states at a Minneapolis conference, represents a significant effort to unite dissident Democrats, particularly those who were active in the McCarthy and Kennedy campaigns.

The name chosen accurately describes character as well as objectives of the new group. Its aim is to continue the struggle within the Democratic party waged in the recent primaries and at the Democratic convention in Chicago.

Their avowed objective is strengthening the rebel Democrats in 1970 and 1972.

Prof. Arnold Kaufman, a keynote speaker and member of the steering committee, stressed the need to expand Democratic activity on the crucial issues "which have rarely been the concern of major political parties."

As with other groups operating in and outside the Democratic party, the key word seems to be coalition. Among those present and playing active roles at the conference were: Adam Walinsky, leading member of the late Senator Robert Kennedy's staff; Donald Peterson of Wisconsin, national leader of the McCarthy campaign; David Hach, New Hampshire; Gerald Hill, California Democratic Council; Sanford Gottlieb, executive director of SANE; Paul Schrade, West Coast Regional Director, United Auto Workers Union; Bella Abzug, Women Strike for Peace; David Livingston, president District 65, Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union; Allard Lowenstein, Democratic candidate, 5th Congressional District, Nassau, and "The Other America."

A number of black political leaders were elected to top posts. Georgia State Representative Julian Bond was elected

to the temporary steering committee; Rev. Channing Philips, the first black presidential nominee at a Democratic convention, was placed on the planning committee for a Coalition convention next spring, and Earl Craig, Minnesota Democratic leader, was named executive of the steering committee.

While McCarthy supporters were present in large numbers, organizers of the Coalition stressed the organization was not identified with any particular political leader. Moreover, from its inception, the conference consciously avoided taking any stand on Presidential candidates. Humphrey supporters did not press for any endorsement of their candidate.

Thus the conference sought to minimize divisive points and accented unit areas.

The Coalition agreed to concentrate on supporting the campaigns of anti-war and progressive Senators and Congressmen. These, however, were largely viewed as holding actions. Main preoccupation was with the mid-term 1970 Congressional elections and the 1972 presidential race.

Peterson in his opening address described the Chicago Democratic convention as the "last hurrah" of old politics and proposed that dissident Democrats work to form a new coalition of labor insurgents, peace and freedom forces, students and Liberals in place of the disappearing new deal coalition.

The Coalition has groups in 24 states and its national headquarters are located at No. 1 27th Ave. S.E., Minneapolis, Minn.

November 15, 1968, INSIGHT On The News

How Blacks Terrorize Blacks

Panthers Forced Her To Quit, Says Mrs. Basie



Mrs. Basie

Trembling with emotion and fighting back tears, Mrs. Count Basie, wife of the internationally famed orchestra leader, told the New York Joint Legislative Committee on Crime and Violence, that she was forced to resign her post as chairman of the South Jamaica Community Council out of fear of the Black Panthers. Mrs. Basie said she held the position for 16 years. "Our people are attacking us," she declared tremulously. "These Black Panthers, militants and extremists, threatened our lives. This is the trend . . . What do you do when 15 or 20 Black Panthers disrupt your meeting and threaten your board members, threatened their lives. I cannot go along with this new take-over and this intimidation. Even Negro businessmen are moving out of our neighborhoods. One hundred years ago we feared the white man, and now we fear our own people, and it's a worse fear."

JET is a mini-sized, excellently written and printed, Negro weekly magazine published by the Chicago-based Johnson Publishing Co., Inc.

Its October 2 issue carried the story, above, of how black terrorists are treating their own people in order to subject them


to their radical Red ideologies and revolutionary actions.

Black Panther leaders are in almost constant contact with Cuba's Fidel Castro. They are frequent visitors to Cuba where they receive "inspiration," as they repeatedly assert, and indoctrination from Soviet and Cuban Communist activists and propagandists. The Black Panthers are employing urban "Cong" tactics in many of our Negro communities. This probably explains why responsible middle and upper class blacks in the U.S. are afraid to open their mouths against the outrages being perpetrated by blacks against blacks. The guidelines for urban terror were originally written up in Cuba, under the direction of Fidel Castro and Negro Red, Robert Franklyn Williams. They were contained in an edition of *The Crusader*, published in Cuba and distributed in the United States via Canada. Instructions for arson, sabotage and terror in the United States were originally included in thousands of *Crusader* editions distributed by the first "Freedom Riders" in Mississippi. The booklets were handed out in Mississippi by "idealistic," bearded and non-bearded "youths" and "concerned clergymen" working with the Student Non-Violent Co-ordinating Committee. Funds for this operation were allegedly furnished by the National Council of Churches and other "well inspired" religious groups and individuals.

CLEAVER VS REAGAN

DESPITE opposition of California Governor Ronald Reagan and other respectable Californians, Black Panther Minister of Information, Eldridge Cleaver (at the table on the left), leafs through papers at the University of California at Berkeley as he prepares to give his first lecture on "Race and Racism," in an experimental course in Social Analysis 139X. The course is sponsored by the Center for Participant Education.

Photo from: "Daily World."



PRELUDE TO WOLFACH

"The One Worlders"

— BY DR. FERNANDO PENABAZ —



Wolfach "Rathaus" (City Hall), site of One World gathering in West Germany. Notice drooping United Nations flag. Photo was taken by Dr. Fernando Penabaz on rainy day.

About two years ago, I had the opportunity to visit Santa Barbara, California, and give a speech to a small group of persons. After finishing my lecture, several persons came up to me and asked if I knew I "had walked into the lion's den." As I didn't understand what they were saying and describing, they proceeded to enlighten me by explaining that Santa Barbara was the seat of an organization named the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions. Matching words to deeds, they swamped me with "Center" published material. Thus began my deep study of an organization which, in my opinion, is one of the most deceptive, insidious and powerfully subversive outfits in the entire

United States. Using "respectable personages" to spread its socialistic dogmas into our most influential public opinion-moulding circles, the "Center," a subsidiary of the Fund For The Republic munificently financed by the Ford Foundation, has become a veritable anti-American ideological Frankenstein.

My research on this organization prompted me to write a booklet entitled *Blueprints to Abolish the U.S.A.*, continuously advertised in *INSIGHT* and still available.

The overall objectives pursued by the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions are mainly these:

Creation of a "one world, totalita-

rian, Marxist-inspired and oriented government." Having accomplished this phase of the plan, the United States would disappear as a free and sovereign nation. Even the name U.S.A. would cease to exist; our country, reduced to a component part of a North Atlantic "community," would bear the name of "Atlantis."

Nightmarish and unreal as all of this may sound to the uninformed, it is nevertheless an operational project, conceived and endorsed by some of the most renowned and honored "intellectual giants" of the Liberal Establishment. This group of "elite intellectuals" is shepherded by a veritable sacred cow

DYNAMIC TOOL & DIE OF

INJECTION • COMPRESSION • TRANSFER • PRECISION MACHINING

MANUFACTURERS OF PLASTIC MOLDS

STIRLING RD. DANIA, FLA. 33004



DYNAMIC TOOL & DIE OF FLA. INC.

FLA. INC.

PHONE: 927-3630

AREA CODE 305

of U.S. "Liberalism," Dr. Robert Maynard Hutchins, a "child wonder" who first attained fame (and we presume fortune) as President of the University of Chicago.

Working hand in glove with a lady named Elisabeth Mann Borgese, Dr. Hutchins wrote the guidelines for liquidating a representative, republican, sovereign U.S.A. in a book entitled "Constitution for the World." Doubting Thomases who may believe I'm exaggerating the facts of



Center of Interlaken, Switzerland, where convention for drafting of One World Constitution and People's Parliament held its initial gathering. Photo taken on rainy day by Dr. Fernando Penabaz.

the case, can acquire a free copy of the "Constitution for the World" by simply writing to the Center For The Study of Democratic Institutions and asking for it. There is nothing hidden or mysterious in the Center's activities.

Unilateral disarmament of the U.S. is another Center aim. It is thinly disguised as the only road to "universal peace" and carries with it the pre-requisite of "accommodating" the Soviet Union in whatever manner might be desirable to the ruling Kremlin oligarchy.

In one way or another, the Center's leaders and spokesmen invariably reach the conclusion that in any and all confrontations between the Soviet Union and the United States, our side is wrong and the Reds are right. Such viewpoints are spread far and wide by the vast monetary and propaganda resources enjoyed by the Center and its topmost members.

An indication of the type of spokesman employed by the Center to peddle its ideological wares is the widespread use they have made of a book on Vietnam, written by no less a convinced Castroite than Robert Scheer, a Center "darling," who is Managing Editor of the plush Neo-Communist magazine **Ramparts**. Scheer endeared himself to Dr. Hutchins and his "Staff" when he organized the Berkeley chapter of Castro's first front organization in the U.S., the **Fair Play For Cuba Committee**. Currently,

Scheer shares editorial responsibilities in **Ramparts** with Black Panther boss and Negro Neo-Communist revolutionist, Eldridge Cleaver. One of the latest additions to the Center's staff is the heretical and pro-Marxist Bishop James H. Pike.

The Center is feverishly active in doing its utmost to foment "student power," or put in simpler terms: campus revolt! In fact, the Center is unabashedly inclined towards supporting almost any individual, group or movement engaged in tearing down the institutional fabric of the U.S. In this manner the Center apparently believes that the road will be opened toward the consolidation of its primary project: One World Government.

The best way to know and understand the Center's vast scope of action and how it is working to achieve all of its purposes is to be able to identify the persons who form part of its governing bodies. An abbreviated list of the "Fellows," "Consultants" and Fund For The Republic "Board of Directors" ruling the Center's activities ought to prove highly enlightening:

FELLOWS OF THE CENTER:

Harry S. Ashmore, Pulitzer Prize-winning newspaper editor and former editor-in-chief, Encyclopedia Britannica.

Stringfellow Barr, former president, St. John's College.

Elisabeth Mann Borgese, editor and



See this exact brick-by-brick reproduction
of Independence Hall at...

KNOTT'S

BERRY FARM & GHOST TOWN

Visit Mrs. Knott's
Chicken Dinner Restaurant

The Steak House

ON BEACH BOULEVARD (HWY 39)
2 MILES SOUTH OF SANTA ANA FREEWAY
BUENA PARK, CALIFORNIA

writer, co-author of *Constitution For The World*.

Scott Buchanan, philosopher and former Dean, St. John's College.

John Cogley, former executive editor, Commonwealth and Religion News Editor, *New York Times*.

C. Edward Crowther, Episcopal bishop.

Edward Engberg, former associate editor, *Fortune*, and Managing Editor *Business International*.

W. H. (Ping) Ferry, former newspaper editor, public relations advisor to corporations, and Marxist "New Politics Convention" luminary.

William Gorman, philosopher and editor, the *Syntopicon*, *Great Books of the Western World*.

Hallock Hoffman, Chairman of the Board, Pacifica Foundation.

Robert M. Hutchins, former President, University of Chicago, and Dean, Yale Law School.

Frank K. Kelly, former staff director, Senate Policy Committee.

Linus Pauling (on leave), Nobel laureate in chemistry and Nobel laureate in peace.

James A. Pike, Episcopal bishop.

Stanley K. Sheibaum, economist and former consultant to the Republic of South Vietnam.

CONSULTANTS TO THE CENTER:

A. A. Berle, Jr., former Assistant Secretary of State and Ambassador to Brazil.

William O. Douglas, Associate Justice, United States Supreme Court.

Robert Gordis, Seminary Professor of Bible, Jewish Theological Seminary.

N. N. Inozemtsey, Director, Institute of World Economics and International Relations, Soviet Academy of Sciences.

Clark Kerr, former President, Uni-

versity of California.

Joseph P. Lyford, Professor of Journalism, University of California at Berkeley.

Milton Mayer, writer and editor.

Walter Millis, military historian and former editorial writer, *New York Herald Tribune*.

Fred Warner Neal, Professor of International Relations and Government, Caremont Graduate School and University Center.

Reinhold Niebuhr, Professor emeritus, Union Theological Seminary.

Carl F. Stover, Executive Director, National Institute of Public Affairs.

STAFF:

Robert M. Hutchins, President
Harry S. Ashmore, Executive Vice-President.

John Cogley, editor of the *Center Magazine*.

THE FUND FOR THE REPUBLIC, INC.

Board of Directors:

Paul G. Hoffman, Honorary Chairman.

William O. Douglas, Chairman
William C. Baggs, (editor, *Miami News*)

Bruce Catton
Robert M. Hutchins
Percy L. Julian
Stanley Marcus
Elmo Roper

It was my interest in the Center, its members and its activities, that focused

my attention on other movements within our country pledged to the creation of a "One World Government" and the elimination of the United States as we know it today. Therefore, when I was informed that there would be an international Convention, beginning in Interlaken, Switzerland, and ending in Wolfach, Germany, I, naturally, wanted to discover more details pertaining to such a curious gathering.

My first reaction to the "Convention for a World Constitution" and a "People's Parliament" was that the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions was behind the scheme.

In the second installment on "One Worlders," appearing in our next issue, I will write about what I saw and heard at Wolfach's Rathaus (City Hall), headquarters of the delegates to the Convention for a World Constitution and the "People's Parliament."

**BLUEPRINTS TO ABOLISH
THE U.S.A.**

Send for your copy today.

\$1.00 includes mailing charges.

Write to:

INSIGHT On The News

P.O. Box 591

Coral Gables, Fla. 33134

**QUANTITIES OF "INSIGHT" ARE AVAILABLE AT THE FOLLOWING
BULK RATES:**

1 - 4 copies	\$.35 each	30 - 59 copies	\$.24 each
5 - 14 "	.30 "	60 - 124 "	.22 "
15 - 29 "	.27 "	125 - 1000 "	.20 "

SKYPRODUCTS

T O O L S
PNEUMATIC ♦ ELECTRIC ♦ POWER ♦ HAND ♦ MACHINE
METALWORKING ♦ AIRCRAFT ♦ MACHINIST ♦ WOODWORKING ♦ AUTOMOTIVE

3500 N. W. 54th STREET

MIAMI, FLORIDA • PHONE NE 5-0339

A DIRECTORY

OF COMPETENT, TRUSTWORTHY, OUTSTANDING BUSINESS PEOPLE AND ENTERPRISES

HIGHLAND 8-9300

LeMan Studio, Inc.
267 ALHAMBRA CIRCLE
CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA
NORB GARIETY, PHOTOGRAPHER
WAKE UP AMERICA
STAMP OUT COMMUNISM

Colonel Bill Fisher
"THE OLD RELIABLE"
Hialeah Florist

Giovanni
fine wigs

BY APPOINTMENT ONLY 822-4336

ALLIED
THE COMPLETE
AUTOMATED BINDERY SERVICE
BINDERY, INC.

750 WEST 20th STREET

HIALEAH, FLORIDA

887-7596

NAVARRO'S TAILOR

FINE CUSTOM TAILORING
EXPERT ALTERATIONS
Men & Women



1819 S.W. 8 Street
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33135
Store 377-1393
Res. 446-1376



AUTHORIZED DEALER

HEARING AIDS

SOUTHERN HEARING AID SERVICE

Northside Shopping Center—79 St., N.W. 27 Ave.

Next to Woolworth's • Miami, Fla. 33147

NATIONAL

Phone 223-1231

OFFICE SUPPLY CO., INC.

Typewriter & Adding Machine Repairs
Wedding Invitations
Quality Printing * Rubber Stamps
Westchester Shopping Plaza — Miami, Fla.



Coronado TOWERS

PRESTIGE WATERFRONT CONDOMINIUMS

1475 N.E. 125th TERRACE • NORTH MIAMI, FLORIDA

Phone: 891-1533

Write for color brochure

Arthur's Hair Styles

Telephone: 665-2021

ARTHUR BLOOM

5746 Bird Road

South Miami, Florida 33155

The finest in professional beauty care

WHAT CONSERVATIVES HAVE BEEN WAITING FOR . . .

Personalized Bumper Stickers

WRITE YOUR OWN COPY — WE PRINT IT!

BOB'S ART SERVICE

\$1 Each. 1110½ N. Brand Blvd., Glendale, Calif. 91202 **6 FOR \$5**



Jack Waddell

16830 S. DIXIE H'WAY
PERRINE, FLA. 33157 235-4624

AUTO • FIRE • LIFE

Thank You!

FOR ALLOWING ME TO BE OF SERVICE TO YOU
PLEASE SEND ME OTHERS LIKE YOU.

Coral Park Apparel Shop

Dresses and Sportswear

Exclusive, but not Expensive

2300 RED ROAD
MIAMI, FLORIDA

MOHAWK 1-6513

Miami 949-2681

CARL'S GREENS

WHOLESALE FLORIST, INC.

2211 Hayes St. Hollywood, Fla.

WHY NOT?

PHONE IN YOUR SUBSCRIPTION

DIAL: 221-8981

INSIGHT On The News, November 1, 1968

Any current article will be supplied in reprint form upon sufficient demand to cover printing costs. Permission is hereby granted to reprint any article from this issue, providing customary credit is given.

P.O. Box 1228
South Miami, Fla. 33143


THINKING OF BUILDING IN SOUTH FLORIDA?

Phone (305) 661-1729
235-5612

BUY OR BUILD WITH

FRITZ B. WAGOR

CONSTRUCTOR AND BUILDING CONSULTANT



CUSTOM BUILT ▲ QUALITY HOMES

NO SLUMBER.(Continuation)

their leaders who are willing to concede that a nation cannot survive by catering to the whims and tantrums of minorities who refuse to abide by the reasonable and decent standards intended to guide the country.

Some of our present issues are very dangerous. It has taken decades to convince enough Americans that the dogmatic Liberal is not one to abide by the outcome of a vote. To these fanatical people, if the elections go against them, this is only a momentary setback which demands even greater effort to advance to their Utopian goals of socialism by the next election.

The dogmatic Liberal is willing to relinquish control for the next four years

because he believes that the Conservative cannot succeed, especially if, during the next four years, the Liberal regroupings can throw enough roadblocks in the way to proving that there are better alternatives to offer than the bankrupt policies of the past thirty-five years.

If the enlightened Conservative goes back to sleep after this election because he thinks the Liberal has changed his ways, we are heading for a catastrophe. This protest for a change is only the first tiny step in a long road ahead to sanity. If all Conservatives can unite in serving the cause of our national interest in the coming four years, we may spare ourselves needless destruction and heartache.

When the Conservatives learn the lesson from the Liberals that this is a never-ending struggle which calls for a step-by-

step effort of progress rather than the all-or-nothing demands of some of the radical Conservatives in our midst, the future will be far more optimistic than it appears today.

Forty years of Liberalism cannot be undone in a four-year term of Conservative effort.

The time is **now**, and the temperament of America is ripe for a change to an enlightened and intelligent effort to educate and assure the country that our best hope for true peace and true prosperity lies in its traditions of a concerned conservative morality which recognizes the **needs** as well as the **wants** of a free people.

The golden, and perhaps the last, opportunity is at hand. Will we use it wisely?

Enter my subscription:

My Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Payment enclosed Bill me \$9.00 yearly \$5.00 6 mos.

Send gift subscription to:

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

INSIGHT On The News
P.O. Box 591
CORAL GABLES, FLA. 33134
Phone: (305) 221-8981

BULK RATE
U.S. POSTAGE
3.6¢ PAID
Coral Gables, Fla.
Permit No. 1841