9. Humpty Dumpty Reconsidered

Blakey's next two chappters are supposedly "reconsiderations" first off Jajk Ruby's "Hole," then pa "Lee Harvey and his fole." "Rolla" suggests players, as in a Gga me, and perhaps Blakey

"Rollar" suggests players, as in a rega me, and perhaps blakey has something like that in mind. as to a degree, at least, with his formulae in this book, that is necessary.

The real question this raises is did either play any kind of role, according to the actual evidence, not caccording to what flows from inadequately prepared minds. As, despite the fact that he headed the House assassings committee, we have already seen that Blakey's mind does not hold the basic facts of his supposed investigation and it certainly does not with hais book which one could expect, would provide some of the knowledge it is freasonable to believe he acquired in his role.

If Blakey believes he has proof that either filled any kind of a role, he has yet to produce it. From what he has in his book to this point he will not have any fact that casts either in any role and except refer the indications of what he has made fup, he has presented nining at all thay is factual about either being in some kind of role.

Before getting into whatever Blakey has to say that he regards as either a reconsideration or any kind of role, the available if factual information, disclosed official information, can give the impression that kuby may have had other connections that might be relevant depending on other evidence which decides whether or no that information is really relevant. However, the official information on Oswalfd, especially what was hidden, not used, what was suppressed from the Report, is clear in stating, without

without using that word, that Oswald had no connections, no role to be reconsidered. In Meanwheel,

As we have seen, Blancy provides no factual information, knone nothing that of the real evidence, , which can reasonably be used to place him any some kind of role and in any real connection that can do or does do that.

What the actual evidence on Oswald, as dkstinguished what fertile minds invent for him, is that he was a real loner.

Ruby was gregarious, a pusher, and what may appear to be real friendahips may not be that and most of the connections of wivh he med had many by phone are, for the most part. on his initiative.

Blakey says of his investigation that "we recognized the implications of the murder of Oswald was crucial to an understanding of the as assassination of the President (page 279).

that should no have ling ed long.

Blakey does report a reasonable immediate concern, head other conspirators conspirators who wanted Oswald kill see to it.

But again, as Blakey does not indicate, in any real investigation that wonder did not hang around for 16 ng

Blakey does state, referring to his investigation rather than was his book, that if "Muby were associated with parties who had the motive to kill the president, especially if they were parties associated with Oswald, we would have a strong ijdicatio in of the assassination conspir acy (page 280).

Here again it is necessary to recall what for other reasons
Blakey referred to Humpty Dum pty and the meaning of words, for
it again applies to Blakey. He quoted Dumpty as saling that words
as he used them had whatever meaning he intended to give them.

In what we have quoted from Blakey, "parties associated with Oswald" refers to parties that have no existence because he had nothing that can be believed to have been a decorate "association" with any "parties" save for the Paines. Ruth Paine gave Marina a home as the time of her delivery of their second child drew close.

Blakey does not begin by stating what he believes Ruby's role was or could have been, but he does begin with the assumption that Rubay did rave a role.

He goes into all sorts of really not relevant fact as he seeks to substitute arguments for fact.

As under "THe Cultural Matrix" (pages 280-282).

Pretty much the same under Jack Ruby: 192 19;9;94-1967" (panges 252-285). In "The Move to Dallas" (pages 285-288) all sorts of impossible rumors become acceptable to Blakey.

"The Strip Club Operator" (pages 288-292) opens with "Prostitution is a sordid aspect of American history." Unique to A, erican history? not the fof other countries? Going back to biblical days?

There is nothing new and nothing unique in Ruby manage operating a strip joint, of which theremust are been thousands in the country

"Ruby in Havana" (pages 292-302) reports nothing not well known from the time the Warren Report was issued. Blakey's investigation added nothing

"Ruby's telephone Contacts" (pages 302-305) is briefer than it could have been, aby used his phone that much Blakey begins this

this section criticipzing the Warrren #Commission for not making a more preximal investigation of Ruby's phone calls and then reflects nothing of any real meaning in what checking his committee did.

Probably Blakey is disappointed because he could not extend many any of those calls into many and meaning he could give them.

At Odds with AGVA (The American "uild of Variety Artists) frages 305-7.). There is nothing in this section tat relates in tany way to the assassination or to any connections "Ruby to which any meaning can be given.

TRuby's Financial Plight" (pages 307-310) does not exaggerate the permanent (mess he kept himself and his affairs in. He did all the business he could in cash. Blakey draws on philosophy for what he regards as an appropriate quote without realization of how much more it applies to him in his book than it does to Ruby:

milat syde you

We came to realize, however, that the significance of Ruby's conduct in the summer and fall of 1963, with respect to the assassination, lay elsewhere and rested on surer footing. There was in medieval philosophy a dictum called Occam's Razor: "pluralites non est ponende sine necessitate" (multiplicity ought not to be posited without necessity), which suggested that a simple explanation should always be preferred to a complicated one. We knew that while a number of inferences could be made from Ruby's activities, none was individually compelling. Consequently, we decided it was sufficient to point out what Ruby's conduct over the summer and fall of 1963 did establish beyond serious question and how his conduct might have fit into a conspiratorial scheme. Ruby's business was in deep financial difficulty, complicated by the dispute with AGVA over "amateur" strippers and serious tax problems. 309).

William (if Tremember correctly) of Jocam, also spelled Jockham, was a Briti medieval British philosopher. A novel based on his thing is titled Occam's Ram Razor. It is of relatively recent years. A more appropriate interpretation of what is basic in Occam's philosophy is "Seek the simplest solutions." At no place in his book has Blackey done that and he does not do that in his brief handling of

Ruby here. He makes it unnecessarily complicated as nhe looks for what is not Again. Blakey is aided by what he suppresses. And in his investigation, had he at any point been genuinely serious on it, he should have plearned that in the psychiatric examination for the court he was found to be dangerously insane. The head of the University of Okalahoma psychiatric depart, ment, Dr. Association west, was quite pointed on the danger of the psychiatric condition he dignoded in Ruby.

His recommenday was "Immediate psychiatric hospitalization, study and treatment. Close onbservation. Suicidal precaustions."

Along with other warning and urged precautions he found that Ryby was insane as of the time of that Report, April, 1964. I have a copy of his report and subsequent events confirm both his dialyses and his recommendation.

Anyone who says he considers Rugby's "role" first of all assumes he had a role, of which there is no proof of any kind. And, anyone who says he is making an impartial examination of this alleged but non-existing Ruby "role" without regrd a Ruby's mental condition neither believes nor practises Occam's wisdom.

Having earlier made (another Ruby "role" Blakey here says that hat they had regarded as Ruby's "conduct" "Law "lay elsewhere," that Elsewhere bleing in Occam.

Blakey then goes to what he terms "The Stalking of Oswald" (pages 310-323). He begins this disagreeing with the Warren Commission was examination of Ruby's "activities immediately preceding and follwing the death of President Kennedy revealed no sign of any conduct which suggested that he was involved in the assassination. Blakey says this extended to Whether Auby stalked Oswald before he killed him, implying that he did not."

that "we (meaning we are to presume, his committee) found that substantial evidence that Ruby had in fact stalked Oswald before he killed him "(page 310). Despite all the attention Blakey gives to that part of all that he made up about the assassination, he does not prove what he says his committee concluded.

Common sense is never an barrier to what Blakey wants to say and it is not in this matter, either.

"HThe State of Texas Versu s Jake Jack Ruby" (pages 323-333).

Blakey ramblew much and most of this charter is not literally about that trial. It is a good cover for more of Blakey's endless objection and liking for surring. He even quotes a juror as departecating all medical evidence (page 331), particularly about the insanity.

Of the authorities cited, the defense psychaitrist, who was proven to habe been on the ball, is never mentioned (pages 332-333).

Hardly impartial writing, hardly a concern for truth,

"The Testkimony of Jack Ruby" (pages 333-338) was not testimony at his trial, where he did not testify. It was after the trial and I believe was other than Blakey says, I believe it was what huby said in a polymonday. And of this we even Blakey says that ruby was "incoherent (page 1534). Or, there is nothing at all to it.

The last less that an a page of this chapter(pages 338-9) is titled "Elements of Conspiracy." Because it is so short we use all of it.

this obvious to any intelligence reading of this full and direct quatation of is, in effects the conclusion to sixth pages that, other than in a work of fiction, not asingle the legal "elemnt" of any real "co aspiracy":

As we brought our investigation of Ruby to a close, we came to a number of important conclusions. We could not accept the Warren Commission's benign view of Ruby's background, character, associations, and conduct. Nor could we accept its belief that Ruby killed Oswald out of genuine shock and grief over the President's assassination. For us, the truth was far from benign. Ruby's violent character had been molded in a matrix of crime and corruption in Chicago, one of the nation's centers of organized crime. His business activities were an integral part of a system of criminal operations, even if they were not illegal as such. At least on his trip to Cuba, Ruby played an important, if minor, role in a sophisticated syndicate operation that involved one of the most powerful underworld leaders. Ruby's associates in Dallas for the years and months prior to the assassination included a number of prominent organized-crime figures. He was in serious financial difficulty in the period leading up to the assassination, and a number of

heavy pressure from the Kennedy organized-crime program, had a strong motive to assassinate the President.

Ruby's professed motive for killing Oswald — that he wanted to spare Mrs. Kennedy the ordeal of a trial — was admittedly false. Ruby told a number of other lies to conceal the truth, the most significant being that he killed Oswald on the spur of the moment, when the evidence was overwhelming that he stalked his prey for nearly two days before gunning him down. The murder of Oswald by Jack Ruby had all the earmarks of an organized-crime hit, an action to silence the assassin, so he could not reveal the conspiracy. It was time to turn to Oswald, to see if his background, character, associations, and conduct might provide new evidence as to the identity of his coconspirators (Para 2) 3 3 6 9

This is all fiction and it is based on what Blakey was suppossed to investigate and did not. If the proof that Oswald was not and could not have been the assassin was unknown to Blakey, as it would not have been if be hadn't wasted all that time and thousands of FBI document's on his baseless hangup on the mafia and as it also would not have been if he had investigated instead of propagandizing, then his baseless concoction comes also from his ignorance, He assumes that Oswald was the assassin and without that all this childish nonsense falls apart. But without that there is wothing to it other than what Blakey made up in support of the rest of what h made up.

And in all of this he has not a word about Ruby other than that he was violent. As are millions of other men.

Ruby's well-known desire to be where he believed the action is

Blakey converts into Ruby's stalking Oswald. Which in addition to all else, makes no sense at all.

The conspirators wanted to kill Oswald allegedly to close his.

mouth that was Andone the one place there would be no escape. It

would, for example, have been mich easier to get him and get away

if he were hit when he left the building. Or as he walked, as the

official story has it, seven blocks to tget a buss he soon left. And

to get a cab.

then when he was walking to the bus station. Or when he was in

that cab. And after that, while he was walking dome unnecessary blocks

to his rooming house. Or when he left that rooms house a d walked

about a mile, a mile during which nobody reported seeing him.

what Blakey refers to as the truth is a child-like concept of a novel. It is not evidence as lawyers understand evidence to be. It certainly is not what he would have produced in a courtroom. If he didhe'd have been a plaghing stck.

For all his big talk, Blakey is entirely ignornt of Ruby. If he made any investigation of Ruby it had to be incomptent taxixix for him to write such utter nonsense. Nobody who knew Ruby would have trusted him with anything that required silence and care. The real Ruby was a blabber who wanted attention and whose personal dehavior made him a mence as an associate n anything like what Blkey here makes up.

For one example, and this was known, he referred to his dachsud Sheba as his wife. About that, and I have seen this letter, the for amount of the prevention of Cruelity wrote Henry Wade brace the Ruby trial delling him that Ruby was trating his dog as his wife.

There is more like this, such things as Ruby fondling girls just entering puberty nd explaing that by saying he was br aking them

in to work for him. Again, the record exists and that also I have seen.

Aside from the fact that Muby really was insance, with this kind of behavior who in the world would have trusted him?

All o this is fiction Blakey makes up to try to give a semblance of reality to has preconception that had no basis in the tract.

Blakey began by calling this a "reconsideration." It is no such thing. It is a refabrication because the re is not a word of truth in x it.

But even if it had been a reconsideration, it is impossible, absolue type impossible, made up by Blakey without regard to any relevant fact and it is demolihed by that relevant fact.

Lu.

extra space

Is his "consideration" of Oswald and his "role" any more Meaningful? Bab Blakey's chapter 12 it title, Lee Harvey Oswald: His wole Reconsidered (pages 340-366).

As he does under the title of each chapter, Blakey has an attributed quotetion. In this chapter it is "All the Marine Corps did was to teach you to kill, and after you got out...(sī'c) you ight be (a)(sic) good gangster.* Lee Harvey Oswald, Warren Commission Hearings Volume VIII."

Blakey at his best! He has a citation! There is little more uncommon in this book than a citation!

But as always with Nlakey, what he says ranges from doubtful to impossible and it without quest ion was impossible for Lee Harvey Oswald to have testified and for his testimny to have been included in Volume VIII.

Volume VIII does include the testimony of fourty-five people, iestimony the first him the volume was taken on April 7, 1964.

And Lee Harvey Oswald, as even Blakey knew, was killed on November 24, 1963. With the Commission not created until a week after his d at it is even more unlikly that Oswald testified to it. But if by some of Blakey's rare performances with reality Oswald had testified and his testimony had been taken down by the court reporter, Blakey's citation to warren Commission Hearings

Volume VII is a citation to four hundred and seventy two pages, the number of pages in that book. And, not surprisingly, there is a list of witnesses in the front of that book and Lee Harvey Oswald is not listed as a Commission witness.

this is to say that Blakey at his best is horrible and entirely undependable, completely untrustworthy.

sontenu in his

In his first aparagraph of his first page Blakey has one of his pshony confessions or error: when "the yundertook to re-examine Lee Harvey Oswald... we did not expect there would be much to add to wawhat was already known about his role in the assassination.

We were wrong. After a careful reconsideration... we were a/le to see the President's assassin in an en tirely new light." (page 340).

When Blakey could see- and even quote-what he says was Lee

green with his death

Harvey's testimon you nothing else appearing within thos, transcriptes,

is there anything at all that Blakey cannot see -and "reconsider?"

Despite this promise much of what follows is the Warren Commision's records rehashed

Blakey does "reconsider" what the Warren Commission said about what Blakey has in a brief parenthrtical expression about what has no introduction and no later comment: "Oswald gave a talk on Russia to the seminarians," In the Warren Commission's work this came out as so strong an indetment of the Soviet Union and of Commission that one of the priests urged Oswald to be more moderate in his condemnation of the Soviets so he could be more influential in his crititisms of the USSR.

officially
This the Oswald said to be a Communist and by Bloky to

On the same page (page 342) and even in the same paragraph

Blakey states that "after Oswald was arrested for fighting

with anti-Vastro Vubans, on August 9, 1963, (he had been passing out

pro-Jastro lleaflets), a profinent feind of the further (pswald's

uncle) a liquor store owner and a state boxing commissioner, Emile

Bruneafu. bailed him out of jail..." (page 342*).

what this does say is that when Oswald's request for assistance reached the Murret whome only the ma rried daughter, just up from Texas answered the phone and, through her family knowing who was the

/local ward heeler was, phone him. He did bail Oswald out, for a pittance, and when Oswald, who was imnocent, entered a guilty ples, th fine also was a pittance (page 342).

Blakey then says much about Murret, including that "he Carlos was connected with the organized crime operations of Galrols Marcello)(page 343).

What he means by W"connected" Blakey does not Humty Dumpty for us so we have to gues, which was what Balkey wanted, because if Bruneau wrote numbers in those days, a widespeead practise then, that could be Hum ty Dumptied by Blakey into what he implies, a real W"connectian" with Maricello.

Again lacking any source and again falshood to help b fuild

Blakey's false case he says thatat the time Ferrie was a CAP

JOHNS After ONWING WIND THE (AP)

leader he "was an operatuve of Carlos Marcello in 1963" (page 346).

Each time he mentioned the non-existing Ferrie-Marcello relationship Blakey adds a little of to what did not exist and know look where he is, for the nonody Ferrie hired as a case investigator (Mier lawfel) by a Marcello lawyer on the authorization of the Washington immigration lawyer.

Blakey knew what he was doing with his early quotation of Humpty Dum pty from Alice in Wonderland and he farthur he gets into his book the better a Hunpty Dumpty with words Blakey becomes.

Adding weak conjecture to what he is making up and making

Murret, with whom Oswald had very little to do after he got his

first job, which was after living with the Murrets fr two weeks,

Blakey makes this up... White Murret, and intermediary through

whom orgabnized crime figures coudl have (emphasis added) learned

about Oswald...."(page 347). What in the world For Blakey leaves

to the Humpty Dumpty in each mond, there being no real meaning or

purpose of my kind och ther than more of Blakey's playing him and with.

Humpty Dumpth with the history that means nothing to ghim.

After a bit more Blakey's impressive if unintended purpose in his early citing of Humpty Diumpty on words, Blakey does that with his questioning of the very few people who had any basis for era really knowing Oswald, if only a little bit of mim. Blakey welcoms their conjectures, particularly Priscilla Johnson McMillan, who had written an anti-Oswald books she had to justify, (page 348).

Next George de Mohrenschildt, of whom Blakey says he "committed suicide on the very day in 1977 he was to contacted by one of our investigators..." (page 349) He wy mat 'contacted."

this is a different kinfd of Humpty Dumpty with words in which blakey implies that it was de Mohrenschildt's "contact" with that investigator which triggered his suicide. Diry Humpty Dumpty.

seeking to make something not there in his brief association with Oswald. This included even a kidnapping by a Dutch TV reported from whom, in his own account, demkhrenschildt escape in Europe and mad his way home. In Dallas he was put in the opsycho ward at Carkland hospital for month and when he was discharged, Earl word, Dallas Morning "News investigative reporter, warned all theose he knew had been Kaing life a lifing hell for side Mohrenschildt to leave him alone baccou because of the state of his mind. He told each about de Mohrenschilds's month at Parkland, too. He there this humself

None of which Blakey mentions. Nor does he mention that the three days before the day of hi suicide and inclu ing that miorning, Edward J. Epstein, who imagines himself to be a She rlock Holmes, had been giving de Mohrenschildt a very had time. All were trying to make

13iA follows

him out to be some kind of important connection in the importance they gave @Oswald, making de Mohrenschildt to be some kind of sinister person in the assassination.

The Blakey Committee actual connection with all of this is that produce and all the other abuses, vary considerable abuses for de mahrench Morranch Mohrenschildt, particularly that very idifficult and very crazy with that hidolt with a PhD Epstein, when de ohreshchildt of go to the homeof a woman friend who was giving him sanctuary, he found he message waiting for him that atop all that was already too much for the dangerously sick man, the idiot Blakey was subpoenseing him, meaning ublic testimony nationwide on both TV and Aradio, for all the sick imaginings feach assassination nut building on the fabrications of those before him, and that was too much for him. A different employment of Humpty FDumpty would come out that Blakey drive de Mohrenschi idt to suicide.

It is not modesty which persuaded blakey not to say this (pag (4 349).

As Blakey wander along wit what is well knwn and really m ans nothing, including in tis so-called "reconsideration" he gets to a subection titled " 'Hunter of Fascists'."(pages 353-357). That is a community write on a print of his picture of himself holding that rifle.

Expecting Blakey to be straight for anything with his private Humlty Dumpty con rolling, what he says, after reportring that Marina told Oswald that sh would like to see the city in behigh heartern grow grew up and to meet his relatives, nothing a thing unnatural in that, particularly not when she regarded Dallas as a danger ous place for Oswald. He adds that "oswald was apparently was just as easer to get to New Orleans (possibly because he wanted to be cloer to the Cuhan revolution..." (page 356).

This dirty el estuoides ignores his ignorance and despite knowing this he is ignorance typics up what he intends to be a girty corack but while there may have been more Cuntan refugees in that there were in Dilas exile activity, anti-Castro activity agreater in Dallas than in New Orleans.

But with his fabrication Blakey continges to build hid eak and articletar case sipposedly agains Oswald

There is little of any real significance n Blakey's "Return to Dallas" (pages 357-360) He has a different vers ion f Bruneau's bailing (swald out(page* 359).

Under "Return to Fallas: 1963 (papes 3360-363) (first makes very brief me tion of Oswald's trip to Mexico

Balkey says that on the morning of the assassination he left he Paine residence in Irving "taking his rifle with him' (page 362).

While this is essential to the official fiction formalized as official policy in the Katzehnach memoraduum, it is worse that fiction. At each and every point, from Irving to the TSBD, there was and is a irrefutable proof that he had no rifle ith him. (In addition, in the suppressed official evidence is the proof that the rifle and not have been used in the assassination. The actual proof is that he id not carry that Aifle that morning and the actual official fact are he did not take text rifle with him when he left New Orleans for A Mexico and the official recorpts is that he cold not have.

All of which demond investigation Blakey omits.

Under "Elements of Conspiracy" (pages 363-363) Blakey has nothing that is new but he Humpty Dumptys it, giving it his own twist.

He begins saying that his Hous e assassina came to a number

Here again, Balkey at his best, with what is basic in his fabrication and methot a single fact to support it, with all that he has to seem to support of alleged opinion, and when it is not wrong from the evidence of which Blakey is ignorant or about which he lies - it is the opposite of what Blakey represents. This also is another of the endless proofs that when he headed the House assassins committee he did not make the investigations he was supposed to make and instead devoted himself and that committee to trying to disprove allegations of an inadequate and incomplete

to trying to disprove allegations of an inadequate and incomplete of Why I call it the forms investigation made about the Garren Commission. allagaing Commission. They Wassington France

Shyster-like, he wants his conjectures regarded as unquestionable fact because with nothing but those conjectures he attributes the assassination to Uswald.

Here it is worth remembering that when Blakey had that Katzenbach memo and quoted it the part he never quest quoted, referred to or

in any way told his reader existed, the the national policy which as he was dead made Oswala guilty and told all not to investigate the crime itself which was never investigated afficiently.

again it here is appropriate to repeat that the ignored official evidence that had been suppressed provs that Uswald was not and could not have been guilty.

Humpty Dumpty in Blakey's mind as well as his words,

of important conclusions ."

One is "Our analysis of his character led us to believe that Oswald was preoccupied with his political ideo ogy, hand it was the likely that he acted in the assassination in the light of that ideology." (page 363*)/, 1334 here

ABlakey mever says what the alleged ideology was but he implies throughout that Oswald was a Communist when it fact, whatever he may have bee before he went to the USSR, the virulence of his anti
communism was believed Auestions. (I have about four pages of selections from what the Commission published, without a word about fit, beginning on page 120 of White ash and it cites the much greater list of the Coswald anti-Communist writings.)

But this particular el estupides who cares little for even se sensibility when he thinks he can manufacture an explanation and is so much el estupides he can't realize how he is refuting himself in his manufacture.

If of swald kan "as preoccupied with his ideology" how does that explain eliminating the more liberal Kennedy and pitting the mucho less liberal Johnson in place? Especially with the great differences in their differences in military policy and in foriegn involvemeens?

Obviously, if ideology was a factor, there would have been no assassination.

"He offered the Soviets sknowledge he gained as a Marine," for all the wrold as though this could mean anything at A, all, hwhich id it did not and culd not. All Oswald knew was a bit kabout radar and, as Dino Brugioni, former CIA expert samade abundantly, overwhelmingly clear in his CIA approved book, Eyeball to Eyeball, the Soviets knew all they needed to know, much more that the mere radar

operator, Oswald, could have told them. It is less than honest, much less than honest. for Blakey to write and give significant to such a great misrepresentation without including with it that the Koviets were contemptuoud of his offer and ordered his expulsion as soon as his visa expired, without talking to him

Here Blakey reports the earlier fabrication, that Oswald tree to kill rightwing entremist General Edwain a Walker despite the fact that the Walker bullet, Asaid by the Dallas police to be 30-6 (alber). 30-06 (was much too large to have fit in a 6.5 mm rife.

baving said that Oswald remained a "leftist" after his disillusionment in the USSR *(which Blakey did Not mention earlier
when honesty Thad required that), he here says that "Oswald
had a willingness to commit murder for a political purpose" (page 363).

Made up entucy with metath Support to
EFor a "leftist" there was a upolitical purpose in killing the

For a "jeftist" there was a political purpose in killing the liberal Kennedy to get the much less liberal and much more militaristic Johnson? Nonsense! Does Blakley thinks he writes only for p politifal idiots? Or had his his this low opinion of all readers?

Then, We had to beidelieve, therefore, that the plot to assassinate the President, for Oswald at least, was rooted in his fundamental of leftist political bliefs" (page 363),

Dumpty of the mind controls Blakey, who continues to go downhill in both political knoledge and common sense: "As the Commission knew from the testimony of Carlos Bringuier, Oswald ande an effort to lend active support to the anti-Castro Cuban Student Directorate, arguably to infiltrate the organization" (page 365), As Blakey knew from the Vo,,ossom record. to which his ofwn "investigation" added not a think. now a single word of this is true. All Oswald did, beides make troubl's for Bringuier behind his back, was to give Bringuier his marine manual. Which has of no value to him at all.

Aside from the last that it was Blakey's responsibility to inversigate the crime, not to propagandize, he cannot possibly fake the case that from the first he was daking without the most obvious and deliberate dishonesties—all of which are also his determined effort to corrupt our history, the history that is precious to most decent prople. And, of course, being Blakey he needs neither prood nor reason.

As when he says the number-writer Durt Murret "was in an ideal position to connect his neptheew with organized crime."

Even if as a number w-writer he was in such an allegedly ideal position, what evidence is there that Murret has such an intent or interest? For what purpose culd it have been especially when organized crime needed no shooters-which Oswald was not in any way.

Writing numbers made Murret an "minderworld figire?" Even old ladies and children Used ro write them!

In the only way he can say that Oswald was onnected with organized crime, as he was not in any way, in this completey dishonest way that is Blakey's intent. It would be a new kind of organizer crime: uses people who can do nthings for and keeps them as broke as they can be and still survive survive-while doing not a damned thing for organized crime.

Aside frok this not being true, it & also makes no sense at all.

135 Boardes which there was me way in which oswald could have helped the Bring wiese

As we saw earlker, Blakey's childish fabrication that Oswald wanted to "infiltrate", the one-man Cuban Student Directorate in New Orleans is lydicrous and there is not even an impossible bashasis for arguing that pointless that purposeless fabriadtion.

But, aside from his knowingly impossible fability stion of pollowald's a leged intent to infiltrate Bringuier and Bringuier alone, not of this is new ar "eresuns idered. It is only made up and made up of nothing except the Hujoty Dumpty in Blakey's he de and for it served as it turns to this needs in his book that has no validity at all.

Here still again el estupides Blakey refer to the businessman Ehara who was looking at a handbill Oswald gave him as Oswald's Latin-looking associate who was #never identificed. "

All that Blakey makes up based on hat he made up tha was both ignorant and stupid and perhaps wore, despites his New Orleans "investigation" as a fraud, and an incompetence the would shame a child!

Everybody in that old trade mart bui ding culd have identified /Ehara and alice, to whom, athugh with Ehara, Blakey a tributes nothing.

There is much that is unreal and there is much that Blakey attributes to hid bases on the unreal but there is no need to go into all of that after what we have seen about Blakey and about his book. But as he fabricate the impossible, he has this kine about what he Mearlier had exaggerated abut Oswald's uncle "Dutz" Murret: "Dutz Murret was in an ideal position to connect his nephew nephew with organized crime, since he himself was an underworld figure" Page 364) from writing numbers when the penny-fgambling was a big ting.

Among all the baselessness in this Blakey does not even make

up any reason for Oswald to want any kind of co connection with organized crime. But if he had had any fuch connection, can it be believed that there was so little difference obtween the bet income Oswald ever earned and wet what he got the from unemployment? That he and his family would have led the life kind of life they led and with men ver an extra penny over the absolute minimum for survivla?

They Blakey makes up a long list of unnecessaries and impossible, with nothing at all in support of any of that wild stuff he Munitary and all in support of any of that wild stuff he Munitary and all in support of any of that wild stuff he Munitary and all in support of any of that wild stuff he Munitary and all in support of any of that wild stuff he Munitary as a "co -con-spirator in the assassination but we did regard him as a likely conduit of information about Oswald - his character, his polatical beliefs, his violent bent (specifically) the assault on Walker) - into people who had the motive and the capability to plot the assassination. We recognize that there might have been a need for a family link to organized profine since Oswald's pro-Castro beatefs zzzanza political stance was public knowledge in New Orleans.... (page 374). This childish making up of the beginning of the Blakey assassination but stinks. It is obviously and thoughtlessly made up, with ignorance beasic and with fabricated need controlling no matter how silly, hw ingorant, how stupid all this childish irrationality is.

There is, assuming it was ral, as it was not, no "communications Link" and there was no need frome. Other than in a childish storybook.

Alf by any chance organized crime was looking for an assassin, they would not have considered an Oswale from reason and if they aid have such a project in mind, the professionals were accessible to them. They a not have selected as lousy a shot as oswald to do this their killing for them. May owould the phase armed him with a rifle that was known as Mussolini's contribution to humanitariam

hymanitarian warfare. They would have armed him wit a much more suitable weapon that the army surpluss from Mussolini's failed war,

Murret was "an underworld figuere" because he sold numbers chances?

But if so there then were thousands of them and among them there

was no special qualification Oswald had and flaws that nobody

in his right mind, other than a blakey, would ignore.

So, and this all made up, with nothing to support the fabrication, is Blakey's explanation of how the President was assassinated: Murret, how Blakey does not say, knew of some bigger mob people who wanted to kill the President. Blakey does not name them but he appears to have no need for that He also has Murret fulky informated and communicating with his unnamed mafia people about it, his side and that Hoswald didt and that his failure to hit a sitting target marked red hims the kind of assassin the mafia was looking for.

It get craifzer, more senlesnrless all the time: "...we deemed significant Oswaod's adolescent association with Datvid Fer rie, the sightings of Oswald and Ferrie together in Clinton...and the mysterious 544 Camp Street connection..." which had no existence ta all.

What "significance" Blakey attributed to all this rubbish exceeded his Dumity Humty Dumpty capabilities for he does not even hint what he imagined that was.

Which was probably the best way to hadle th/t, fhere being none,

He then says that "we had a direct link between Oswald" and Ferrie

"who was directly connect to -in fact worked for - Carlos Marcello, the organized crime leader in New Orleans. There were therefore elements

of the conspiracy in New Orleans..." (page 365).

There is not only not a word f truth in any of this so much of which, from his own earlier writing in this book Blakey just made up, a childlike statory that made no sense at all and could not have

been, in any part or in any way, a prelude to anything at all like a murder plot and leat of all the assassination of a President. What Blakey makes up could not have succeeded in robbing the corner grocery. But as Blakey ends that his sick paragraph, his words are "We came to be ever that there were elements that matured into the conspiracy that succeeded in November" (page 364).

Rabid, childish, pointless, unnecessary and fraught with inducements to failure, the beginning of what had to represent a small army, and without may a word of truth, of fact or of common sense in it,

But Blakey being Blakety, soon undermindes this nouthouse junk:

There were, therefore, we elements of the the conspiracy in New Orleans; kn ow; edge of an exected presidential trip to Texas; a violence-prone, pro-Castro Oswald; and an alliance of anti-Castro pro-Gastro figuresz and underworld figures whose common hatred was a bond hatred shof the U.S. President. We came to believe that these were the elements that matured into the conspiracy that succeeded in November. In

There were, however, other exempts items of evidence that led us to believe that the actual contract with Oswald for the ultimate purpose of killing Kennedy may have occured much closer to the date of the assassinglation. We found it signicant, for example, that the trip to Mexico City in late September Oswald used his real name. ...this would argue for cereully against the notion that he was an active plotter when he went to Mexico. ...It appeared to us logical that it was after her regturned to Dallas on October 13 that he was contacted by aents of the conspiracy who had concluded that his participation in the plot was desirqable whence he would, if publicly is an

oswald much t not have expected to escape, since he left his weddingring and most of his money with his wife (pahe 365).

As Blakey makes up this silly child stuff he conclides these inventions with: "The Options of his fellow conspiractors were circumscribed once Uswald was in custory. Who was available to silence him? Who scould fain access to the police station and amoutally accomplish the objective? Given MacJack Ruby's background, he was a logical choice. Unlike Oswald, Ruby knew how to hold his tongue." page 365).

There is not a word of truth in any of the and some of it is is spectacularly false, the opposite fof the truth. Ruby was a blabber and so little is known of Oswald it is not possible to be hongest and say that he was the blabber. But in whalis known of the life, for long periods of time he said nothing that amounted to anything at all.

Jawald had with those who were viblently and outspokenly opposed to Castro, his use if "direct" and link" are numpty Dumpty uses—the opposite of the truth. Oswalfd had nothing that cul, by honsst men, be called a "link", most certainly not a "direct link." It is a deliberate lie that is essential to the jivenile fabrication of a book by Blakey that comepls him to make up and say phlies lake this becaus without the he cannot have the fraud of a book that with his endlessly dishonesties he has clobbered up.

Ferrie, as we have seen, did not work for Marcello. He worked for Marcello's Washington lawyer who, Blakey pays totte attention to wishen Ferrie was his investigator. His, not Marcello's

Blakey had no evidence, he did not even have a good reason to any Mwg believe, other than that Ferrie wor, dworked for Jach Wasserman.

Which Wasserman himself told me. Mt M Mall, Muh Blaky wade of,

Thealleged Walliance" of all those anti-Castro forces with those of the underworld did not exist. Blakley and it us for the same reason he made so much more up: without it he had no book. And when that book-nrhecessity of that non-e xisting alliance was necessary, accustomed as he is to making up waw hat he thinks he needs and plain-pout lying. that is wis MBlakey does.

Blakey says we, meaning his committee. Fame to that those non-existing "elements" combined "into the conspiracy that matured into the conspiracy that succeeded, "another manufacture.

And, of course, all this depends on the motorcade ging past the TSBX-which was not decided until a few days before the assassination. But, naturally, this is not necessary for Blakey. Besides which there was nothing he could make up to refute it.

Blakey says they found it significant that on his trip to Mexico Oswald used his correct name. Not that some of his uses of a name not his own might have been by others, not that the FBI, in his belief, was getting him fired from jobs, which could have led him to use other names. But how easy would it be to resucceed in getting a visa under a fair name and how easy would it be to get a Juban visa under a fake name. For Particularly when he wanted to use his free publicity of the newspaper stories Bringuier arranged for him, as Blakey does not say, under Oswald's provocation.

Everything in this silly thing Wish Made up is, to him, "conspiracy related." When escept in Blakey's invention there was no such thing and when Blakey does not produce a single fact that justifieds this suspicion that there was.

It seemed logical to Blaket et al, Blakey says, for Oswald to return to Dallas after he got fired from his job in New Orleans.

He does not say how long that wants after Oswald was fied but he and his family were on relief meet most of the time there were in New Orleans / . And for his New Orleans time Blakey does not otoduce a single friend or associate Oswald hade in pNew Orleans nor does he even suggest how Oswald would hyde suppoerted his wife and two babies were he in Cuba. As he knew futh Paine woyuld for ham.

Aside for all that is not real that is expssential to this Blakey non-existing plot that he just makes up, he omits all that he knew about and ignored when tit dd not fit in his chilfdish afabrication.

One of his omissions marina explaned to the Commission, the money Oswald left that morning. It was fpr Marina to buy a washing machineso she's not have to wash up for two babies, at least one in diapers, when they got the Dallas apartment she said they planned to get. Of this Blakey says it was procause Oswald knew he's be killed. Why did he expect to be killed? Because this demon investigator make up what is necessary of he is going to pin his bad rap on Oswald, that in his political beliefs, which Blakey says were on the left, he was drive to kill the Predident who was more of the left and then was an agangel to the left in order t make the less liberal Johnson; the pro-militzry msn gho was never of the left his successor.

Political g mains, that Blakey, when he can invent a motive for Oswald, without enough to get himself kill over, just to make yet tyndon Johnson not liked by withe left the President president who, after the Cuba missile forisis was like by the left, the Ke nnedy who was in secret correspondence with Khruschchev

against any invasion which Khruschscheev could not do, hence that ruba missile crisis, the K ennedy who had agree to try and work things out with Castro, nonet to see things expectable from Johnson.

The best full Henry Could not do, hence that with my such things out with Castro, nonet to see things expectable from Johnson.

The best full Henry Could have the first the the firs

JIf he there we re a kindergarten in teaching foreigh affzirs, bla, eBlakey ; could not qualify to teach it.

Before proceding with more of this insenity that Blakey thinks is a book, as as the Times Books a so did, a word about what to Blakey means an "assoc lation," an assassination contact, " all those things that were not and could not have been what he made up fir them when they could not be what he made up for them to be a Blakey had ever so much more "contact" with the FBI than he did with Bringuier.

Met Blakey does not make the BI part of that exconspiracy he imbembed. Oswald spent more than an hour with the Dallas FBI people assigned to him with John W. Fain in charge and they are not part of Blakey's made-up conspiracy but in Frinzuk the Bringuier, with with whom Oswald spent nly as a matter of a coup cocuple of inutes and for whom Oswald made trouble behind the extracenes.

another of the endless Blakey omissions, is the vital key in his imagined conspiracy with Bringuier.

Blakey then converts Ruby into "atypical hit man" (page 366) because of what he does not go into detail about, "his background" (page 365). Like making love to his dog, the dog hre actually called his wife? By running up debts he could not pay? By fondling girls just entering puberty? By not even able to keep a set of books in his business or using achecks calso Blakkey omitted, so he could have a record that he needed a land as perplain.

establishad

Blakey connects Ruby to the conspiracy Blakey thinks he made up any haw did no such thing, without any proof of this as there is no proof of any of his convoluted, nonsensical, impossible fabrication because Ruby was, for other reasons and in any way connected with Blakey's childish manufacture. So what does in fact make huby the "hit man" is that for other reasons entirely, fr not a timing that Blakey memions mentions. Ruby did kill Oswald. He did that not because he was a hit man but because the police, in their stupidity mand in their quest for a good paperess, made it possible

As we have seen, there is not a thing that could be called proof in this sick thing that Blakey hade up. There is nothing that is both factual and relevant. There is no reason to believe that this Blakey nonsense was even possible. And, there is official evidence that was public and of which Blakey preserved his pure state of ignorance, suppresses or draws on both to invent what we have just examined, the sick, the irrational, the ignorant Blakey fabricaion of his "solution" to the assassination - all of the actual tofficial information that makes his kid stuff impossible, all scessible took, and for none mentioned by him,

What supberb qualifications for attorney General of the onited States of Amwrica!

And so he Humpty Dumbtyred Reconsidered.