

1/12/70

Dear Paul,

Here are the documents I've gathered.

I never kept a file on Aunt because I never believe any of them were in any way involved. What I had was incidental to other interests. I do not recall what I sent you in the past, but I know it included these:

✓ CB87:454, ✓ CD 1472, ✓ CD 1267, ✓ CD 1542.

I knew Gary had things I didn't, so after I met you I asked him to send you what he had. I never asked him for either a list or copies, so I do not know what he sent.

I have a microfilm of what is said to be the entire Texas-investigation file, from the Library of Congress. It isn't. But I spotted two things in it, the 12/21/63 Hall-Clements interview with Ruby (which I may not have located in the Archives-I do not remember), R-64 in the police file; and RC2, a report by Jack Devill that I copied anyway, even though I assumed you have it, on the chance you do not.

Also,

- ✓ CD103 or 105:368
- ✓ CD1066:303,307 (which is ex.1884), 309 (Ex1885)
- ✓ CD1107:584
- ✓ CD1513:2,12
- ✓ CD1518:138-9 (on you)
- ✓ CD106:261 (CD & CR identical)
- ✓ CD1267 (prob. duplicate) (3pp)
- ✓ CD1306:103-5
- ✓ CD87:454 (in case I didn't send or this is better copy)(3pp)
- ✓ CD1322c (2pp)
- ✓ CR7:481-2

I failed to get CD7:701, "withheld...at the request of the Federal Bureau of Investigation". Perhaps you can get it. Matter of fact, the Archives at first acknowledged the existence of but five of the above, and I am pretty sure this includes a number that are marked as withheld.

Because I do not know how you left, although I knew you planned to, if you think copies of these documents should be with Aunt and you'd prefer not to send them, let me know. I'll be glad to. And if there is anything further you'd like from or done at the Archives, let me know and I'll tackle it. Can't promise I'll succeed because they do not like me there. But, I sometimes find what they cannot and others do not.

When you move to LA, let me know how to reach you. Someday somebody may have me out there to make a speech and perhaps we can get together again.

Curry's book was not a disappointment to me because I look at it differently. I have often wondered if I had anything to do with it because, although he appears unflappable, I think I blew his mind a year ago November. In his appearances he has said what is important only because of his position: there is no proof Oswald was in that window. This is an enormous understatement, but coming from Curry it is significant. As I believe I told you, I hope that someday those Texas people who can afford it will help bring the truth out, not only in the national interest but in that of their State...I wondered more about this watching E. Ross Perot on TV yesterday (despite his oft-repeated declaration of what he seeks for the country I did not write him asking help).

I know you feel more free. If you decide to go ahead with your literary project and want me to do anything, ask it.

If you ever come across anything you think would interest me, I hope you'll send it.

Good luck. I hope your new position is congenial.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg