Judge Limits U.S. Check of Homosexuals

By Thomas W. Lippman Washington Post Staff Writer

uals are security risks re-torneys. ceived two serious and per-

Pratt ruled that government security subject homosexuals to "prob-ing personal questions" about their sex lines and their sex lines are all their sex lines and their sex lines are all the sex lines their sex lives or withhold se-

answers through its own investigation, the information al's judgment, stability or vul-

fense Department to restore New Jersey. the security clearances of two avowed homosexuals who lost Flannery that was uncontested were revoked for their refusal to answer detailed questions about the type, frequency, location and cover cation and cause of all their homosexual acts.

He also ordered the Defense quiry into the case of a physicist who answered the questions and lost his clearance rights organization. Ulrich for when government evaluators merly was employed by Meldecided the answers justified revocation.

Judge Pratt issued his rul- ries in Rockville. ings orally at the conclusion of a three-hour hearing into

The Defense Department's separate cases filed by Ameritraditional view that homosex- can Civil Liberties Union at-

He readily accepted the haps fatal setbacks from a view advanced at the hearing federal judge here yesterday.
U.S. District Judge John H.
homosexuality is potentially evaluators cannot relevant in determining if a

But he said "I just don't curity clearances for refusal see why" avowed homosexuals to answer such questions.

Furthermore, he said, even if the government obtains the cases before him yesterday.

Those were the cases of Otto H. Ulrich Jr., 36, a linhowever bizarre — cannot be guist described by his lawyer, used as a basis to deny security clearance without a showing that it affects the individual policy, as "brilliant"; Richard Policy Policy, as "brilliant"; Richard Policy Policy, as "brilliant"; Richard Policy Pol L. Gayer, 32, a San Francisco nerability to blackmail. scientist, and George W. The judge ordered the De-Grimm, 52, a physicist from

by government attorneys, Ultold the government about it. Ulrich, Flannery said, went so far as to list on his application Department to hold a new in- for a security clearance his membership in the Mattachine Society, a homosexual civil par, Inc., in Falls Church and later for Bionetics Laborato-

Subsequent to their being cleared for security work, both were later subjected, for reasons that were not made clear, to investigations that resulted in suspension of their clearances. Last spring, the suspensions were made permanent because of their refusal to answer the detailed questions about their private activities put to them by security evaluators.

Garven Oliver, a Justice Department attorney arguing the case for the government, acknowledged that their homosexuality alone was not grounds for revocation. But he said their refusal to answer the questions made it impossible to determine if they were "well-adjusted homosexuals," or were subjected to outside pressures, guilt feelings, blackmail, or other potential threats

"Maybe they just hold hands in the movies," Oliver said. "Perhaps the homosexual activity was an isolated inci-dent." He argued that that of information sort needed for the government to exercise properly its discretion in security cases.

"I just don't see why," Pratt said. "You could ask any one of those questions of any in-dividual."

"Both of these men," said, "have a long record with no evidence that they have deviated from the trust placed in them. I should think the presumption would be in their

In the case of Grimm, who, Flannery said, lost his clearance in 1964 and is now living on welfare, Pratt said the answers to the questions put to him indicated that there might be grounds for withholding or revoking clearance.