MAR 6 1954

693

Ceneral Country

Request from Warren Commission for conference

Mr. Richard Helms
Deputy Director for Plans
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Helms:

The Commission has recently received a report from the Federal Bureau of Investigation covering an interview that took place between representatives of the Bureau and the recent Soviet defector, Yuri Ivanovica Nosenko.

whether or not it is authentic, is of very great interest to the Commission. I would like to set up a conference early in the week of March 9 between members of the Commission staff and members of the CIA to discuss this matter further and to explore generally the work your Agency has in progress of interest to this Commission.

Will you please contact me at your earliest convenience to set a time for this conference.

Sincerely,

ocument Number 552 347 A Cone

for FOIA Review on JUN 1973

J. Lee Rankin General Counsel

6 man. 60

CS COPY

le Man

in med

- 1. | called me in at 0900 and showed me in draft a memorardum recording his conversation with Allen Dulles on Saturday 11 April re CIA assistance to the Warren Commission. In essence, the conversation dealt with questions which the Warren Commission will direct to CIA. Copy follows?
- 2. | has suggested that nothing further be done re preparation of an analysis of the OSWAID affair pending receipt of the questions from the Commission. Answering these questions might make it unnecessary to prepare an analysis.
- 3. | asked that we prepare, on a priority basis, a reply to the FBI communication containing two reports on the OSMAID case from Nosenko. | is handling. | and | are to see it in draft.

P.S. also returned to me the several items of Oswald production borrowed on ll April.

Document Number 657:83

for FOIA Review on

JUN 1976

distant

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Plans

SUBJECT:

on the Uswald Case an 11 April 184

1. At the instructions of the DDP, I visited Mr. Dullas on 11 April to discuss with him certain questions which Mr. Bulles feels the Warren Commission may pose to CIA. Mr. Dulles explained that while the Commission wished to clarify certain aspects of the Oswald case in which a response from CIA seemed necessary it was not sure ?how the questions should be posed nor how CIA should respond. Mr. Dulles hoped that our discussions would enable him toadvise the Commission on this matter. He first raised the allegation that Oswald was a CIA agent. He mentioned two sources for this accusation. One was Mrs. Marguerita Oswald, Lee Harvey Oswald's mother, and the other was Mr. Mark Lane, Mrs. Oswald's attorney. He suggested that the Commission, in asking us this question, might well forward a summary or pertinent excerpts of the testimony concerning this matter. He noted, however, that Mrs. Oswald's testi-mony was so incoherent that it would be difficult to find pertinent excerpts, thus it would be better for the Commission to summarize the testimony.

2. Mr. Dullos then suggested that the response to this question could be in the form of sworn testimony before the Commission by a senior CIA official or a letter or affidavit. He recalled that the Director of the FBI had replied by ... letter to a similar question. In any event, Mr. Dulles felt the roply should be straightforward and to the point. He thought language which made it clear that Lee Harvey Oswald was never an employee or agent of CIA would suffice. We should also state that neither CIA nor anyone acting on CIA's behalf was ever in contact or communication with Oswald. Mr. Dulles did not think it would be a good idea to cite CIA procedures for agent assessment and handling to show that it would have been unlikely for Oswald to have been chosen as a CIA agent to enter Russia. There are always exceptions to every rule and this might be misunderstood by members of the Commission with little background in activity of this sort. I agreed with him that a carefully phrased denial of the charges of involvement with Oswald seemed most appropriate.

Document Number 657-831 BILLE / for FOLA Preview of JUN 1975

- The next question concerned the possibility of Oswald's having been a Soviet agent. Hr. Dulles suggested that the Commission's question on this matter be phrased somewhat as follows: "In the knowledge or judgment of CIA was Lee Harvey Oswald an agent of the Soviet intelligence services or the intelligence services of other communist states at any time prior to 22 November 1963, or was Oswald solicited by these intelligence services to become such an agent?" After considering this question, it became apparent that the problem of making a "judgment" as to whether Oswald might have become an agent of a communist power was subject to the same difficulties we would have encountered if we . had tried to answer the allegation of CIA affiliated by citing CLA's own procedures. If CIA, in responding to the "judgment" portion of the question, were to say that in . light of its knowledge of Soviet Bloc procedures it was unlikely that Oswald would have become their agent, we -would have to admit that exceptions are always possible. Mr. Dulles and I felt that it would be better to avoid this and confine our response to a procise statement of fact. This statement, in Mr. Dulles' view, could note that CIA possessed no knowledge either gained independently or from its study of the materials supplied by the Commission tending to show that Lee Harvoy Oswald-was an agent of the Soviet intelligence services, or the services of any other Communist country, or for that matter of any other country.
- 4. Both questions were discussed individually but later Mr. Dulles suggested that because they were interconnected it would be better if the Commission posed them in one letter to CIA. I agreed that this might be simpler.
- 5. After covering these questions of direct interest to CIA, Mr. Dulles mentioned other issues which concerned the Commission. He remarked that members of the Commission could not understand why CIA had not begun an investigation of Oswald as soon as it received word that he had defected. I noted that this question had been discussed with Mr. Rankin and his staff and there seemed to be considerable understanding of the practical circumstances which made it impossible for CIA to undertake such investigation inside the USSR. I expressed the hope that it would not be necessary for CIA to place matters of this sort in the public record. Mr. Dulles agreed.

المنتمن ا

- 6. Mr. Dulles then asked if it were normal for the Soviet Government to permit a Soviet woman to marry a foreigner and then allow her to leave with her husband shortly after the marriage. This question perturbed the Commission and they would like to have an answer. I said that whereas the response could have some bearing on whether Oswald was an agent, the problem seemed to lie more in the consular field and I suggested that the best way to obtain an opinion on what constituted "normal practice" in marriage cases in the USSR would be to question the Department of State. Mr. Dulles agreed with this.
- 7. Mr. Dullas expressed his appreciation for the assistance accorded him and said that he would discuss the fracing of the questions for CIA with Mr. Rankin on Monday, II April. At this point I did offer a personal opinion in regard to the way in which CIA should respond. Noting that testimony on questions such as these would be difficult to insert in the public record, I suggested that it would be best if the CIA response were in written form. However, much will depend on the form in which the equestions are eventually put to us and I magine that a final decision can be made at that time.
- 8. At no time during these discussions did Mr. Dulles make any inquiries about Nesenko and I volunteered no information on this score.