

Warren Hinckle
San Francisco Chronicle
San Francisco, CA 94119

11/1/86

Dear Warren,

Looking for your zip first thing I found could not be more appropriate, your note beginning, "Thought you might like to see the enclosed, which drove the FBI here up the wall."

Despite a total press boycott, I think I am (again) and I'm sure I would if reporting and publishing were as it was in my day, which was, I think, before you were born.

I've never lost to them, and there is a very long, long history of it. When I lost the one lawsuit I lost it became the biggest of victories but the press was so prejudiced it wasn't reported for years, when a judge made mention of it on one of the few occasions a reporter was present. I pulled something that guaranteed it, another story. The time I lost Congress amended FOIA over it and that is what opened the FBI, CIA and other dirtyworks files, cointelpro, Operation Chaos, etc. So, they hate me more and fight me harder. Looking for a copy of that I found a couple of others that may interest you. That Anderson column was edited by the WxPost to eliminate the bit on me, the suit I'm writing you about still. Only now I'm pro se because the finks created a conflict of interest. Pro se I don't have to worry about some troopers lifting my license so I've got them charged with entirely un-denied perjury, fraud and misrepresentation. Also entirely unreported, though it is also entirely immune. They got a money judgement against me that way in a FOIA suit, for the Dallas and New Orleans field offices JFK records, through their first-ever demand of discovery in FOIA litigation. This part can be a long story for all the sordid details.

After remand the judgement was amended to eliminate my former lawyer and I filed under new evidence. As usual, with a pimping judge, they were pretty excessive and I've nailed them and I think him, for whatever good it may do to nail a pimping judge before a reorganized appeals court.

I've been pretty limited as the results of a series of complications after successful surgeries, so I've not been able to work with any continuity on the brief, which is due in two weeks. I've got it done except for the conclusions and I can't do them until my wife finishes the retyping and I see what space I have. Meanwhile, I've given them a couple of sermons on tyranny, with quotes from our own great, a little non-lawyer law that I think would be effective before an honest court, and what I decided to write you, what I begin with. Selections from massive FBIHQ ticklers sworn not to exist in my suit. They were disclosed to a friend who'd made a later and duplicating request.

Please excuse the rush because if I'm a good boy, which on this brief I've not been taking doctors' orders takes five hours each day and that leaves too little time for anything else. If you are interested, I'm in walking therapy every morning except Sundays from which I'm usually home by 10:30 a.m. our time. Other than that, except for errands (my wife doesn't drive), her and my medical appointments and those of her mother who'll be 97 in less than a month, I'm home.

If you are interested in making any use, please don't do that until after I file. Or show it. I don't want more trouble than I have.

Commentary on judges: this district judge's decision says I'm suing for King assassination records of the New Haven filed office and, all heart that he is, says how he conducted repeated reviews of the case record. He refers to one as "exhaustive." Best of possible worlds? Best wishes,