Thanks for your letterof the 20th and very much for Hanh-Trangh's on diet for Lil.

on Jim and others and Carroll & Graf missing the byious pertinence of NA! in the Simpson case flap over "hutchurts, I wrote Herman Graf telling him I ma impressed by his determination not to make money. I expect no response and I felt the time had come for a modest expression of contempt.

Because I knew Don ibson would be here this past weekend I kept out and gave him that extra copy of your paper. He was glad to get it. You'll both probably be hearing from him. He is also a sociologist. And a fine person.

For Dal Mc uirk, who I am sure would like it, perhapt is will save money to end him a diskette. He uses Mcrosoft Word Version 6.0. If either of you can do that let me know the cost. Postage is I think 50% per halp sunce. Which makes paper cost much,

My letter to Rusconi was returned. Mobed and forwarding period past. But it is almost certainly what at Gray had ready for Haldeman by courier for his and Nixon's return from Florida, where Gray knew he was, and it provides the answer to the Howard Boller question, what did Nixon know and when did he know it. If not earlier, he knew it then! I have it in the hearings on at Gray. He used and old trick to avoid attention. He eaid he did not have it with him but would send it. By the time the committee got it it had other fish to fry and just published it. Where it was ignored.

I'm hoping that the former Hood student who was supposedly retyping Inside did get a duplicate diskette off to Dehnis, to whom a copy. I did not hear from her over the weekend and if by Wednesday Dennis has not gotten it I'll appreciate a note so I can get after her again.

This morning I was able to get a little done on returning to the addition to the Mailer book. I've almost completed what I'll use to introduce selections from what was gutted from Case Open/Hoax that it took a Malaysian student most of the summer to figure out the program on and print it out.

Don brought me the first-page story in the New Zealand papers Fletcher Prouty misrepresent to allege they knew about the JFK assassination in advance. I cannot copy that lagre but I enclose enough to identify the date, which is 17-18 hours after here and thus is dated the next day. Without seeing it I knew but I could not persuade Fletch. Who also still insists that one of the Dealey Plaza tramps is General Lansdale, a man he knew very well. He could not be persuaded.

I hope you'll call attention to your students how I got those LBJ phone items. It can be a learning exerience for them, as it would have been earlier if that was not too late in the term.

Bill Pepper's Orders to "ill, supposedly on the King assassination, is ignorant and more assassination theorizing. Waste of time & money.

Thanks for your recent letters and compliments and comments about our paper. I used some of your comments in your long letter to Dennis and me (9/15) to start off my class this morning. To make Never Again: relevant to my students, since they will be reading it later in the semester, I referred to that Whitehurst story and the FBI's continued denials of ever having lied about, altered, or fabricated evidence. I told them your book would document instances of this. I think it made an impression Its too bad Lesar or Carroll & Graf are ignoring the potential of this.

I forgot that Dennis planned to send you a copy of the paper. Nonetheless, you can just hang on to them or possibly send the extras to make a point or to someone who you think might be able to use it, such as Dal McGuirk.

Hanh-Trang is gathering some information for Lillian and should be sending it soon. We hope she will be feeling better soon.

Finally, I was intrigued by your short letter to Jane Rusconi regarding Stone's movie on Nixon. I don't imagine you will get a response. But I am sure intrigued to find out what was likely the subject of that 18 minute gap in Nixon's tapes.

Take care.

Best,