9/10/95

Dear Gerry,

Slowly "il seems to be eating a little. Not enough and not what she needs because she has little apetite. But that she is eating more is in itself good. She remains unsteadier on her feet than I now but has fallen only once and then, fortunately, into a sitting position.

I hope you and Dennis do not find the sociology journals have the same attitude as the major media and that the professional journals faced Dr. Randy Rabertson with.

That you will be doing a book is fine. When you get into it you may find more you can use here, especially if one of you can take the time to go through the "subject" files one by one. and it

The Stone letter is pathetic but he will not learn and those he has helping him cannot learn. I think the story of those Garrison files is both gabried and lied about. The ARRB is supposedly going for them and not for any real assassination information and there is nothing bu garbage in garrison's file from the little I know about them. Unlike the conspiracy nuts, i never, went through them.

That Gayton story is not new an not confirmed. I had it 25 or more years ago though a man who lived in his house name Jim Gochenaur. When Gayton would not be in touch with me personally I dropped my interest in it.

What Stone had no interest in is not limited to the "told' evidence." He had no onterest in ewidence, period.

I've still heard nothing from the woman who was retyping Inside and has the diskette. I finally wrote her at her place of work. If I've no answer early in the week I'll phone, although with her job she may well not be in the office.

One of the new students who will do some of the retyping was here yesterday. She seems to be quite interested. Now that school is organized I should mear from the seend one soon. I hope!

For some time there has been much confusion with all that was happening that kept me from writing and with too much writing on different works but I think I finally have that straightened out.

Besr to gou all,

Sept. 5, 1995

Dear Harold,

I was glad to hear that Lillian was feeling better the day after I left. I hope she is feeling much better by now. My trip back to Spartanburg was uneventful; I got in a little after midnight.

Dennis has been in touch and we have made some revisions in our paper. In addition to addressing the comments you made, we also decided to substitute a couple of the documents we used in our original paper with ones we obtained when we visited, such as one on the special relationship the FBI had with O'Leary of the Wash. Star. We will be sending you the revised version in a week or so. We will also send some out to Dave Wrone, Bill N., Jerry McKnight, among a few others. Our plan is to first explore the possibility of getting it published in a sociology journal. And whether that succeeds or not we will also try to expand it into a book.

Enclosed you will find a couple items of interest, one sent to me by a student I had in criminology last year. The Oliver Stone letter to the New York Times is pretty pathetic, but I guess his response to Posner is to be expected since he never has had any real interest in the "old evidence".

Take care.

Best Wishes,

7