

Richard Gallen  
260 Fifth Ave.,  
New York, NY 10001

7/31/93

Dear Richard,

Believing you have been too busy, I have not written you ~~when~~<sup>even</sup> when in my personal interest I might have. I also have not taken any time for the kind of too-long and too-hasty letter to the Post I enclose. I send it because I think I ~~can~~ detect a slight change in Post policy that may in time be reflected in the Times or might be encouraged there.

I'm also spending less time with the sports pages.

Lil called the story I enclose to my attention.

I've been spending all the time I can on a new book that is entirely different for me.

I do not see much on the coming books that I suppose I'll have to keep up with, in time, but what I have seen of those supposedly serious does not make me believe they will be good books in their subject-matter<sup>content</sup>. Some are impossible. The one claim I've seen for Groden's, that he publishes the little of Jackie's Commission testimony that <sup>was</sup> withheld for the first time is false. I published it in 1975.

If the McGinnis seeming trash goes to court, as Manchester seems to be threatening, it can raise an interesting defense against copyright infringement. Some of Manchester's book was possible only because of official exclusives. So, can he own those parts of our history?

Best,

Harold