# OF 'FATAL' COURSE

Tells Newspaper Publishers That Country Shows Signs of Overextending Power

WAR HYSTERIA CHARGED

Senator Says Nation Cannot Reach Social Goals While Paying Cost of Fighting

Excerpts from Fulbright talk are printed on Page 32.

By HOMER BIGART

Senator J. W. Fulbright warned here last night that "America is showing some signs of that fatal presumption, that overextension of power and mission, which brought ruins to ancient Athens, to Napoleonic France and to Nazi Germany."

The war in Vietnam has sparked the beginnings of a war hysteria in this country, Senator Fulbright told the Bureau of Advertising of the American Newspaper Publishers Association at a dinner in the Waldorf Astoria Hotel.

It is "a kind of madness," the Arkansas Democrat said for the Johnson Administration to assume that the nation can achieve the Great Society program at home while financing an "open-ended" war in Asia

"In concrete terms, the President simply cannot think about implementing the Great Society at home while he is supervising hombing missions over North Vietnam," Senator Fulbright said in a prepared speech.

#### 'Fallout Effects' Cited

Moreover, he said, Congress has lost interest in the Great Society; politically and psy-chologically it has become a "war Congress," he said.

Reviewing what he called the

"fallout effects" of the American military involvement in Southeast Asia, Senator Ful-bright said the war had suspended progress toward normal relations between the United States and the Soviet Union.

He also said that it had made Western Europe "more appalled than impressed" by both the "destructiveness and apparent ineffectiveness" of American military power and that domestically, it had diverted energies from the Great Society program and "generated the beginnings of a war fever in the minds of the American people and their leaders."

#### 'Facile Assumption'

On Monday, newspaper executives in the same ballroom heard Vice President Humphrey deliver a "hard line" speech calling for a display of national endurance and saying the country could have both guns and butter.

Last night, with somber eloquence, Senator Fulbright sought to demolish the "facile assumption" that the country could raise the billions of dollars necessary to rebuild its schools and cities and public transport and eliminate air and water pollution while also spending tens of billions on an Asian war.

It is already being demonstrated, he said, that Americans lack the mental and spiritual

Continued on Page 32, Column 4

### FIII BRIGHT WARNS OF 'FATAL' COURSE

Continued From Page 1, Col. 1

resources for such a dual ef-

"But even if the material resources can somehow be drawn from an expanding economy," he said, "I do not think that the spiritual resources will long be forthcoming from an angry and disappointed people."

Even more damaging than the turning away from Great Society goals is the stirring up of "war fever," said the Senator, who is chairman of the Senate's Foreign Relations Committee.

"It is only just now getting under way," he told the news paper executives, "but, as the war goes on, as the casualty lists grow longer and affect more and more American homes, the fever will rise and the patience of the American people will give way to mounting demands for an expanded war, for a lightning blow that will

for a lightning blow that will get it over with at a stroke. "The first demand might be a blockade of Haiphong; then, if that doesn't work, a bombing raid on Hanoi; and if that doesn't work, a strike against China; and then we will have a global war."

Senator Fulbright said there was a "marked change" in American thinking: "Instead of emphasizing plans for social change, the policy planners and political scientists are conjuring up 'scenarios' of escalation and models' of insurgency and counterinsurgency."

Criticism Defended

#### Criticism Defended

Anticipating some hostile re-action to his speech, Senator Fulbright began by saying: "I believe that the citizen who criticizes his country is paying it an implied tribute: at the very least it means that he has

very least it means that he has not given up on his country, that he still has hopes for it."

Then he said the United States was "failing short" because "we do not seem to believe in our own power and greatness." He cited "our apparent need for constant proof and reassurance, our nagging desire for popularity, our bitdesire for popularity, our bit-terness and confusion when for-eigners fail to appreciate our generosity and good inten-

"When a nation is very pow-erful but lacking in self-confi-dence, it is likely to behave in a manner that is dangerous both to itself and to others," he

"Gradually but unmistakably,

"Gradually but unmistakably, America is succumbing to that arrogance of power which has afflicted, weakened and in some cases destroyed great nations in the past."

He ended his speech by saying: "I am very apprehensive but I still remain hopeful, and even confident, that America, with its humane and democratic traditions, will find the wisdom to match its power."

The Bureau of Advertising Annual Recognition Award for 1966 was presented at the dinner to the J. C. Penney Company, Inc.

pany, Inc.

---- ED KEY

## Excerpts From Fulbright's Speech on Vietnam War

Following are excerpts from the speech prepared for delivery by Senator J. W. Fulbright before the Bureau of Advertising of the American Newspaper Publishers Association night:

I would like to talk with you tonight about the fallout effects of the Vietnamese War in three areas our relations with the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe, relations with our allies in Western Europe and the internal impact within the United States. United

Because some of my observations will be critical of American policy, it seems in order to say a preliminary word about criticism. I believe that the citizen who criticizes his country is paying it an implied tribute: at the very leass it means that he has not given up on his country, that he still has hopes for it. that he still has hopes for it. More often, the critic is motivated by high regard for the society he lives in and for tts promise; in this case the vigor of his criticism is the measure of the gap he perceives between promise and performance. The ideological aspect of the Victnamese war is slowly undermining good is slowly undermining good relations between the United States and Eastern Europe. The detente whose progress was generating such optimism hardly more than a year ago, has been arrested and a slow, steady erosion has set in.

How far it will go, and with what unfortunate re-sults, will be determined by the future course and scale of the war in Vietnam.

#### Restraint by Russians

The principal reason why things are not a lot worse than they are is the restraint shown by the Russians with respect to the war. They are providing the North Vietnamese with a steady flow of supplies, including the ground-to-air missiles that are used against American aircraft but they show no inclination to participate directly in the war and even their anti-Ameri can propaganda is compara-tively mild.

If positions were reversed,

if the Russians were conductif the Russians were conducting daily bombing raids against an American ally, it is just about inconceivable that we would confine ourselves to providing equipment to the ckuntry under attack. If we did, one can well imagine the field day the superpatriots would have charging our Government with cowardour Government with cowardTHE NEW YORK TIMES, FRIDAY, APRIL 29, 1966.

ice and treason.

My feeling abut the matter is that the Russians are frightened of us, not only be-cause of our enormous power but also because of our er-ratic behaviour in such places as Vietnam and the Domini-can Republic, which may make our policies seem, from their viewpoint, dangerously unpredictable.

What is wrong with that? it may be asked. What is wrong with it is that it puts Soviet-American relations on an exceedingly unstable basis. Though not as powerful as the United States, Russia is a very great power and it is

a very great power and it is unlikely to be restrained indefinitely by fear of the United States.

As long as the Vietnamese war is fought on its present scale, the Russians may remain essentially outside of the main essentially outside of the conflict — although that is by no means certain. But if the war is significantly expanded, the Russlans will be brought under mounting Chinese goading for standing aside while the Americans devastate a Soviet ally. With their prestige thus impaired, fear could give way to anger, and the Russinas might then and the Russinas might then the enormous take the enormous risk of direct intervention in the war.

#### Loss of Opportunities

For the present, the main fallout effect of the war on East-West relations is the loss of the opportunities associated with the American policy of building bridges to the East. The significance of that loss is great indeed, it among the significance of the significa is great indeed; it amounts to the suspension of progress to-ward normal relations between ward normal relations between the two great nations which hold the power of life and death over all of humanity. One may hope that that hope-full evolution of Soviet-Ameri-can relations will be resumed, but it carried by but it certainly cannot be counted upon.

Soviet-American cooperation in bringing about the cease-fire lust September in the India-Pakistan war is one example of the kind of beneficial

collaboration that the Vietnamese war makes creasingly difficult.

There are other areas of the world, and other kinds of issues, on which the Russians and Americans could collaborate through the United Na-tions, but for the fallout from Vietnam.

Even at the time of the Kashmir war, however Kashmir war, however, American officials were at American officials were at pains to describe their cooperation with the Russians as a policy of "parallelism," using that word, apparently, to dispel the notion that any similarity in Soviet and American interests could be more than an accident and to reassure people in general that the cold war was still on.

One recent casualty of the Vietnamese war was a consular convention between the Soviet Union and the United States signed in June, 1964, and still unratified by the Senate although it was reported favorably by the Foreign Relations Committee last Aug. 3. The convention is essentially an arrangement for the facilitation of travel and the orderly conduct of business between the Soviet Union and the United States.

Approved by Committee

#### Approved by Committee

Opposition developed over this treaty after its approval by the Foreign Relations Committee, J. Edgar Hoover was widely quoted as having said that the establishment of Soviet consulates would make the work of the F.B.L. "more difficult." And a right-wing extremist organization called the Liberty Lobby deluged Senators with mail denouncing the treaty.

Another straw in an ill wind was the rupture last year of negotiations between the Rumanian Government and the Firestone Tire and Rubber Company for the de-sign and engineering of two synthetic rubber plants as the result of pressures applied in an anti-Communist crusade conducted by a jun-ior extremist organization and a Firestone competitor. The Vietnamese war thus far has had three major "fall-out" effects on East-West reout effects on mast-west re-lations; first, it has generat-ed a degree of hostility toward the United States on the part of Hastern European nations which otherwise are nations which otherwise are most anxious to expand economic, cultural and even political relations with the West; second, it has weakened the drive of the Eastern European countries toward greater independence of the greater independence of the Soviet Union; third, it has put a severe strain on the Soviet-American detente, reducing whatever hope there may have been for a general nuclear test ban and a non-

ructear test oan and a non-proliferation agreement. East-West relations now hinge on the war in Vietnam. If it goes on indefinitely, or if it is greatly escalated, it if it is greatly escalated, it will destroy prospects for accommodation on issues ranging from trade to arms control and the future of Germany, and eventually it may bring the Russians—to say nothing of the Chinese—into direct conflict with the United States. If these things happen, then the fallout from Vietnam will indeed be far more destructive than the war itself.

war itself.

#### Effects on Allies

The effects of the Vietna-mese war on America's rela-tions with its Western European allies are more difficult to assess.

to assess.

The most obvious fact is that our major allies are not supporting us in Vietnam. There are three possible explanations for their refusal in the war. to participate in the war, each of which, if valid, suggests that there is something

gests that there is something with American policy. First, they may believe that it simply does not matter, from the viewpoint of their own security, who wins the Vietnamese war. Or, security that they may helieve that ondly, they may believe that their security is affected but there is no point in becoming involved because the United involved because the United States, under what has been called the "Rusk doctrine," is unilaterally committed to resist any and all threats to the free world and will take all the risks and accept all the costs regardless of what anyone else does.

anyone else does.

And finally, our allies may have judged that it is neither necessary nor possible for a Western army to fight a successful land war on the Asian mainland and that their security, and ours, can be de-

fended from the islands and waters off the coast of Asia where our sea and air power is dominant.

It is contended by Ameri-can policy-makers that if the United States makes major concessions in Vietnam the credibility of our other guarantees and commitments will be undermined and countries which depend on American support, from Thailand to German, will lose faith in the

United States.
As H. L. Mencken once said there is something in this but not much. In fact, many of America's allies are more in-clined to worry about an undue American preoccupatio with Vietnam than to fear the consequences of an American withdrawal, provided that withdrawal were orderly and based on a negotiated agreement.

#### Effect on NATO Seen

I suspect that the American involvement in Vietnam has something to do with the cur-rent crisis in NATO. Presi-dent de Gaulle said as much dent de Gaulle said as much in his press conference of last. Feb. 21, citing as one reason for his decision to withdraw French forces from NATO what he perceives to be a dan-ger that the United States may drag its European part-ners into non-European vers ners into non-European wars. One detects in Europe a

growing uneasiness about American policy, a feeling that the United States is becoming better — safer, that is — to keep the Americans at a dis-ta nice.

It is difficult for an American to look at his country as a European may see it. I would guess that the European looking at America today feels overawed rather than reassured by our tremendous power — by the power of our nuclear weapons and rockets and the power of the world's greatest and possibly fastest growing economy.

In an irrational but human

way they may be more appalled than impressed by the existence of such great power, even though they are de-pendent on it for their own

security.

I am inclined to wonder to if the current reluctance of European ciuntries to accept responsibilities outside of their own region is not in-directly related to the Ameri-can military involvement in southeast Asia.

Insofar as that involvement implies a willingness on the part of the United States to act as a global policeman, even though it must do so with no more than token sup-

port from a few allies, Europeans may be encouraged to believe that, even if their interests are involved in Vietnam or in any other crisis, there is really no need to get involved because the Americans will take care of it anyway.

#### 'War Fever' Seen

The war in southeast Asia has affected the internal life. of the United States in two important ways: it has diverted our energies from the Great Society program which began so promisingly a year ago, and it has generated the beginning of a war fever in the minds of the American people and their leaders.

Despite brave talk about having both "guns and but-ter," the Vietnamese war has ter," the Vietnamese war has already had a destructive effect on the Great Society. The 89th Congress, which enacted so much important domestic legislation in 1965, is enacting very little in 1966, partly, it is true because of last year's unusual productivity, but more because the Congress as a whole has lost interest in the Great Society: it has become, politically and psychologically a "war Congress."

My own views that there

My own views that there is a kind of madness in the facile assumption that we facile assumption that we can raise the many billions of dollars necessary to rebuild our schools and cities and public transport and eliminate the pollution of air and water while also spending tens of billions to finance or "core-ended" was in Asia "open-ended" war in Asia. But even if the material

resources can somehow be drawn from an expanding economy, I do not think that the spiritual resources will long be forthcoming from an angry and disappointed peo-

There is a kind of Gres-public policy: ham's law of public policy: fear drives out hope, security precedes welfare and it is

only to the extent that a country is successful in the prevention of bad things that it is set free to concentrate on those pursuits which bring happiness into the lives of its

The turning away from these pursuits after so brief an interlude is the first and at present more conspicuous fallout effect of the war on American life.

The second, and potentially more damaging, is the stir-ring up of a war fever in the minds of our people and lead-ers; it is only just now get-ting under way, but, as the war goes on, as the casualty lists grow longer and affect

more and more American homes; the fever will rise and the patience of the American people will give way to mounting demands for an expanded war, for a lightning blow that will get it over with at a stroke.

at a stroke.

There has already been a marked change in the kinds of things we think about and talk about in America. A few talk about in America. A few years ago — even some months ago—we were talking of détente and "building bridges," of five-year plans in India and Pakistan, of agricultural cooperatives in the Dominican Republic and land tax reform all over Latin. and tax reform all over Latin America.

America.

Today these subjects have an antique ring. Instead of emphasizing plans for social change, the policy planners and political scientists are conjuring up "scenarios" of escalation and nuclear confrontation and "models" of insurgency; in Latin America they seem more interested in they seem more interested in testing the "images" of armies than in the progress of social reform.

#### Change in Attention

Change in Attention

There can be no doubt that the major cause of this change in our national vocabulary is the war. Just about every day millions of Americans see stories and pictures of battle on the front pages of their newspapers and on their television screens. All this war news must have its effects: the filversion of attention from domestic pursuits, the gradual dehumanizing of the enemy rising levels of tension, anger, war-weariness and bellicosity.

America is showing some signs of that fatal presumption, that overextension' of power and mission, which brought ruin to ancient Athens, to Napoleonic France and to Nazi Germany. The process has hardly begun, but the war which we are now fighting can only accelerate it.

If the war goes on and ex-There can be no doubt that

it.

If the war goes on and expands, if that fatal process continues to accelerate until America becomes what it is not now and never has been, a seeker after unlimited power and empire, then Vietnam will have had a mighty and tragic fallout indeed.

I do not believe that will happen. I am very apprehensive but I still remain hopeful, and even confident, that America, with its humane and democratic traditions, will find the wisdom to match its power.