

September 16, 1980

Dear Mr. Frewin:

When your letter arrived Mr. Weisberg was preparing to enter hospital so I am replying in his stead.

On your question about Sam Giancana and any potential bearing on the assassination, Harold says you are wrong. He has found nothing to substantiate any such supposition.

On the Tony Summers book, reviews here were uncritical whether they were favorable or unfavorable, and there were both.

I hope you get the information you are requesting from Mary Ferrell. She has a great store of information.

Harold's surgery was this morning and I have not yet had a report on it. We can only assume that it progressed as anticipated and that he now has to wait out his convalescence, which I suspect will be harder on him than the surgery.

Thank you for your good wishes.

Sincerely,

Lillian Weisberg

8 September 1980

c/o 29 Graveley Avenue  
Boreham Wood  
Herts  
ENGLAND

Harold Weisberg Esq  
7627 Old Receiver Road  
Frederick  
Maryland 21701  
US of A

Dear Harold Weisberg:

Thanks so much for your kind and thoughtful letter of 27 August which we greatly appreciate receiving.

We are writing to Mrs Mary Ferrell about the CIA cable and hope that she comes up with something. In the meantime we shall also try to pin Michael Eddowes down again in the hope that we can get a little more from him.

As you know only too well yourself, every potential lead has to be checked out and followed through. One cannot ignore anything. You may well be right in dismissing the Mariella Tibben/Capes story, we have no way of knowing ourselves at the moment, but the story does seem very odd and we know that she and the film producer, Towers, were closely connected with Dr Stephen Ward. Perhaps they do more rightfully belong with Profumo rather than Kennedy.

We didn't raise the subject of Kennedy's affaires because of any salacious interest but merely because of the Judith Campbell Exner matter. The Sam Giancana connection has always struck us as having potential bearings on the assassination. Are we wrong?

We were interested in what you thought of the Tony Summers book. We were pretty critical of it ourselves for various reasons. It seemed to receive uniformly good reviews over here, but the thing is that no one over here was in any position to review it anyway, none of the scribblers had any understanding of the assassination. Did any good detailed critical reviews of it appear over there?

In regard to the Cambridge phone call we are investigating it now and anything that we come up with that we think might be of interest to you shall certainly be forwarded. And on the other matter too.

We were both very sorry to hear about your circulation problem, it must be tough to live with, and typing certainly sounds a great chore.

With best wishes

**Tony Frewin**

Anthony Frewin

*yes  
im not really  
familiar  
in critical  
unfamiliar*