In reading that farout stuff of Haile's on "It's going to be like/a travelling circus" when he uses his alleged authority to be present on discovery inspections I regret even more this crany stuff of Bob's, which offsets what that kind of stuff should do into to the judge.

In reading this Ennessess story of 8/27/74 I find ayasif thinking all over again that this guy has stuff loose somewhere to never say saything any nore responsible

in all his many assumer appearances.

That he would sull this kid stuff makes no sense. That someone in his office wouldn't shut him up also makes no sense.

Maybe Jimmy is right, he is trying to emulate Foreman and but doesn't have it.

One of the obvious conclusions any lawyer ought to tidak any judge would drawn from these silly statements is that Hails recognizes he has no case and instead intends to try it on the other side.

However, this line about travelling circuses from Frogmore to L.A. reminds me of a story I tell on the chance you think you might want to have this travelling-circus

lies ready for mestant use in court.

I think you heard Sammie Abbott reminisce over a show I did with supposedly the toughest in the business. Long John Mebel. His impartial panel was Victor Laski and Kevin O'Daugherty. It can loaded. And unsubtle with "aski. I saw his winding up, as he always made seeing and understanding possible, with what he intended as a killer, "Were you ever in Dallas, "re. Weisberg?" I locked at him inecesstly for a moment and said, approximately, "I've been wooder all night, "r. Laski, if you marked up my book in red, as I can ase, while you were playing games of it you don't understand English. By book is about the Warren Report. That was in Washington, remember? Now, why don't you ask so if I have ever been in Washington?" He didn't know what in the hell to say. Single as it is it through them all off pace. I drove them canzy with some polite, often laughing reference to Dallas. It got to long John, who was a real pro and as hasty and as far to the right as possible. He'd fluff a conservial and I'd say loud enough for the mikes to pikk up "Been spending too much time in Dallas?"

It was rough for a while but long before this I was playing with them. The secret was to let them abuse me long enough to deliver the audience and them move in quickly and sharply. From the moment I did this there was no real problem with the show or handling the gangup. Not that a show is a court. But they pooped out on me 5:40 late the 5:00 hours the show was to have gone. They just ended it without even saying good night or go to hell to me on the air. And these were supposed to be the terrors, too.

So, Haile is going to be a bad guy in court, I think. And I think the judge is not going to like it. You might want to ask when he pulls conething bad if he's been on the travelling circus to "rognore. The judge will get it has Haile will. I think it would be good to hale his blow in court and I think it will not be impossible.

The reporters will catch and maybe laugh. That may be easigh to throw Heary. It is not easy to ridicule when it is justified in court without running some risk with a judge. In this case I think HoRea will enjoy it. Especially if there is any discovery. If there is not you might want to apologize to Hrile that the situation did not develop when the State of Tean, wouldn send his joyriding from regners to LA; from Cambrudge to Florida. But he did manage to get his wife to Washington. It is gentle, pointed, relevant to his cranks, and I'll bet you he billed the office

for the transportation so he could have a vacation.

The Commercial-Appeal 8/28 says what I didn't remember, if I knew, that Thompson offered Foreman all his files and couldn't give them away, them forced them on Stanton, who was defense counsel. This means we have to go over those files with great care. They will show serious stuff that won't be like the Benavides stuff that may well have point but will not as likely influence McRae. If there is one solid legitimate lead in the we're home clear on them. Foreman them has trouble, with mails.

That deposition stuff of Boreman's is great. "s is world so such he doesn't need

any more money. Wow! That, if you didn't know it earlier, is enough to assure he'll mever show. To be asked about that and is it true that he told the press he owns 80 empty houses and uses them for storage and then the question, if you don't beed more money why did you take the last possibility Ray had of getting a single permy. A little of this and hand him the Singleton decision and at the end does he store say gold fillings in those "empty" house?

He won't show but he ready and this, suids from fact, in the way to handle him if he does, I think, psych him out. He isn't used to boing on the other and and being treated as he treats. He is already uptight. I'd have a few pieces of paper to

justify Foresanssque questions.

The UPI 8/30 story on the transcripts does not include what I was told is in the cosy that reached the San Diego Union. The local saper could have sut it out but if the exculpatory stuff was omitted by UPI for its state customers, that would be worth lenowi we

Your motes on Frank on WHX: one of the reasons I sarlier noted the long John show with "rank is because on it Frank said the only possible conspiracies against Mis were Castroite or Caisess.

Great stuff in all the shows if Frank shows or there is a civil suit. Ray wanted a communicacy story to make the book into a movie when the contract denied him a penny

in perpetuity if it did make a million? Only in a civil suit will he show. Then this great stuff about Ray refusing to see Bavel, he says Foresas told him, and a photore of Bavel at the jail being interviewed

efter he saw May (I think wit Foresau).

Head-to-head Frank will go to pisces. He couldn't six take it in St. Louis with the headicages I had that only began with Stoner and Jerry on the show. He did shut up and said he would simply because there was nothing he could may. Can't do that in court. The judge says he controls the marbles. In Boston he did go to pieces. Perry williams, grouply am old friend, saved him. You should hear my phone taps, when the producer came on the phone after the show was over. (Generally they just hang up in the studio.) He described it to me. Frank on the stand would be something! Especially with the cras in the book and on tage. It sure would be nice if someone interviewed him mow that as has refused to supply court-ordered evidence!

The petition for a writ of mundamus doesn't seen to be much. If it makes any difference, I think Modae will not like some of it. The emjority of the circuit should not welcome his three parts of 5 on py. 3 and 4. His 1. on p. 5 defeats himself, I t think. "t says discovery is warranted and he hasn t a single word in opposition. This maghiffed his orderion cited above, more because the language in that of the Supreme Court. The cruck about Ray manufacturing when he has the documentary proof from discovery is willy after McRae clobbered him on his claim that May would perjore himself.

If there is any argument, he talks about delaying the trial (9.6) It would be appropriate to recall that it is 5 1/2 years since May asked for one with all the delay by the State.

He has the lie that we said Heses coerced the guilty plea on p. S. I think this augrests how it got into the gapers.

In averything he does he says he move he is in had shape or he is an animated syupidity.