

Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701
1/11/78

Mr. Larry Flynt
c/o Americans for a Free Press
40 W. Gay St.,
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Mr. Flynt,

In your ad in the January 8, 1978 edition of the Washington Post you have the headline HELP SOLVE JFK'S MURDER \$1,000,000 REWARD.² In the copy under that ad you state this reward is for what leads to an arrest and conviction "or for information which makes it possible for the truth to come out."

There is and has been much theorizing about this and similar crimes. Generally it is utterly irresponsible. It has created many schools of mythology. These have also been promulgated and popularized by those who have found the lecture circuit profitable, the profitability varying with the excitement that is generated by what is not factual. As a result most people have been misled. Most of those who call themselves experts really are not. However, the more attention they receive the more they are regarded as experts.

No crime of this nature and magnitude is solved nor is there a means of solving it by the popular theorizing. Often the same person has many variations of any theory. One of your panel of what you call experts, for example, has stated that the CIA killed JFK and that the same CIA did not kill JFK.

There is only one practical way for such a crime to be solved or for the truth about it to come out. That is by the traditional means of establishing the corpus delicti or the body of the crime. This is done not by touting belief or hope or theory but by establishing the basic facts of the crime. With a crime of murder by gunshot the beginning is with the medical and ballistics and ballistics-related evidence.

By various means I have to a substantial degree done this work and done it uniquely. The substance of these facts as well as the means are set forth in my work. To the largest degree it is in my last book, POST MORTEM. As you will see, in addition to describing the means by which I obtained the evidence I present it to the people in facsimile - the actual evidence, not merely an opiated account of it.

With the copy of POST MORTEM that I send herewith and by this letter I make formal application for the reward you have offered.

I add that one in your position is without independent means of establishing subject expertise. Of your panel those who are associated with this subject are not authentic experts, except in their own representations. They also are not, as all judges should be, impartial and dispassionate. My files of their words and acts would not make you proud of your selection. Several have been the most serious impediments to the establishing of truth being possible and the lustiest contributors of the wildest and most irresponsible allegations which also are the enemy of truth. I do not believe these people are described within reason as "independent," either.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg