Dear Larry.

Before I get to the purpose of this letter, let me go back to the Wallace coverage. I hope my monitoring of the DC all-news stations helped your early coverage for the earliest edition. With what I played to you over the phone, it is clear that the local inde, of limited resources, was beating the pants of the wealthy and major offer (a.m.), Wash. rost and CBS. BUT, CBS IV net had what it sat on and used for the first time on the evening net news, pretty good pictures of the actual assassination. I'd like to be able to study stills from it, for the pictures seem to show that the shots did not originate from directly in front. Academic interest only. We didn't see the ABC coverage, but here MTOP-TV repeats the net news 1/2 hour after it is aired, thus we catch MBCs at 6:30 local and CBS's at 7:00. There was no comparison in the coverage. CBS' was far better and halfwhy through the show they slow-motioned the securingly better frames. They should have ad ed arrows, but they didn't. I've not listened since then, and I listened until what I thought was the prees time of your down-state edition only for the kind of last-minute things you might not get in time on the wire. By last call to Diama was to let someone there known of the changes in the number of suspects held and in the medical estimate, then and officially downgraded from "serious".

This is somewhat later. I was reading the stuff you sent when you call. I'd just started, having written dife after returning from the funeral and reading his two letters we'll discuss when you have time. After suppor I went through what you sent once. It is in no special order. I read it as you put it in the envelope. You have come up with more of what I wanted than I'd hoped for when I phoned. I was and an looking for confirmation of statements - have just obtained. Obviously, these uneducated, unsophisticated mople could not three years ago when have anticipated what I'd be seeking now, so when they say now only what they said then, it would seem clear enough that they are not now inventing. The major direcence is that I took more time than a reporter with a deadline could have, and I have more detail. Pretty much the same is true of what I was looking for on Foreman.

However, in your maste to make copies, and in the use of letter-sized paper, some of the stories were emitted. In all cases this is not a problem. Below I will list only those cases where it is. In these cases, I'd appreciate a full copy of the story or the part that it massing, where you sent we were than one, I'll give the dup to Bud.

Lawrence Green's 10/24/68 headed "way hideout here bared", in the jump, the last clear line of the first of the two xeroxed pages is "making 32.10 an hour." The first clear line of the next xeroxed page is "Well treated, hrs. Klingeman"

Porterfield's 3/22 (69) "Insight, again in the carryover, last clear line first col, "If Foreign is busier and wins more cases", first clear line next sheet, "was passionate, Foreign is persistent: where". This is a number of things in this piece are of interest what I must want for the immediate purpose is the second column of the jump at this point. + have a farout story that imput not be greated, but then in distinction of the seemingly increaible, therefore important.

(I've not yet had a chance to go over what I got at the B-D. If I saw possible interest, because of time pressures I got xerox. I hope I have their original quoted in the Pun-lines 3/14/69 I may or may not have the Tennespean's story quoted 5-I day before, S-I head on Eastland but my interest may quote. I do think I have this, but I also think that with his book and what it says Seigenthaler will not be inclined to be helpful.)

One story is incomplete and the source is not indicated. "ead, "Foreman: a lawyer with a barker's zeal." You sent two tops, no bottoms. What I have breaks lith the line beginning "facts of the case".

Thanks for the Loziol rundown. His 10/26/68 story's last clear line is "av., where he rented a rear." The first full graph next col refers to the Trib's previous-day story. If you have that, I'm like it, please.

Your 7/10/03 headed "May's kin neving to "emphis" is complete on what I'd asked for. nowever, it deals with something else of potential legal interest in the UPI Loudon story on the appeal from the decision on extraction. The last clear line here is "ties" haspering his appeal". If there is anything following this that deals with any delay or any negative

recommendation by any lawyer, British or Hanes, it could be important.

(FYI-of the picture of Jerry in what you sent, the 3-2 6/9/68 is the best likeness.) Urlet 11: your recollection is correct. I was not aware of the Green piece and it may be very important in terms of what Du, Jim and I should know.

I have written Jerry a long letter telling him that after he was situated I would put him in touch with a reporter friend he could trust. Until I have an address I can't mail it. I have told him to begin by saying that anything he says is not for publication and that if you(not yet identified to him) want to use any of it, if he has no objection I would like you to clear it with me, as I can with Bud if necessary, for I want to avoid the possibility of blowing anything the ramifications of which neither of you may know. Some aspects are pretty hairy, including the one you spotted.

If Rife's description of his "trial" is even approximate, it should make a separate atory. He also now says he is facing 50 years for a crime another man has confessed. That is the theft of the oil breakers, the charge on which he escaped/was let out. I'm keeping these letters unfiled on the desk for when the intediate heat is off you and we talk again.

There has been no chance to discuss any of my recent work with Bud. I'll be in DC hursday, but whether or not he'll be free when I am I don't know. I had to awaken Jim this morning to ask him to check a new name out in a directory available to him and not me, and I told him I'll be in then. In retrospect, I have the same opinion of the value of what I have for the intended purposes, and your clips, I think, can be valuable in providing contemporaneous confirmation and also showing that it is not some later improvisation created for the need that developed.

The court of appels decision in John's case alone should make a helluva story, not an assassination story but a legal one. It is without precedent and the local courtappointed lawyer, Hampe (7N7, St.L) told me he is forced to argue analogy. It is incredible, too. Hampe has filed a 50 page petition for cert with the U.S. Supreme Court. That is a lot of work and he thinks if it fails it is the end of John's line. I see I have his phones where I can find them. Office, 621-1701; home 352-0237. Robert A.

Thanks for everything, esp. speed. Best,

CC:BF

May 11, 1972

Dear Harold,

Glad the trip was so profitable. Your comments on Quincy rang a bell. See Larry Green story on James while up here. I don't recall seeing it in your book it may have been. Green is now our Vietnam correspondent.

The clips by Ron Koziol should be axcurate cop-line. Cosy Koziol is very close to cops, FBI and especially our red squad.

Here's a few other clipping you might find interesting. I ran into them while going through the James earl clips again.

Welcome back.

finley