Dear Maggie,

Thank you very much form phoning to let me know Bill had come through his surgery well. But your call troubled me because it reminded me that my mind is and has been past the saturation point, that I have considerably less that the vaunted "total recall" attributed to me and less by far than I should have.

I do not know your purposes in wenting the identification of the place Oswald had Bringuier's and Mgguel Cruz's names recorded. I can recall what may not be a substitute. I should recall it because it can also be important to me now in "Oswald in New Orleans". The recording of Bringuier's name is to me of less significance that that of Cruz, for in theory he didnot know and had no way of knowing Cruz's.

There are two other things that might interest you- I say, I do not know your purpose. Rather strangely, after his arrest Oswald had several scraps of paper in his pocket. They were found on his death. Somewhere in my disogranized arthives notes I have them and I think I can without too much trouble find these and send them to you, if itx interests you. The other is the noting of the address of the secretary of the American Nazi Farty. That makes nomments except as inconsistent with the official nonsense.

The closest I can come to what you asked me in Exhibit 16H67. Here Oswald noted the Bringuier organization (misr-spelled, and Bringuier's name, correctly spelled, duplicated the addresses, gave two others that mean nothing to me (the Camp one might; I'll have to check it out-might be the former address of the CRC (but I recall that as 544), and in between the two items, in accord with my belief he did what he did for the publicity he got, the itentifications of a New Orleans city editor and reporter.

The address 1032 Canal could be of iteras interest if you can identify it.

On page 57 you'll find the Nezi listings.

Should you find a separate Bringuier, Cruz of any other Cuban listing, ti could be important to me and I'd appreciate it if you would let me know immediately. The pieces are felling into place nicely but I haven't enough time I should have been done with this and with inchester, whose book is not/out in advence copies. I could have borrowed one last night but haven't time to read it so I didn't. I got an earlier than usual start this s.m. to get some work done before I leave for Washington to tape part of a sories of radio programs. If it is not too late and I'mm not too bushed when I get home I'll phone this to you tonight. The letter assures you'll have it Monday. And I cannot phne you now- it is not quite 2 a.m. your time:

Hegards,