1970 review

Harold Weisberg 7/25/71

Apologies if the carbons are not clear. This is now carbon paper of a "buy" I got by gotting 24 boxes at one time, and we'll have to live with it until they are used up, and a word of comfort, that happens pretty fast. Unless it is just old stock, when I think it should not hap on anyway, be warmen that the trade name is "Mu-Lote".

In the course of attacking the accumulation, I find the enclosed 1/4/71 letter from knosds with a correction for the list and what I find to be a rather interesting comment on which, personic that I am. I solicit comment.

First he says the Secret Service Meview is completed.

Then we know (FH) that the FHI declaraffication was announced 10/1/70. Unless that announcement was presentire or unless it was an amouncement of partial completion, which I do not detect in the page I have, it would seem that the FHI declaraffication of this manual 5-year review had already been completed.

Then we have this language from Shoads,"...but the 1970 review as a whole is not quite completed."

Now can this be explained? By the CIA or State holding them up?

I think it night be a good idea to try and learn which reviews by which agencies were completed at what time, so that we may learn when the last of the mannies completed its review. By belief is that the Archives was then reviewing what had been reviewed and declassified by the various agencies, or that the FBI or some or some other agency was reviewing the various declassifications with a view to possible reviewing of the review to the end that what had been declassified that this or these persons or agencies might not want released.

There may be a simpler explantion, of I may have made the wrong ascumption, but this is too obvious a possibility to ignore, hence I solicit disagreement, particularly is this disagreement can be backed up with credible evidence or reasonable argument. I have trouble not being willing to believe it from my experience with the Ferrie does alone. Here, under Clark, Vinson wrote me that they were then being review to see what could be released. Archives wrote me the opposite, and when I filed under 5 U.S.C.552, Klein-dienst turned so down and hitchell supported him at a time when they had to have already been declassified and marked for release under the 1970 review. This I add the great haste with which the Archives sent me, without reminder by me, what was represented as all the declassified Ferrie does. That old paranoin swelled up and out again and lo! about half and the more important half of the Ferrie does that had been declassified were not included in that generous Archives outpouring.

With this kind of record and with the repeated re-classified of what was rleased after I selected it for copying, and with Johnson riding herd of what is copied, it is difficult not to at least consider this a possibility, if not a probability.

and on velays? I have stoped trying to get things by joing there because there is almost invariably a long delay even when I ask in advance for what I want so it can be waiting and I just do not alt and await delivery after getting there, and on the last occasions, there was then argueent about what was in the file. When I've ordered things by sail it has been approximately the case, with delays of 6 onths not really exceptional.

Aut, when I go there at a time obscendoes not know I am (even tough shoads does), there is no problem at all. Last week I asked for a few pages from what I had in hand. The aparchment were was broken, so I gave them to wike Simpons, or told him of them and left them in the box. He went to a late lunch. Yet I had then by sail the next a.g. which means there is no need for delay and that they are not busy, for he was able to do this when "obscen was on vacation and there was more than usual for him to do.