Dear Bud,

Had it not been for other things, like the Lattimer business, and much company, I would have raised this with you carlier. I have known for a week or more than the frame book is goin; to be offered by three book clubs. Doubleday has an enormous investment in it, beginning with the 6-figure advance to frame. Their own, the sock find (I may be wrong here) and the flayboo book clubs. If it is important I can dig the third out. This represents the intent of putting, some real area into the engine.

I also ask you to note that the serialization of the Biship flop at this point is exceptional. Usu liy, serialization precedes or coincides with publication, it does not usually follow by so great a time. And I do not know of another single case (which does not mean that there are none) where a real, guaranteed-by-performance flop was sorialized with its established commercial and literary failure. The Post is not along in sorializing it. I don't know who all is, but in this the inquirer is.

The thaing with Lattimer may be irrelevant, but it ours as hell is perfect.

how there is no way that the Frank book can be really promoted without running into the FCJ's regulations and their capability for working for us. Therefore, although I am not at all happy at the prospect and could prefer almost anything class, I propose that we prepare for it in ways I would like to explore with you at greater length when that we prepare for it in ways I would like to explore with you at greater length when we have these, but essentially by day writing me a laster in which he says he has read my book, knows from their efforts to entice him by bribery into talling to then what other writers have in mind saying about him, that he is both a man without much formal education, a prisoner and inhibited by the fact that his case is on appeal and he thereby may be precluded from saying anything publicly so, while it should not be taken as either approval or disapproval of my work, on which he folls he should not believe comment, he would like me to represent him in presenting the other side, giving my views, not his, with the one exception that he has never been asked if as killed by. "ing and he authorizes us to say in his behalf two things: that foreman never asked him, herely assumed that he was the shooter; and that he was not the shooter and was not in any waybresponsible for the killing.

with this leaver, I would then write Doubleday and ask that in Tairness to Ray, sho is without means, they inform each and every outlet to which they supply Frank or on which he appears and to communicate to then my availability to appear with or following Frank, and that if such an as urance is not in equately forthcoming I will not await the one-sided airing on a matter so vital to the nation and its system of justice but will approach the FCC, even though there will to a be no licensee through whom to make complished, one thank a think the media record of the past on this subject should be enough to warrant their interest. That I will also write to warrant their interest. major talk show, etc., also in advance of the apic rance (april when a heart of it) of Franc's show and tell them if market it is not arranged for me to appear with him or in responde, I will in each case take the case to the POC. It would be much better if we could have some arrangement for legal help in advance. This can provide us with the intellectual judo we have needed. They have this enoralus stake. If they argue that Frank has asked to see May and that May has refused, that is no enswer, for May has a case in court and Frank has sace his position clear in advance, in many ways, only one or which is the use of mule's material (I have this in writing). There may be people interested enough in the fairness doctrine to be willing at try this unique and I times new ap rosum. With such help, even failure could be success.