

Mr. Roger Feinman  
Box 505  
Fresh Meadows, N.Y. 11365

5/29/77

Dear Roger,

Sitting on Atlantic Beach on a nice day (unless one recalls air pollution and low water levels) and catching up is the equivalent of what I think of often, working outside here. We live in such privacy I could do it naked and only my wife would know. But who can read my riting?

I am glad to hear from you. My present plan is Dallas on the 10th.

McCloy did not get where he is by answering questions of the kind you want answered. Hope your questions and his silence = a good record.

If CBS was anything other than CBS they would settle. They are not unique. Jim is handling another comparable to ~~forget~~ it for me. The rich and the powerful are often arrogant and stupid and prevail because they have power, not good sense. And few tackle them, never with public attention. (But if you have any stories on their admission that Ted Kroup was CIA, his claim to have been only a conduit, I'd like it. Managed to get part of their aired account several days ago. It was when he was Washington Bureau chief.) When they respond be sure to stay within time limitations. Don't default.

On Judge Pollack I suggest that instead of what you have heard about his reputation you consider the interests he served in his SST decision. And the reasoning as well as the alleged interpretation of law. It is a decision that reflects no concern for people. You may find that in his mind SST-CBS. Special interest.

I'm not trying to discourage and do not think you should be discouraged. Just do not be lulled and take nothing for granted except that it will be tough.

What CIA has let out about the critics I have. It is far from all. They are staging this and succeeding in what I think are their purposes. In these pages there is no connection you can trace to CBS. But the philosophy and the same arguments connect them. The same non-sequiturs, like no new evidence.

Thanks for the Perry suggestions. You say you'll call. Here is my approximate schedule:

I do not expect to be away this week. I do expect both Les Payne and Prof. Dave Wrons.

I'll be in Washington a week from Tuesday, 6/7. I may go there the day before. Don't know yet. Hall is to testify then. He'll strag out the fifths but I want to hear the questions. Also, a dear friend is much involved, Art Kevin, investigative reporter for Radio Station KHFC. The committee tricked Art into delivering Hall for a subpoena in the guise of a friendly interview at which Hall could have his own witness, Art. Hall then refused to talk to them. They are trying to lead him into a trap. They have what can be taken many ways and they'll take one way and they'll use it on him. What is not nutty I'm sure they have not worked out to its end. I do not expect this to be the first time they do not go off half-cocked.

Whatever time I am in Washington I'll probably be home the night of the 7th. And except for seeing to it that my wife has groceries until I leave the a.m. of the 10th. I may continue on to Calif. I expect to. I'll probably be gone for two weeks.

I don't see your point on the administration of the drug JFK required and Carrico. Carrico knew the man was dead. I'm sure he also knew of the adrenalin deficiency. So what if Burkley told him to and gave it to him, the latter being my recollection? My impression of Carrico is that he is absolutely straight. He was with me. But I do not see the significance of the question. If I get no further explanation and do get to see him I'll ask. Seeing him is related to my purposes if the question is not. Ditto with Perry.

I'll be staying with Jim Tague, who is being very helpful about all of this.

Remember, this is in connection with my suit for the JFK scientific tests. Not in connection with new investigations, happy as I am with anything new. I'm referring about purposes, not limitations.

Best wishes,

May 24, 1977

Dear Harold,

I can't tell you how glad I was to receive your letter only 2 days ago, I started to write to you, but I came down with my dreaded writer's block. Today I am sitting on Atlantic Beach and find it easier to set down my thoughts. Catching up:

1) The last time we spoke, I told you that I was offering a McClay interview. In response to written and telephone queries, he sent me a letter claiming not to remember having advised the CBS News specs in 1967 on their Warren show. I sent McClay a letter explaining some of what I know in order to establish a record that I had afforded him adequate opportunity to respond. No further word from "the old man".

2) During the past two months I have been living a lonely and troubled existence. Let me tell you straightaway that I filed a prose civil action in U.S. District Court for the Southern District of N.Y.

against CBS & my union on April 29 arising out of my discharge. The preparation of this complaint required weeks of arduous research at a local university's law library (I can still pass as a student!), but the result was a fine job, if I do say so myself. The complaint has been served, but I haven't received the defendants' answers as of this writing. They'll be crazy not to settle, but the SOB's might just try every trick to delay in the hopes that I'll give up. On this respect, I may be fortunate. The judge is Milton Pollack, a LIBS appointee who has a reputation for being a no-nonsense judge. (You and your chickens might not have liked him, however, since he's the judge who lifted the ban on SST flights into Kennedy.) If the complaint warrants the Court's jurisdiction, and if it survives a motion to dismiss, things should start to get hot.

The lawsuit is absolutely necessary to set

the record straight and remove any taint from the book I am working on. More important, the discovery process may give me some good material for the book. Any suggestions you have are welcome.

3) Naturally, all of this has detracted from my work on the book, but I have during the past two weeks resumed work on the 1964 part, which I want to get out of the way first. Still, it is clear that I shall have to divide my energies between these two efforts for the foreseeable future. But golly, if I could link the 1967 shows to the CIA's efforts to discredit the critics, wouldn't that be sensational?

4) The National Examiner ran an article recently about the Sibert-O'Neill receipt for a missile. Lane claimed credit for its discovery, the fourflunder.

B) To Perry:

1) Did he encounter Buckley at Parkland and tell Buckley about the throat wound?

2) Can he pin down just when he had his telephone contacts with Bethesda? Did he speak with anyone besides Humes?

3) When CBS tried to interview him in 1967, did they show him the transcript? Did they or anyone else pressure or threaten him in any way, shape or form to embarrass him by making it public unless he cooperated with CBS? Did anyone in an official capacity ever threaten him with a perjury charge if he didn't go along with CBS? Was there any agreement made between him & CBS not to make the transcript public?

C) To Carrico: Did he administer Solu Cortef on his own initiative, or was it Buckley who supplied it? Did anyone ever suggest to him that he fudge his testimony on this point?

Let's talk by phone in the near future. Best regards,  
Rosen