

August 11, 1971

Mr. Daniel H. Cathey, Deputy Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals for the
District of Columbia
Washington, D.C. 20001

Dear Mr. Cathey,

I very much appreciate your phone call of earlier today in response to my letter, especially because everyone except you and Mr. Paulson have delayed and I presume there are time limitations of some kind outside of my control.

I have prepared the papers I hope my wife will be able to type neatly in time for me to mail them and the copies of the earlier papers tomorrow in time for me to appear before a notary and make tomorrow's outgoing mail. Because she keeps our books and has been unwell, it will not be possible for me to prepare a new financial statement, so I have incorporated the one of July 1, already sworn to, by reference, and include that in the new affidavit. Actually, as you might expect, with no income, our financial condition is a bit worse than it then was and we do not have sufficient cash to pay such current obligations as taxes. I do hope this new affidavit is adequate, for I am anxious to be as expeditious as possible. The record will show that in each case I have done whatever was called for promptly, that none of the delay was my fault.

I would appreciate appointment of counsel, particularly counsel experienced with this relatively new law, as my earlier letters indicate, not only because I am not a lawyer but because I am anxious not to burden any court unnecessarily with what I might consider necessary but what in fact might not be.

Counsel in Soucie, referred to in my earlier letter, I now understand is from New York. Counsel in Bristol-Myers was Mr. Melville Ehrlich, 919 18 St., N.W. I have not been able to get to Washington hence have not been able to attempt to speak to him.

Again my thanks to you and to Mr. Paulson for your promptness and for your efforts to be helpful.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg