

Lillian & Harold Weisberg

Coq d'Or Press Route 8, FREDERICK, MD. 21701

Code 301 / 473-8186

2/20/71

-JE

Dear Tom,

When I showed you what Justice styled "Answer" in my suit for pictures of the President's clothing I suggested what this reponse meant to me. Mine was to file a motion for a mummary judgement. After a rather long delay, they filed one for dismissal or, in the alternative, summary judgement.

In answer I elected to file a rather lengthy and detail rejoinder, realizing that length alone would not be welcome to the judge. But I felt that to protect my rights and keep the record from being corrupted regardless of the outcome of the case, I had to do this. It took a lot of work at a time when I already had more to do than I could.

When I say "lengthy" I am not exaggerating. It is 110 pages plus 28 exhibits.

You may or may not want to read it. The case is C.A. 2569-70. I have a carbon I can lend you and if you'd like copies of defiendants(s motion, I'll bring it in so you can capy it. My legal-sized copying paper is out of date. It took me 26 days and three requests to get the attachments certified as served upon me. Two were cropped and the third, I am as confident as a non-lawyer can be, is perjurious. Speaking for myself, I have to ask myself "Why?".

I'll be away all of next week. I am not assuming this will interest you, but I feel I should tell you and I also feel that anyone not really familiar with the case would not understand the papers.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg