Dear Gary.

which you return from your return to China there will be many matters requiring your attention and mine and I'll have forgetten your 3/31 and its unclosures. So I write now, with copies to Jil and Howard. I'll also start a PA file on you for this. You should have used the crivacy Act.

If I were not cortain they'd invoke those provisions that protect you I'd have filed follow-up requests.

There is also a requirement of the law that you be provided with those morts of records that are reasonably segregable. The Claronce gave me a record on which it withheld everything but my nesse. (It dien't know it but I had obtained that record from enother and the owner copy had nothing withhelds)

So ask for a copy with thoseparts they claim have to be withheld removed. They can do it.

The reason they didn't do it is to provide no lands to you or anyone class.

of the two dates, "ancary and April 1989, only one can relate to what you refer to the case of ase v. Shaw in D.C., the earlier date. I'm wrong. It was 2/14 and 4/11/65. The D.C. case was over in January. The Shaw trial was on in Feb.

With the claim to a foreign government source I'd guess mail interception or maybe something to do with mark forewell America.

those with whom you had been in touch where their police age cies could have had an interest.

I may be arong and not having any inkling a kee good guessing hard but I think they have no real national security involvement and no law enforcement curpose so the exemptions do not apply. However, you'd probably have to go to court to be able to establish this.

Sas anything going on them about Murray, your former office mato?

live forgotten the record you say you sent me long ago. Finding it would probably take much time. Can you supply another copy? I'll first give Jim a copy, as I also

ope this trip is even better than the previous one. And if you go through Hong' Aong you ought to get some of the available benefits.

Hest.

Milest 125 St. 18

COERCIPE LEGENTARIO CONTROL CO

The second section is the second section of the second

WALK-IN COUNSELING CENTER
2421 CHICAGO AVITATI SOUTH
MINNEAPOLIS MINNESOTA 55464
[612] 870-0565 of 870-0566

March 31, 1978

Harold,

I've gotten to return to China, the only way I can afford to go, expenses-paid. I'm leading tour. It's a long story.

I'm writing to give you the enclosed letters. The CIA, after several years, suddenly forwarded my appeal th the Army. Interestingly enough, the DIA has previously denied having any records.

I won't be back until May 1 but will pursue it further then.

The timing of the files (as per the earlier CIA letter I sent you several years ago) corresponds to input on the autopsy from Steve Jaffe (from his father, remember). I am also beginning to wonder if you could be the subject of the files. Have you requested yours. If so we would be able to compare dates of files and dispatches.

Hope you and Lil are doing well.

of any





DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY HEADQUARTERS US ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755

27 March 1978

Mr. Gary R. Schoener 400 W. Franklin, Apt. 2 Minneapolis, Minn. 55405

Dear Mr. Schoener:

This is in response to your letter of 7 November 1975 to the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) requesting records concerning yourself under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 USC552. The CIA, on 16 March 1978 forwarded your letter with one (1) Army-originated document to this office for a decision as to the releasability of the information to you. This correspondence was received in this office on 24 March 1978.

We have reviewed the Army-originated document and have concluded the information is properly and currently classified in accordance with Paragraphs 2-303d and 2-303e of DoD Regulation 5200.1-R and Sections 1(C), 5(B)(1) and 5(B)(2) of Executive Order 11652. This information is exempt from the public disclosure provisions of the Freedom of Information Act as provided under 5 USC552(b)(1).

Paragraph 2-303d of DoD Regulation 5200.1-R provides that information will be classified if "There is sound reason to believe that other nations do not know that the United States has, or is capable of obtaining certain information or material which is important to the national security of the United States vis-a-vis those nations".

Paragraph 2-303e of DoD Regulation 5200.1-R provides that information will be classified if it will "weaken or nullify the effectiveness of a defense or military plan, operation, project or activity which is vital to the national security".

Section 1(C) of Executive Order 11652 provides that material shall be classified CONFIDENTIAL if unauthorized disclosure could reasonably be expected to cause damage to the national security.

Section 5(B)(1) of Executive Order 11652 provides that information or material furnished by foreign governments or international organizations and held by the United States on the understanding that it be kept in confidence, shall be afforded security protection.

IACSF-FI 27 March 1978

Section 5(B)(2) of Executive Order 11652 provides that classification protection shall be extended for information or material which would disclose intelligence sources or methods.

Confidential sources and sensitive investigative techniques and procedures are also related to this document. This information is exempt from public disclosure as provided under 5 USC552(b)(7)(D) and 5 USC552(b)(7)(E) of the Freedom of Information Act. The significant and legitimate governmental purpose to be served by withholding is that a viable effective intelligence investigative capability is dependent upon protection of (1) confidential sources and (2) sensitive investigative methodologies.

We regret that we cannot tell you more about the nature of the document without disclosing classified information. The document in question was collected in the course of an intelligence investigation not targeted against you. A copy was provided to the CIA. The U.S. Army does not have intelligence files in your name. The denial of this document is not based on the contents per se. It has been denied because the contents would identify confidential sources and sensitive investigative techniques.

The withholding of the information specified above constitutes a denial of your request by the properly designated Initial Denial Authority and you are hereby advised that you have the right to appeal this denial to the Secretary of the Army. If you wish to file an appeal, you should forward it to the following office:

Office of the Secretary of the Army ATTN: General Counsel Washington, D.C. 20310

If you have any further questions, you may contact the Freedom of Information/Privacy Office, U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command, Fort Meade, Maryland 20755. The telephone numbers are (301) 677-3942/4743.

Sincerely,

Chief

Freedom of Information/Privacy Office

Copies Furnished:

HQDA (DA-OGC)

HQDA (DAAG-AMR-S)

HQDA (DAMI-ZDL) w/Army-originated Document

HQDA (DAJA-LTS) w/Army-originated Document

CIA (Gene F. Wilson) w/Document Receipt

GARY RICHARD SCHOENER 400 W. FRANKLIN, APT. 2 MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55405

Thomas F. Conley, Chief Freedom of Information/Privacy Office U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command Fort Meade, Maryland

March 30, 1978

20755

Re: Your letter to me of March 27, 1978

Dear Mr. Conley:

Many thanks for your prompt reply to my letter. You seem to be somewhat more efficient than the CIA. It took them about 1 1/2 yrs. to send the request to you. Yours is probably the quickest response I have ever had from a U.S. government agency.

I would like to know which document or documents you refer to in your letter. The CIA's letter to me of Oct. 27, 1976 cites two:

- 1. Memorandum, 14 February 1969
- 2. Dispatch, 11 April, 1969

Thanks again for your prompt assistance.

Sincerely,

Gary Schoener

GARY RICHARD SCHOENER 400 WEST FRANKLIN, APT. 2 MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55405

March 30, 1978

General Counsel
Office of the Secretary of the Army
Washington, D.C.
20310

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is a xerox copy of a communication to me from Thomas F. Conley, Chief, Freedom of Information/Privacy Office, U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command dated 27 March 1978.

I am writing you to file an appeal of the classification of the records described therein.

It is difficult to believe that I could be connected with anything classified in this fashion. Furthermore, it is hard to imagine a document so secret that no part of it, not even with items deleted, could be released.

Thanks in advance for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Gary Schoener